AVID
ACCESS
CAPP
Cal-SOAP
CRP
EAOP
MESA
MC
UCSCol

PROGRESS REPORT
ON THE
EFFECTIVENESS
OF COLLABORATIVE
STUDENT ACADEMIC
DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAMS



CALIFORNIA
POSTSECONDARY
EDUCATION
COMMISSION

Summary

In 1992, the Commission assessed the effectiveness of collaborative student academic development programs which had a collective goal to increase college preparation among students from groups for which there was documented low college eligibility and college-attendance rates. That report, in responding to Supplemental Language to the 1988-89 Budget Act, concluded that the programs studied had meet their educational equity goals and had enhanced collaboration between public schools and postsecondary institutions.


This current study examines the progress of nine collaborative student academic development programs during the period since the 1992 publication of the Final Report on the Effectiveness of Intersegmental Student Preparation Programs. Those programs - all but one were included in the initial study -- are Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID), Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Success (ACCESS), California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP), California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP), College Readiness Program (CRP), Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP), Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA), Middle College (MC), and, Urban School-Community Collaborative (UCSCol)

Overall, this report finds that the examined programs assist students preparing for college to excel academically, to take full advantage of subsequent postsecondary education opportunity, and to reduce the need for remedial education in college A set of specific conclusions and recommendations are offered

The Commission adopted this report at it meeting on June 3, 1996, on recommendation of it Educational Policy and Programs Committee For more information about this report, contact Penny Edgert, Assistant Director of the Commission, at (916) 322-8028 or by E-mail pedgert@cpec ca gov Copies of the report may be ordered from the Commission at 1303 J Street, Suite 500, Sacramento, CA 95814-2938, telephone (916) 445-7933

PROGRESS REPORT ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COLLABORATIVE STUDENT ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

A Report of the California Postsecondary Education Commission



CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION 1303 J Street • Suite 500 • Sacramento, California 95814-2938



COMMISSION REPORT 96-11 PUBLISHED DECEMBER 1996

Contributing Staff Penny Edgert and Jan Taylor

This report, like other publications of the California Postsecondary Education Commission, is not copyrighted. It may be reproduced in the public interest, but proper attribution to Report 96-11 of the California Postsecondary Education Commission is requested.

DEDICATION

To: C. Douglas "Doug" Barker February 17, 1938 - March 29, 1995

Our friend, colleague, supporter, and fellow searcher for social justice.

For a lifetime of extraordinary service to the students that these programs prepare academically to pursue their educational goals and, hopefully, inspire spiritually to continue our mutual efforts to ensure educational equity for all our children.

The Many Faces in Collaborative Student Academic





Contents

Page	Section				
1	1. Executive Summary				
1	Historical Overview				
2	Present Study				
3	Principles Underlying the Commission's Conclusions and Recommendations				
4	Conclusions and Recommendations				
11	Summary				
13	2. Introduction to the Study				
14	Context of This Study				
15	The Commission's Last Report on Academic Development Programs				
16	Organization of This Report				
19	3. Characteristics of Academic Development Programs				
19	Common Program Characteristics				
20	Programs in the Study				
21	Program Characteristics				

Page	Section				
24	Program Operations				
25	Participating Schools				
29	Participating Students				
32	Program Resources				
34	Summary				
37	4. Effectiveness of Student Academic Development Programs				
38	Progress in Meeting Specific Program Objectives				
44	Higher Education Enrollment Rates				
48	Summary				
49	5. Lessons to be Learned From These Programs				
49	Expectations of Educators				
50	Effective Educational Operations				
52	A Comprehensive Strategy for Addressing Educational Issues				
54	Summary				
57	Appendix: School Participation Report for Outreach Programs				

Displays

Page	Display	
17	1	The Commission's Conclusions and Recommendations from the 1992 Study of Intersegmental Student Preparation Programs
22-23	2	Major Characteristics of the Nine Programs in 1994-95
26-27	3	Operation of the Nine Programs During 1994-95
28	4	Characteristics of the Secondary Schools Participating in the Nine Programs During 1994
30-31	5	Characteristics of the Students in the Nine Programs in 1994-95
33	6	Student Participation and Amount of Funding by Source for the Programs in 1994-95
38	7	Progress of the Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) Program in Meeting Its Objectives
39	8	Progress of the Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS) in Meeting Its Objectives
40	9	Progress of the California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) in Meeting Its Objectives
41	10	Progress of the College Readiness Program (CRP) in Meeting Its Objectives
42	11	Progress of the Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) in Meeting Its Objectives
43	12	Progress of Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA) in Meeting Its Objectives
44	13	Progress of the Middle College (MC) in Meeting Its Objectives
46	14	Postsecondary Enrollment Patterns of Graduates from Programs and All California Public High School Graduates in 1994
47	15	Participation Rates in California Colleges and Universities of Selected Groups of 1994 High School Graduates

Executive Summary

Historical overview

Pursuant to Supplemental Language to the 1988-89 Budget Act, the Commission assessed the effectiveness of collaborative student academic development programs whose collective goal was to increase the number of students prepared to attend college from groups with documented low eligibility and college-going rates. The specific directive stated

The California Postsecondary Education Commission shall develop and implement a strategy to assess the impact of intersegmental programs designed to improve the preparation of secondary school students for college and university study. The purposes of the report shall be to identify those programs and institutional activities which are successful and to recommend priorities for future state funding to improve student preparation.

At the conclusion of the three-year study, Final Report on the Effectiveness of Intersegmental Student Preparation Programs was published in 1992 and pre-

Jessica Lozoya, a senior at CSU Los Angeles, describes her high school years as very challenging, yet supportive "I had the support from teachers and my counselor, yet the greatest blessing was the person that walked me, practically held my hand, through the whole process That person was my Cal SOAP advisor" The Cal SOAP program informed Jessica of all the necessary requirements It ensured that her SAT was taken, that her applications were completed accurately, and the fee waivers for such, made it possible for her to believe that a college education was a reality. The fee waivers were extremely useful, since her family couldn't afford this process Jessica also remembers the assistance she received with completing the admissions applications, which were foreign to her "I am the first in my family to attend college, so no one had a clue as to how to fill them out " Jessica was also a Cal SOAP scholarship recipient, when graduating from La Serna High School California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal SOAP)

sented the Commission's conclusions and recommendations about these programs Simply stated, the Commission concluded that the programs included in the study were both effective and efficient in meeting their objectives and contributing to the achievement of statewide educational equity goals Further, the Commission concluded that the collaboration between the public schools and higher education that is integral to these programs contributed immeasurably to their success and that these programs had set the stage for the legitimation of collaboration as a valuable and effective means by which to achieve myriad educational goals and objectives

In many ways, this previous study wedded two long-standing and continuing areas of Commission interest educational equity and collaboration

Educational Equity Since the early 1980s, the Commission has advocated the impor-

tance to the State of making progress in achieving educational equity such that its vision, as presented in its declaration of policy, could become a reality

The Commission envisions a California of tomorrow as one in which all Californians have an expanded opportunity to develop their talents and skills to the fullest, for both individual and collective benefit This vision is one in which the characteristics of Californians — ethnicity, race, language, socioeconomic status, gender, home community, and disability — do not determine educational accomplishments and achievements (The Role of the Postsecondary Education Commission in Achieving Educational Equity: A Declaration of Policy)

In so doing, the Commission has recommended the development and implementation of policies, programs, and practices that seek to ensure that <u>all</u> students have opportunities to prepare for and succeed in college in order that they may become productive and contributing members of the California of tomorrow. Concomitantly, the Commission has articulated the unique role that higher education can play in preparing students to participate in a world that will be characterized by diversity in various senses — intellectually, linguistically, culturally, racially, ethnically, and in other ways yet to be imagined — and educating students for that world is among the most crucial and challenging responsibilities for our colleges and universities

Collaboration Collaboration has long intrigued the Commission as a viable and effective means by which to achieve educational objectives. In the policy declaration cited above, the Commission noted "the essential dependence on elementary and secondary schools to prepare students for higher education and the responsibility of postsecondary education to cooperate with schools in this effort." Moreover, The Challenge of the Century — the Commission's most recent planning report — has a section specifically devoted to encouraging greater collaboration between public schools and postsecondary education and among the sectors that comprise California's post-high school educational enterprise

Present study

The current study examined the progress of nine collaborative student academic development programs since the last report in achieving their individual and collective objectives Programs were selected for inclusion in the study on the basis of their commonalities along the following dimensions

- Program goal To increase the number of students who pursue higher educational opportunities from backgrounds and communities with historically low eligibility and college-going rates,
- Program strategy Collaboration between public schools and higher education institutions as well as among colleges and universities,
- Program approach An emphasis on direct services to students, although several of the programs include a focus on improving curriculum and instruction through the provision of services to teachers and counselors, and,
- Program focus The transition between secondary and postsecondary education

The participating programs are

- 1 Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID) -- administered by the AVID Center and the California Department of Education that involves 141 school districts and the public higher education sectors;
- Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS)
 -- administered by the Lawrence Hall of Science of the University of California,
 Berkeley that involves the campus and neighboring urban school districts,
- 3 California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) -- administered by the Chancellor's Office of the California State University that includes six school districts and both public and independent colleges and universities;
- 4 California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) -- administered by the California Student Aid Commission that involves 36 school districts and both public and independent colleges and universities,
- 5 College Readiness Program (CRP) -- administered by the Chancellor's Office of the California State University and the California Department of Education that includes 10 school districts and five State University campuses,
- 6 Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) -- administered by the Office of the President of the University of California that involves 131 school districts and all general University campuses,
- 7 Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA) -- administered by the Office of the President of the University of California that involves 69 school districts and both public and independent colleges and universities,
- 8 Middle College (MC) -- administered by the Chancellor's Office of the California Community Colleges that involves two school districts and two community colleges, and,
- 9 Urban School-Community Collaborative (UCSCol) -- administered by the Office of the President of the University of California that involves 45 schools districts, University and State University campuses, and 28 community-based organizations

Eight of these nine programs participated in the earlier review, UCSCol, a relatively new program, was included because of its similarity in goals and collaborative approach to the other programs

Principles underlying the Commission's conclusions and recommendations In the previous study, the Commission stipulated two principles that formed the foundation for its conclusions Those principles remain central in the current assessment of these programs and, therefore, they bear repeating

1 The primary goal of these programs — individually and collectively — is to prepare students for college, irrespective of whether the participants ultimately choose to pursue postsecondary educational opportunities The continued appropriateness and significance of this goal is premised on the following observations

- Preparing for college by taking courses that are academically rigorous and performing well in those classes ensures that students will have an array of options and choices upon high school graduation rather than being restricted because of earlier decisions that may have been made without full knowledge of their possible consequences, and
- The knowledge, skills, and attitudes gained while preparing for college will be equally beneficial if students choose other post-high school paths, such as the military or the marketplace
- 2 These programs arose because schools have demonstrated an uneven level of success in educating all students The eligibility study conducted periodically by the Commission evidences that California's schools are more effective in educating students from specific communities and backgrounds than from other neighborhoods; currently, our elementary and secondary school systems are most successful in educating Asian and White students, youth residing in suburban communities, and children from affluent families as documented by the high rates at which they achieve eligibility to attend the State University and University and, in fact, enroll in California's colleges and universities The Commission continues to anticipate that the school reforms initiated in the early 1980s, coupled with the knowledge gained from these programs that can be incorporated into all California schools, will result in a diminishing need for these programs in the future because our educational system will enhance its effectiveness in educating all students However, until this transformation in our schools is further along than this study evidences, these programs continue to be instrumental in achieving statewide educational equity goals

Conclusions and recommendations

Based upon these principles and the results from this study, the Commission offers a set of conclusions and recommendations to the Governor, Legislature, educational systems, and statewide managers of collaborative student academic development programs on the three general issues of program participation, operations, and collaboration

Program Participation

CONCLUSION 1: The programs have clearly demonstrated their effectiveness in achieving their individual and collective goal of increasing the number of students from groups with low eligibility and college-going rates who are prepared and enroll in college.

In general, the programs participating in this study have provided solid evidence that they are effective in meeting their individual objectives and contributing to the achievement of statewide educational equity goals The California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) -- due to the shortness of its funding cycles -- and the Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) -- due to its newness -- were unable currently to provide empirical information for the Commission to make a

definitive judgment on their effectiveness, but the preliminary indicators suggest that these programs over time will be able to demonstrate success in achieving their objectives

As a group, the effectiveness of these programs is indicated by the college-going rates of their participants. In 1994, 64.5 percent of the high school seniors participating in these programs enrolled in college; that same year, the college-going rate of high school graduates statewide was 53.2 percent. That is, the collegegoing rate for program participants - a majority of whom are from backgrounds and communities in which college attendance is an exception - was over 11 percentage points higher than for all California seniors - a majority of whom come from backgrounds and communities in which college participation is a tradition. The pattern of college attendance for program participants at institutions that offer degrees at the bachelor's or higher levels was equally impressive The proportion of program participants enrolling in the University of California and California State University essentially was double the corresponding percentage of their classmates statewide. Of the 1994 program participants who graduated, 143 percent enrolled in the University of California that fall; 7.3 percent of the 1994 graduating class statewide did so. Of seniors across the state, 8.5 percent enrolled at campuses of the State University; 17 percent of program participants attended those campuses in 1994. In terms of enrollment at California's independent institutions, the statewide rate at the freshman level was 2.2 percent in 1994, over five percent of program participants enrolled in independent colleges and universities that year.

RECOMMENDATION 1.1: The Governor and Legislature should develop a state policy and provide resources to expand these programs such that all students from groups with documented low eligibility rates may participate in these programs in order to prepare for college.

This recommendation is essentially the same as offered in the last report because, while there has been some growth since the last study, the expansion recommended previously by the Commission has not occurred on the scale necessary to achieve statewide educational equity goals. Clearly, if these effective programs are to make a statewide impact, the number of participants needs to increase considerably

In what ways should the size of these programs grow? The Commission previously recommended four areas in which the number of program participants should expand and, to some extent, growth has occurred in each of these areas

- Schools throughout the state -- Over 15 percent more schools participated in these programs than five years ago; however, only 7 5 percent of the elementary and secondary schools statewide were involved in these programs in the 1994-95 year,
- Students throughout the state -- The number of program participants rose by 23 percent in five years, yet only 8 6 percent of students statewide from groups

with documented low eligibility and college-going rates participated in these programs in the 1994-95 year. This figure reflects essentially the same proportion as reported in the last study which indicates that the programs' growth is barely keeping pace with the statewide school enrollment boom, particularly among those student groups whose eligibility rates are low,

- Rural area students -- The proportion of White students participating in these
 programs rose since the last study which suggests that more rural areas are
 involved in these programs, however, the eligibility rate for rural areas remains
 among the lowest in the state Therefore, expanding participation in rural communities should be a high priority when expansion of these programs is considered, and,
- Elementary school students -- While the majority of students served by these
 programs are in grades eight through twelve, a greater proportion of the program participants were in the earlier grade levels than in the previous report
 Increasingly, there is recognition that these programs, to be maximally effective, should begin as early as possible in a student's educational career

What would be the cost of implementing this recommendation? Currently, the average cost for serving a student in these programs is approximately \$140 per year, or a total of \$19,105,713 across all nine programs. As indicated previously, approximately 8 6 percent of the students in the state in grades 7-12 from groups with low eligibility and college-going rates participated in these programs in the 1994-95 year. To serve all students from those groups at the current cost per student would require \$222,159,453. Of that amount, \$61,258,243 would be from State resources, \$145,830,486 would come from institutional resources, and the remainder from private and federal funds. The cost for all students in grades 7-12 to participate in these programs would be \$503,781,921, of which the State would spend \$139,540,928 and the institutional share would be \$330,693,839.

While these figures may seem enormous at first glance, they represent an effective investment in the State's future at a relatively minimal cost -- less than 0 5 percent of General Fund resources for the 1995-96 year to serve all 7-12 graders from groups with low eligibility and college-going rates and less than 1.1 percent of the General Fund to serve all 7-12 graders statewide

RECOMMENDATION 1.2: The Governor and Legislature should consider State support for these programs that includes funding from the Propostion 98 guarantee.

To date, the majority of State support for these programs has been appropriated from the non-Proposition 98 portion of the General Fund However, these programs provide direct services to students and, in some cases, to teachers As such, they meet the criteria that has been established for receiving support from the portion of the General Fund that is linked to the Proposition 98 guarantee -- that portion which has the greatest potential to support program expansion. However, in order to comply with the recent settlement of Gould vs CTA, the operations of

some of these programs may need to change in order that local education agencies are the central administrative locus of control. In making any necessary adjustments to conform to the spirit and intent of the settlement, the programs should remain collaborative with respect to governance, service delivery, and support bases

CONCLUSION 2: These programs have been efficient by focusing their limited resources on students who are from groups with low eligibility and college-going rates and, therefore, are most likely to need assistance in preparing for college.

The goal of the programs included in this study — individually and collectively — is to increase the eligibility rates of students from groups whose historical rates remain low in order to minimize the rate differentials among student populations. In the fourth section in this report, the importance of minimizing these rates is discussed as a necessary prerequisite to achieving "diversity on the natural" — a term used by Governor Wilson and a concept incorporated into the Board of Regents' policy that eliminates consideration of race, ethnicity, gender, national origin, and color in the admissions, employment, and contracting practices at the University of California Similarly, enhancing eligibility rates is also a precondition for achieving statewide educational equity goals

Because there are insufficient resources currently to provide an opportunity for all students in the state to participate in these programs, the criteria for student selection becomes a major programmatic concern. To date, the general rationale for selection of program participants has rested on two fundamental premises

- 1 These programs should function in an inclusive and nondiscriminatory manner such that no student is denied needed program services for reasons related to his or her background or personal characteristics. This premise has resulted in programs whose student populations span the racial-ethnic, gender, socioeconomic, and geographic spectrum of California.
- 2 These programs focus on serving students from groups with documented low eligibility and college-going rates in order to achieve their stated objectives

These premises, taken in combination, have resulted in these programs functioning in a nondiscriminatory manner, yet concentrating their resources on those students who are most likely to need program services to prepare for and succeed in college

RECOMMENDATION 2: The Governor, Legislature, and governing boards of the public higher education systems should reaffirm their support for the programs' goals — increasing the number of students from groups with low eligibility and college-going rates who are eligibile for college — and their focus in terms of participating schools and students.

This recommendation calls for the reassertion by State policy makers of the importance of achieving the programs' goal to enhance the eligibility rates of the stu-

dents from groups with documented low rates. Moreover, this recommendation acknowledges that programs will, by necessity, be in a position where they will have to choose the schools that will be involved and, in some cases, the students within the schools who will be served. In the optimum situation, choices would be unnecessary because resources would be sufficient that all schools and all students throughout the state would reap the benefits from these programs. However, unless and until those additional resources become available, the Commission recommends that these programs continue to focus their support on students from groups with low eligibility rates who need these services in order to prepare for college, but that efforts continue to be made to ensure that the programs be inclusive and nondiscriminatory in their selection processes

Program Operations

CONCLUSION 3: These programs currently provide a comprehensive array of services to students beginning in the late elementary school years through high school that are designed to prepare them for college.

Originally, the services provided by these programs were either informational or motivational in nature the informational services were directed toward students in the late high school years and involved assistance in the completion of admissions and financial aid forms, the motivational activities focused on students in grades 7-9 and were designed to inspire them to seek a college education. Today, a holistic approach has been developed by several of these programs to prepare students for a college education in which activities are designed to be essentially grade-specific in recognition of different needs of students at various points in their educational careers. As a consequence, the array of services has expanded to include academic skill development workshops, tutoring, college admissions test preparation workshops, intensive summer residential programs, and academic competitions as well as the traditional motivational presentations, field trips, and assistance with college admissions and financial aid applications

While the Commission continues to support the delivery of comprehensive services to students that acknowledges different needs of students at varying grade levels, the Commission makes two specific recommendations with respect to expansion of program activities

RECOMMENDATION 3.1: These programs should intensify their academic enrichment services and activities due to the increasingly competitive nature of college admissions.

As noted in this report, simply achieving eligibility to attend the State University or University is no longer a guarantee of admission to the more selective campuses in those systems. Rather, students need to excel academically both in terms of their performance in courses and on college admissions tests in order to have a reasonable chance to be admitted to the campuses of their first choice. As such, these programs should accommodate this changing situation by dedicating additional attention and resources, if necessary, to ensuring that program participants

are able to successfully compete in this more challenging environment, particularly in light of the decision by the Board of Regents to eliminate consideration of race, ethnicity, gender, color, and national origin in its admissions process Enhancing the capacity of program participants to compete among students whose performance indicators are becoming stronger with each graduating class by concentrating on the achievement of academic excellence may represent the best opportunity for assisting students to achieve their individual goals, for supporting institutional efforts at diversifying their student bodies, and for realizing statewide educational equity goals

RECOMMENDATION 3.2: These programs should further their activities that seek to enhance the involvement of families in students' educational careers.

Particularly in the younger grades, but throughout secondary school as well, the involvement of families in the educational lives of students is essential because family members can be both encouragers and motivators as well as advocates for the student with the school system. Particularly with the declining number of counselors in schools, a student's relatives may be required to play an increasingly active role in obtaining relevant information, making decisions about courses in which to enroll, and seeking assistance in securing supplemental support, when needed, if a student is to successfully navigate through the college preparation and admissions waters. These programs provide a vehicle through which relatives can learn the importance of early planning for college attendance, the specific courses in which students should enroll, the intricacies of the college admissions process, and the relevant issues to discuss with school administrators and college representatives. As a consequence, these programs should assume an educative role, as appropriate, with relatives in order that they are prepared to assist their family members to achieve their postsecondary educational aspirations.

Program collaboration

CONCLUSION 4: Further levels of collaboration within individual programs and among this set of programs have occurred over the last five years.

Almost without exception, each program evidenced greater involvement and collaboration, as measured by number of institutional participants, since the last report. This evidence suggests that the strategy of collaboration may be enhancing its potency in California's educational enterprise. Further, the involvement of more institutional participants has resulted in more programs resources and the capacity to provide additional services to a growing number of students, particularly from groups with low eligibility and college-going rates

Moreover, these programs have enhanced collaboration among themselves Illustrative examples of collaboration among these programs include the implementation of the University of California Admissions Achievement Program (UCAAP) which has become a joint effort between the Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) and the California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP), professional development activities for teachers in the Oakland school system spon-

sored by EAOP and the Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS), and, the symbiotic relationship between the Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID) Program and the College Readiness Program (CRP) that provides both direct services to students and activities designed to encourage whole school reforms. The movement of these programs to jointly sponsor activities and to coordinate their resources and expertise to deliver more comprehensive services to schools and students is clearly an efficient and effective means by which to enhance services to the growing population of students needing them in order to prepare for college

In The Challenge of the Century, the Commission devoted a section to statewide and regional collaboration and postulated that "the educational resources of each region must be coordinated to more effectively meet the educational needs of the residents of that region" Given that these programs exemplify the spirit behind that recommendation and contribute to regional collaboration at a micro level, the Commission offers two additional recommendations to extend further the benefits from program collaboration

RECOMMENDATION 4.1: Statewide managers of these programs should coordinate their efforts with specific activities designed to improve the teaching-learning process.

The intent of this recommendation is to encourage this set of effective programs that are, in large measure, student-centered to stretch their boundaries and collaborate with other programs and institutional efforts designed to improve the teaching-learning process through intensive pre-service and professional development activities. California is fortunate in that, within the state, there are national initiatives such as the Eisenhower Professional Development Program, state initiatives such as the Subject Matter Projects, and campus initiatives such as the State University's Pre-Collegiate Programs and those described in the recent publication, UC and the Schools: A Guide to the University of California's Pre-Collegiate Programs. Fostering greater coordination among programs that have different strengths, resource bases, and strategies can only extend the effectiveness of each program functioning separately and, in that way, address the challenges facing California education in a more comprehensive manner

RECOMMENDATION 4.2: The Governor, Legislature, and educational systems should develop a statewide campaign to disseminate information to students and their families on academic and financial planning for college.

Disseminating information on the academic and financial planning that is required in order for students to attend college is an activity that is particularly appropriate to coordinate on a statewide basis rather than creating a situation where every college in the state and every student-centered program produces and distributes their own materials Recognizing the potential for collaboration and intending to discourage duplication of effort, the Commission recommended in *The Challenge of the Century* the development of

a comprehensive and integrated statewide public information program aimed initially at the parents of elementary and middle school students, but ultimately covering all parents and students, that deals with (1) the civilian and military educational options generally available to California students after high school, with particular emphasis on the options offered by schools, colleges, and universities, (2) the academic and other skills required to be eligible for admission to these options, (3) the likely cost of each option, together with the types of financial assistance available to students unable to fully meet that cost, (4) the State's expectation regarding the financial contributions of parents to the postsecondary education of their children, and (5) alternative postsecondary savings plans available to parents and children

The Intersegmental Coordinating Committee of the California Education Round Table has begun the task of implementing this recommendation on a moderate basis the past two years Given the relative effectiveness and the degree of interest ev-

Concerned about what K-12 students were actually learning about science in general and physics in particular, Walter Gekelman, a UCLA physics professor, wanted to bring some of the University's enormous intellectual and laboratory resources to local high schools. Toward this end, he helped found the LAPTAG Distributed Science Collaborative. LAPTAG (Los Angeles Physics Teacher's Alliance Group) is a joint effort involving physics teachers from 16 high schools in three districts, linked with university faculty from UCLA, USC, and Santa Monica Community College, to develop curriculum and materials for quality science for students from highly diverse backgrounds in the Los Angeles area.

"Students will have a chance to do research on state-of-the-art equipment In other words, do real science," said Fred Carrington, physics teacher at Grant High School The project is intended to motivate not only the "best and brightest" students, but also those students who have in the past been marginally interested in science For example, at Palisades High School, over 70 percent of the students are African American and Latino youth bussed in from South Central and East Los Angeles LAPTAG provides both access to the latest computer technology and involvement in actual research to these students who otherwise would miss this rich experience, according to Palisades physics teacher William Layton Urban Community - School Collaborative (UCSCol)

idenced by educators across the state in this effort, this activity should become statewide in scope and reach the various corners of California Moreover, this campaign should be supported by State resources because it serves a State interest and it will reduce activities, currently supported in part from State funds, that may be duplicative in nature In the end, a statewide effort funded through State resources may be the most effective and efficient means by which to inform students and their families about their responsibilities for ensuring that they gain the skills, competencies, and knowledge requisite for them to become productive residents who contribute to California's future

Summary

In Governor Wilson's first inaugural address in 1992, he stressed the theme of "preventative government" by declaring

"Now, more than ever, to lead is to choose And the choice that California must make -- the choice that the people and their government must make -- is to give increasing attention and resources to the conditions that shape

our children's lives and California's future. Prevention is far better than any cure. Together, let us bring preventive government, wise enough to invest in children as well as infrastructure, determined to shift from the remedial to the preventive, from income maintenance to enrichment of individual potential, so that we may set the human spirit soaring, and never be content with warehousing its failure."

The collaborative student academic development programs discussed in this report embody this theme by seeking to assist participants to excel academically at the elementary and secondary school level, take advantage of collegiate opportunities in order that their individual potential is enriched, and reduce the need for remedial education in college. In so doing, these programs benefit individual participants, perhaps, more importantly, they develop the talent for California's future -- a future that will increasingly require the capacity for technological sophistication and innovation coupled with an ability to coalesce a diverse population to live, work, and strive to reach common goals. In short, these effective programs and the lessons that we can learn from them to improve our schools may be the epitome of the type of government that the Governor described back in 1992 and our best hope for California's future

Introduction to the Study

HE COMMISSION has long supported programs conducted collaboratively by the public schools and higher education that prepare students for college Previous evaluations of two of these programs -- the California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) and the Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA) Program -- conducted by the Commission in the early 1980s

When Maximo Escobedo and his family arrived in the United States from Tujuana, Mexico in 1981, he had many dreams and aspirations, including attending college Still, there were barriers to be overcome, including Escobedo's limited ability to speak English and a system that did not view him as a prime candidate for college Still Escobedo and his family insisted that he be placed in college preparatory classes, and, at the end of his sophomore year, he was recruited for a new course designed to assist Clairemont's disadvantaged students in succeeding in a college preparatory sequence and enrolling in postsecondary education Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) Despite the rigor of AVID, Escobedo found the class supportive "I always considered it as my 'home room,'" he says Escobedo earned his B A degree in graphic design from San Diego State and graduated from the prestigious Art Center College of Design in Pasadena as well Now a senior graphic designer with the Brooktree Corporation in San Diego, Escobedo has become a founding member of the AVID Alumni Association When Maximo Escobedo speaks to AVID students, no matter where they are, he finds something in common "I see a little bit of myself in all of them." he says Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)

demonstrated their effectiveness in preparing students from backgrounds historically underrepresented in college to enter and succeed in higher education Following these assessments of individual programs, the Commission was directed by the Governor and Legislature to conduct a three-year study on the effectiveness of nine collaborative student preparation programs that culminated in a report adopted by the Commission in January, 1992 (Final Report on the Effectiveness of Intersegmental Student Preparation Programs, 1992) These programs were judged to be so effective in meeting their goals that discussion of the benefits that accrue to the State from them was included as part of the Commission's response to the Governor's Executive Order to End Preferential Treatment and to Pro-

mote Individual Opportunity Based on Merit (Perspective of the California Postsecondary Education Commission on Educational Equity, p 4)

Since the Commission's last assessment of these programs, these programs have taken on added significance both because of their specific goals and their demonstrated effectiveness in achieving those goals. In general, these programs have a common purpose to increase the eligibility and college-going rates of students from groups that have evidenced consistently low rates in the past. To the extent that these programs are effective in enhancing those rates, they have the potential to contribute to meeting several educational challenges facing California today

Based upon that potential and the current context in which discussions of educational equity are occurring in California, the Commission decided to examine the progress of these programs in achieving their goals since its last report.

Context of this study

As has often been said, California's school population is growing and becoming more diverse with each class. This diversity is reflected in the number of native languages that students speak, in the racial-ethnic communities in which they live, in their socioeconomic levels, and in their preparation for learning. This degree of diversity challenges the educational enterprise in myriad ways and at every educational level. Concomitantly, schools have experienced serious fiscal constraints because of the recession from which California is just now recovering. This confluence of events has given rise to three major issues around which the discussion of education in California has been focused for the past year.

- Improvement in student learning and academic performance in California public schools,
- Reduction in the need for California public universities to offer remedial instruction, and
- Equality and merit in the college admissions process

Improvement
in student learning
and academic
performance

Evidence continues to accumulate that California's public school students are experiencing difficulties in mastering academic subjects and preparing for either college-level instruction or entry-level positions in "the world of work" In order to address this issue, the California Education Round Table -- composed of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, the chief executive officers of California's public and independent higher education sectors, and the Commission's Executive Director -- have collaboratively produced and are implementing a five-point plan to establish statewide standards and assessment mechanisms that will more closely link teaching and learning in schools with admissions requirements for higher education and the job market -- Among the strategies in this collaborative plan is the intention to expand effective efforts that provide additional support for public schools, including the employment of college students to serve as mentors, advisors, and tutors for elementary and secondary school students -- This intention is predicated on the success that the academic development programs discussed in this report have demonstrated in the past to enhance the preparation of students for college

Reduction
in the need
for remedial
instruction
at the university
level

Over the past two years, the State University, in particular, has embarked on an examination of the nature and extent of the need for remedial instruction on its campuses Information indicates that, based upon placement tests administered by the State University, over 40 percent of the entering freshmen in 1994 were placed in remedial classes in English and mathematics despite the fact that these students met the system's admissions requirements. After a year-long examination, the Board of Trustees adopted a plan to significantly reduce the need for remedial instruction within the next decade through a concrete set of actions whose focus is

on collaborative engagement with the schools While much of the plan centers on supporting teachers and reevaluating assessment instruments and the timing of their introduction into the schools, directly assisting students to master English and mathematics skills will be integral to this plan. To the degree that collaborative academic development programs in this report have designed and implemented effective models to both support students and teachers in mastering these basic skills, they provide valuable information and guides for a comprehensive effort to reduce the need for remedial instruction in the future

Equality and merit in the college admissions process

The University of California is in the process of eliminating any consideration of race, ethnicity, gender, national origin, color, or religion in its admissions process or practices as a consequence of the action of its Board of Regents on July 20, 1995 Stipulated in the same action is the intention to maintain an emphasis on educating an University student body that reflects the State's diversity The challenge for the University in meeting this combination of objectives is the current differential rates of eligibility to attend the University among racial-ethnic groups -- rates that make it virtually impossible at present to achieve diversity without some consideration of race and ethnicity in the University's admissions process among eligible applicants to its most selective and oversubscribed campuses The success of the programs discussed in this report in substantially enhancing the eligibility rate for admission to the University among students from groups whose present rates are low contributes in two ways to meeting the challenge facing the University (1) the programs continue to increase the number of students currently eligible for the University, and (2) these programs provide a key to the services and activities that must be delivered on a statewide basis in order to eliminate the need to consider race and ethnicity in the admissions process, while ensuring that the student body will reflect California's population in the future

The academic development programs discussed in this report function at the nexus of secondary and postsecondary education. As such, they offer valuable information and experience upon which to build statewide efforts to improve student learning and performance — an effort which should reduce the need for remedial instruction at the collegiate level and produce an eligible and competitive pool of students across the racial-ethnic spectrum such that the need to consider race and ethnicity in the admissions process is minimized, but the goal of enrolling a diverse student body is maximized. As such, the examination of these programs at this time should lead to the framing of public policy recommendations that will ensure that the State has a continuous stream of educated and emerging leaders and workers to maintain its preeminent role economically, technologically, socially, and politically in the future

The Commission's
last report
on academic
development
programs

In supplemental language to the 1988 State Budget, the Commission was directed to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of existing intersegmental student preparation programs in cooperation with the statewide offices of the public schools and higher education The specific language was

The California Postsecondary Education Commission shall develop and implement a strategy to assess the impact of intersegmental programs designed to improve the preparation of secondary school students for college and university study. The purposes of the report shall be to identify those programs and institutional activities which are successful and to recommend priorities for future state funding to improve student preparation. Prior to October 1, 1991,

The event that determined Aleece Dixon's career was a visit to her high school by a woman whose name she doesn't even remember

Dixon was in the tenth grade at Dorsey High School (USC Center) when the woman, an African American civil engineer, came to share her experiences with the MESA students The woman's speech opened doors

"Her speech sparked me into engineering And I don't even know her name," said Dixon, now an industrial engineer for United Parcel Service (UPS) in Cerritos Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA) the Commission shall submit a final report identifying those programs which have been most effective in achieving their objectives and recommending priorities for future state funding to improve student preparation (Item 6420-0011-001, 1988-89 Budget Act)

In collaboration with the statewide offices, the Commission identified nine programs to include in the study whose goals and characteristics were similar, but whose strategies for accomplishing those goals differed to some extent Eight pro-

grams have continued since the release of the Commission's report and are included in the current study, the University and College Opportunities Program no longer exists

After reviewing these programs during the three-year study, the Commission offered five conclusions and recommendations as presented in Display 1 To some extent, these conclusions and recommendations will form the framework for examining these programs in the current study and the extent to which they have contributed to progress in achieving statewide educational equity goals Finally, this report responds directly to the fourth recommendation from the previous study on the benefits to the State of monitoring these programs on a regular and longitudinal basis

Organization of this report

The remainder of this report is organized as follows

- Part Three describes the characteristics of the programs included in this study, with particular attention on substantive changes in program design and implementation since the last report,
- Part Four assesses the extent to which the programs, individually and collectively, are achieving their objectives and contributing to the realization of statewide educational equity goals, and,

DISPLAY 1 The Commission's Conclusions and Recommendations from the 1992 Study of Intersegmental Student Preparation Programs

CONCLUSIONS

RECOMMENDATIONS

1 The programs have been so demonstrably effective that they deserve statewide expansion

The Governor and Legislature should develop State policy and provide resources to expand these programs in order to serve all students in California who, because of their backgrounds and life circumstances, need these programs at this time to prepare for, and pursue, a college education Expansion should occur in terms of (1) student participants, (2) school participants, (3) participation in rural areas, (4) residential program components, and, elementary school participation

2 The programs have clearly demonstrated their efficient use of resources

The Governor and Legislature should state their expectation that the educational system will continue to develop and implement strategies to ensure that State resources are spent efficiently and unnecessary duplication of services is minimized

3 The effective components of these programs can and should be incorporated into the operation of every school The Governor and Legislature should encourage schools to incorporate in their curriculum, instruction, and counseling practices the most effective components of these programs

4. The programs should continue to be monitored

The Commission, in consultation with representatives of the educational system and managers of statewide programs, should develop and implement a process to monitor programs on a regular and longitudinal basis

5 The programs exemplify collaboration as a vital approach to address educational challenges

The Governor and Legislature should develop State policy that encourages and supports the educational system in initiating and continuing to develop and implement collaborative approaches to the educational challenges facing California • Part Five discusses the lessons that can be learned from these programs in terms of the strategies by which to enhance the preparation of students for college

The appendix to this report contains a matrix depicting the schools served by each of these programs throughout the state

3

Characteristics of Academic Development Programs

HIS SECTION of the report will present a description of the nine academic development programs that were examined in this study. Similarities and differences among the programs are identified as a backdrop to assessing the extent to which each has been effective in realizing their specific goals and contributing to the achievement of statewide educational equity objectives. Moreover, this section

When looking at her students, Ena Harris, Principal at Martin Luther King Elementary School in West Oakland, saw that they needed broader opportunities for basic literacy and learning. To meet this need, she and Jabari Mahiri, a professor in the School of Education at UC Berkeley, put together a program that enhances the computer literacy of the school's teachers, students, and their parents

Most of the students at King Elementary School come from low-income families and face multiple health, nutritional, and social barriers to their academic success. With funding and equipment contributions from the Urban Community-School Collaborative at the UC President's Office, the school has been able to place computers in classrooms and to create a media center with high-level computer and telecommunications capabilities

The project also places a UC Berkeley graduate student at King School to assist teachers one day per week "That has been a real support for us," Harris remarked. "Sort of like having a technical advisor here at the school"

Students use the computers to prepare their own reports about their studies, themselves, and their community. They have also been active in creating and developing their own Home Page on the Internet — a resource that provides them with endless possibilities for developing reading and writing skills and with almost immediate rewards for their academic efforts. An additional benefit of the program has been the increased computer proficiency, literacy, and involvement of the students' parents. Urban School-Community Collaborative (UCSCol)

will present a picture of the breadth and depth of these programs in serving the State's student population. Finally, attention will be directed at determining the extent to which these programs have changed, particularly since the completion of the Commission's last study of these efforts

Common program characteristics

California's large array of academic development programs necessitated that the Commission limit its study focus to a subset of these efforts. The previous study used a combination of six characteristics in selecting programs to include in the study and, because the current study sought to examine the progress of these programs over time, those characteristics remained the operative criteria for inclusion in this study. Those criteria are

- Goal The programs' purpose is to increase the number of students who pursue higher educational opportunities from backgrounds and communities that have historically demonstrated low eligibility and college-going rates. Moreover, the objective of these programs is to prepare students for higher education rather than to recruit students for a particular campus or system
- Collaboration The common strategy for accomplishing this programmatic goal
 is the development of a cooperative relationship between public schools and
 higher educational institutions. Often, several schools and campuses from various higher education sectors are involved in the partnership and their involvement extends to designing, managing, and implementing the program collaboratively
- Administration While the programs are locally- or regionally-based, they are administered at the state level through a central office
- Student-centered approach These programs tend to serve students directly rather
 than concentrating on improving curriculum or instruction However, three of
 the programs -- Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID), the Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS), and
 the California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) -- have student-centered
 components but tend to concentrate on curricular and instructional change.
- Student participants These programs focus on providing services to students from groups who have documented low eligibility and college-going rates In terms of racial-ethnic groups, Black, Latino, and Native American students currently have low rates, in terms of geographic regions, students in rural areas have low eligibility and college-going rates, and, in terms of socioeconomic status, students from low-income families have low rates Therefore, at present, these programs focus on students from those groups but they provide services to students from other groups as well
- Secondary-postsecondary transition These programs are centered on the transition between high school and college as contrasted to the flow within higher education

It should be noted that these six characteristics define the population of programs to be included in this study; no judgment or assessment is implied of programs excluded from this study because their characteristics are different from those selected for inclusion here

Programs in the study

The nine programs included in this study are

- 1 Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID) -- administered by the AVID Center (a non-profit corporation) and the California Department of Education and involving 141 school districts and the public higher education sectors,
- Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS)
 -- administered by the Lawrence Hall of Science at the University of California,
 Berkeley and neighboring Bay Area urban school districts,

- 3 California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) -- administered by the Chancellor's Office of the California State University and including six school districts, all public systems of education, two independent colleges and universities, and private sector partners,
- 4 California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) -- administered by the California Student Aid Commission and involving 36 school districts, all public systems of education, 23 independent colleges and universities, and several community-based agencies,
- 5 College Readiness Program (CRP) -- administered by the Chancellor's Office of the California State University and the California Department of Education and including 10 school districts and five State University campuses,
- 6 Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) -- administered by the Office of the President of the University of California and involving 131 school districts and the University's eight general campuses,
- 7 Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA) -- administered by the Office of the President of the University of California and involving 69 school districts, the State's two public university systems, two community colleges, and 4 independent colleges and universities,
- 8 Middle College (MC) -- administered by the Chancellor's Office of the California Community Colleges and involving two school districts and two community colleges, and
- 9 Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) -- administered by the Office of the President of the University of California and involving 45 school districts, five University campuses, five State University campuses, and 28 community-based organizations

The first eight programs were part of the Commission's previous study, the Urban Community-School Collaborative is a relatively new University program that staff of the Office of the President recommended be included in this study. In this study, then, UCSCol replaces the University and College Opportunities (UCO) Program that the California Department of Education administered but is no longer operative

Program characteristics

Display 2 summarizes the major characteristics of the programs and their similarities and differences in terms of mission, program philosophy, implementation strategy, and structure Programmatic variations existed along several dimensions in the 1994-95 year

Longevity The Mathematics Engineering Science Achievement (MESA) Program's silver anniversary was last year and the Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) has celebrated its twentieth year, the Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) is barely five years old Most of the programs have functioned for between 10 and 20 years

DISPLAY 2 Major Characteristics of the Nine Programs in 1994-95

	Advancement Via Individual Determination AVID	Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems ACCESS	California Academic Partnership Program CAPP	California Student Opportunity and Access Program Cal-SOAP
Program Impetus/ Program Starting Date	Assembly Bill 2321 that expired June 30, 1988 (Tanner, 1985) but the program began in 1980 In 1995, State funding was provided for statewide expansion	Berkeley Chancellor's initiative to strengthen capacity of neighboring secondary schools to prepare underrepresented students for college (1980)	Senate Bill 813 (Hughes-Hart Education Reform Act of 1983) and Assembly Bill 2398 (Hughes, 1984)	Assembly Bill 507 (Fazio, 1978)
Program Mission	Ensure that all students, especially disadvantaged and underachieving students with academic potential, will (1) succeed in a ngorous curriculum, (2) participate in mainstream school activities, (3) increase their enrollment in baccalaureate-granting institutions, and (4) be educated and responsible societal leaders	Assist schools to engage in a school-based change process leading to curricular, instructional, assessment, and organizational reforms that strengthen their mathematics, English, and counseling programs	Foster partnerships between school districts, colleges, and universities to improve learning, academic preparation, and access for middle and high school students to earn baccalaureate degrees	Improve and increase the accessibility of postsecondary education to students from backgrounds historically underrepresented in postsecondary education
Program Strategies to Fulfill Mission	Provide direct student services through Preparation for college admissions and placement tests Academic support in rigorous curriculum Advisement and career preparation Parent education Instruction in writing and inquiry Tutors in academic courses Provide coordinated staff development and curriculum support based on the California Frameworks, research, and recommendations from regional directors to teachers	Coordinated curriculum, staff development, and technical assistance for teachers, counselors, and administrators • Direct support for students • Tutoring. • Problem-solving and SAT preparation • Academic advising • In-class instruction	Offer grants to develop projects bringing together teams of faculty from schools and colleges to enhance curricular and instructional processes around academic subject areas. Focus for 1993-96 was on mathematics instruction. Provide services to students in order that they can benefit from these enhancements.	Through a consortial approach requiring matching funds Serve as a clearinghouse for educational and financial aid information Provide academic support for students Supplement the schools' counseling function Assist parents to learn about college opportunities
Program Structure	Consistent format with some adaptation to site needs	Adaptive to school site needs on the basis of either participation in the core or expanded program	Each project developed on the basis of a local needs assessment as part of the proposal process	Each consortium designs services on the basis of local needs
Duration at a School Site	Continuous	Continuous	Generally three years	Continuous
Potential Length of Time with a Student	Optimally four or more years	Possibly six years (Grades 7 through 12)	Possibly three years, most likely two years	Possibly up to nine years, most likely four years

Source. California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of reports submitted by each statewide program manager

Program Impetus/ Program Startung Date	College Readiness Program CRP Address underrepresentation of first- generation and low- uncome middle school students to enroll in college preparatory math and English courses (1986)	Early Academic Outreach Program EAOP To significantly increase eligibility rates among those groups of students with demonstrated low rates (1975)	Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement MESA Concern among educators about the small number of Black and Mexican-American engineering graduates (1970).	Middle College MC Replication of the successful model of Middle College developed and implemented by La Guardia Community College in New York (1988)	Urban Community- School Collaborative UCSCol The 1983 CPEC report documenting the low rates at which Black and Latino students achieve eligibility for the University and the report of the UC Task Force on Black Student Eligibility (1990).
Program Mission	Improve the academic preparation of first-generation and low-income middle school students to succeed in a high school preparatory curriculum and enter college	Assist individual students to enroll and complete a college preparatory course of study leading to eligibility for the University	To develop academic and leadership skills, raise educational expectations, and instill confidence in students from groups whose eligibility rates are low in order to increase the number of these students who graduate with a baccalaureate degree in Engineering, Physical Sciences, and other math-based fields	Reduce the number of high-risk students with college potential who leave secondary school without a diploma.	Develop and coordinate cross-disciplinary inter-institutional collaborative activities of the University with local schools and communities to address educational, health, and social needs of youth in California.
Program Strategies to Fulfili Mission	Employ college students to serve as educational interns to assist students on a small-group basis to master mathematics and English skills and enhance motivation for college on the part of students and parents.	Strengthen the knowledge about, and motivation and preparation for, postsecondary education through individual and group activities with students, parents, and schools	With substantial support from the private sector, provide a set of student-centered activities designed to motivate and prepare students for math-based fields. While MESA serves students from kindergarten through graduate school, the two components that serve pre-college students are MESA schools program and Success Through Collaboration.	Through contributions from both participants, the college merges strengths from both institutions by its location on a community college campus with instruction by school district faculty to create a comprehensive, accredited high school.	Asset in building and sustaining local teams to address student needs, broker and support local collaborations by offering seed grants and technical assistance; and sponsor local community and statewide forums to network and share information.
Program Structure	Programs are generally similar across the State	Program structure is generally the same across University of California campuses.	Centers adapt to meet local needs, although the components are similar	The structure at each site will be a replica of the La Guardia model	Programs adapted to meet locally defined community and school needs
Duration at a School Site	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous
Potential Length of Time with a Student	Possibly three years	Possibly six years (Grades 7 through 12)	Possibly seven years (Grades 6 through 12)	Three to four years	Three or more years

- Mission While the programs have common goals, their specific missions varied
 considerably Advancement via Individual Determination (AVID), the Alliance
 for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS) and the
 California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) focused on a school change
 process, other programs tended to be more student-centered in their missions,
 and, the Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) combines some of
 both of these missions, but it varies from site to site depending upon local definition
- Programmatic strategies Most of the student-centered programs provided similar services, such as tutoring, college admissions test preparation activities, and advisement, the school change programs provided direct services to teachers in the curricular and instructional areas
- Structure and duration at a site In all cases, the general structure of a program was adapted to meet local exigencies and situations but the services provided to a school site were continuous throughout the duration of the program
- Length of time with a student Most of the programs served students for at least two years In the case of those programs that operated in middle schools or junior high schools, they, often, provided services to students through their senior year in high school -- a period of potentially up to six years of continuous assistance in preparing for college

Few changes in the programmatic characteristics have occurred since the last Commission report. In the main, these programs continued with the same strategies and structure as previously documented and focused on the same mission as in the past. An exception to this generality is that CAPP decided to concentrate its funding for three years on improving mathematics instruction rather than providing projects with the flexibility to focus on any academic discipline

Program operations

Display 3 on pages 26-27 presents information on the manner in which these programs operated in the 1994-95 year As indicated, some variation existed among these programs along operational dimensions

- Scope of services These programs differed in terms of their scope of services from Middle College (MC) that functioned in two school districts and two community colleges to the Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) that provided services in 141 school districts statewide
- Institutional participation The Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) had the broadest level of public school participation and the California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) spanned the largest number of higher education institutions, with the distinction of including 23 independent colleges and universities in its consortia The Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) broadened the range of institutional program participants by including 28 community-based agencies in its activities

Objectives. While the goals of these programs were similar, their specific objectives reflected the particular strategies that they identified as most effective. That is, those programs that were school-based had objectives that related to curricular and instructional change, as illustrated by the Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS) and the California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP), the other programs that were student-centered had corresponding objectives.

The most dramatic change in program operations since the Commission's last report was in terms of institutional participation, particularly from colleges and universities that appear to have become more receptive in this time period to collaborating with our public schools in preparing students for college. Likewise, the number of school districts participating in these programs increased by 14 percent. Moreover, there has been some movement among these programs to design activities that were more classroom-based rather than informational-centered -- a trend consistent with the Commission's recommendation to focus on academic enrichment.

Participating schools

Due to financial constraints, these programs serve only selected schools throughout California The general selection criteria for schools are the following

- The level of cooperation and support that the school administration will provide to the program in functioning in that school,
- A significant proportion of students from groups who have documented low eligibility and college-going rates,
- Some proximity to the college or university administering the program, and,
- An assessment that students at the school will benefit from participation in the program because the school either is not involved in other academic development programs or this program would offer a new or coordinated set of services

Display 4 on page 28 depicts the nature of the schools that participated in these programs in the 1994-95 year This information was extracted from the California Basic Education Data System (CBEDS) The display shows that

• These programs reported serving 1,223 schools throughout California in the 1994-95 year However, because some schools participated in more than one of these programs, this figure should not be considered an unduplicated count Rather, the Commission's analysis reveals that 830 individual schools were involved in these programs which represents an increase of over 15 percent in the last five years This increase is consistent with the Commission's recommendation to expand the number of schools that participate in these programs On the other hand, only 7 5 percent of schools in the State were involved in these programs in the 1994-95 year

DISPLAY 3 Operation of the Nine Programs During 1994-95

	Advancement Via Individual Determination AVID	Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems ACCESS	California Academic Partnership Program CAPP	California Student Opportunity and Access Program Cal-SOAP
Administrative Agency	California Department of Education oversees AVID statewide expansion and regional offices. The AVID Center in the San Diego County Office of Education serves as the subcontractor for support to the eight regional offices.	The Lawrence Hall of Science at the University of California, Berkeley	The California State University, with advice from a Statewide Intersegmental Advisory Board	California Student Aid Commission, with advice from a Statewide Intersegmental Advisory Committee and local consortium boards for each project
Institutional Participants	141 school districts, 20 CSU campus, and 8 UC campuses	Bay Area urban school districts, Lawrence Hall of Science at the University of California, Berkeley	6 school districts, 6 CCC campuses, 6 CSU campuses, 2 UC campuses, and 2 independent institutions represented in 6 local projects	36 school districts, 22 CCC campuses, 14 CSU campuses, 8 UC campuses, and 23 independent institutions represented in six local consortia
Program Objectives	 To provide training to teachers in methodologies that help students succeed in a more rigorous curriculum, To improve participation in college preparatory courses, and, To increase the number of students who enroll in postsecondary education 	To strengthen school capacity to prepare students for college, as indicated by improvements in (1) A-F course completion and college eligibility rates, (2) performance on standardized tests, (3) curriculum, instruction, and assessment standards, and counseling, leadership, and school organization	To improve secondary school curriculum and instruction and the ability of students to benefit from these improvements (The voluntary assessment program component of CAPP will not be included in this study because its goals are not specifically student-centered)	To improve the flow of information about postsecondary educational opportunities in order to increase student enrollment in post-secondary education To raise the achievement levels in order to increase enrollment in postsecondary education
Service Components	 Assistance with college admissions test-taking and college admissions process Counseling and career preparation for the professions Instruction in note taking, time management, research skills, and study skills Motivational activities Staff development Tutoring Other support services 	Site-based and district-level professional development, and technical assistance in curriculum planning and development, assessment, counseling, and school organization Direct student support tutoring, academic and college advising, in-class instruction, and problem-solving and SAT preparation	Advisement. Articulation Campus visits Curriculum development and implementation Field trips to colleges and business/industry sites New instructional strategies Parent involvement Summer programs Teacher in-service Technology integration Tutoring	 Advisement. Assistance with the college application process Carreer awareness activities Financial aid information dissemination Parent involvement Skill development classes Summer residential programs Test preparation workshops Tutoring

Administrative Agency	College Readiness Program CRP The California State University and the California Department of Education.	Early Academic Outreach Program EAOP University of California	Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement MESA University of California, Office of the President, with advice from a statewide intersegmental and industry advisory board and local advisory	Middle College MC California Community Colleges' Chancellor's Office.	Urban Community School Collaborative UCSCol University of California, Office of the President
Institutional Participants	10 school districts; 5 CSU campuses.	131 school districts, 8 UC campuses.	69 school districts; 2 CC campuses, 12 CSU campuses, 2 UC campuses, and 4 independent institutions represented in 30 project centers	Los Angeles and West Contra Costa Unified School Districts, Contra Costa College and Los Angeles Southwest College	45 school districts; 5 CSU campuses, 9 UC campuses, 28 community-based organizations
Program Objectives	To increase enrollment of first-generation and low-income students in the ninth grade in algebra and college preparatory English courses by 30 percent. To improve student preparation, parent motivation, and awareness of college	To increase the pool of students who meet the Umversity of California's admissions requirements from backgrounds and communities with documented low rates of eligibility	To increase the number of students from groups with documented low rates of eigibility who pursue careers in math-based professions	To increase the number of high risk students who earn high school diplomas To increase the number of high risk students who attend college	To increase the number of students academically prepared and eligible for higher education. To integrate comprehensive educational, health, and social services for educationally disadvantaged youth.
Service Components	 CSU campus visits. CSU interns provide academic assistance in math and English. Parental activities. Problem-solving instruction. Workshops on college attendance and financial aid. 	Academic skills development. Administrative/ programmatic linkages between schools and the University Information dissemination. Motivational development. Parent involvement. Paricipant identification and referral.	Academic and financial advising. Academic development programs. Culturally relevant activities Enrichment programs involving the private sector and postsecondary education institutions. Family involvement Organized group study	Academic, career, and personal counseling. Career internship experience Classroom instruction Staff development.	Academic programs at the schools Community forums. Curriculum and professional development for teachers Identification of resources for schools and communities. Seed grant program. Statewide conferences Technical assistance

Display 4 Characteristics of the Secondary Schools Participating in the Nine Programs
During 1994

	AVID	ACCESS	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Total Number Schools	316	29	7	106	21	452	242	18	32
Elementary	9	0	0	7	0	11	36	0	11
Middle/Junior High	108	25	3	23	21	162	85	12	2
Semor	199	4	4	76	0	279	121	6	19
Total 1993-94 School Enrollment	461,502	23,477	10,262	157,819	25,555	725,888	375,799	33,285	42,904
Percent Asian	11 3%	25 5%	17 0%	12 1%	9 0%	11 7%	13 1%	5 3%	10 9%
Percent Black	7 3%	44 1%	17 8%	12 0%	17 8%	13 1%	15 3%	47 0%	18 5%
Percent Launo	36 4%	22 1%	41 6%	37 9%	65 7%	4 7%	51 5%	43 6%	43 0%
Percent Native American	0 9%	0 6%	0 3%	0 8%	0 5%	0 6%	0 7%	0 2%	0 4%
Percent White	44 2%	7 6%	23 3%	37 2%	6 9%	27 4%	19 4%	3 9%	27 2%
Total 1993-94 Graduating Class	63,148	1,221	1,643	24,436	NA	94,558	45,434	2,040	5,999
Percent Asian	13 5%	21 3%	19 7 %	15 1%	NA	15 7%	17 2%	4 3%	15 1%
Percent Black	5 8%	52 9%	30 1%	10 4%	NA	12 0%	13 6%	52 8%	16 3%
Percent Latino	31 4%	17 4%	30 6%	33 5%	NA	40 1%	44 0%	42 2%	31 0%
Percent Native American	0 7%	0 3%	0 4%	0 5%	NA	0 6%	0 7%	0 1%	0 3%
Percent White	48 7%	8 0%	19 2%	40 5%	NA	31 7%	24 5%	0 6%	37 3%
Total 1993-94 Graduates with College									
Preparatory *A-F" Courses	19,979	734	607	6,773	NA	32,603	16,522	887	2,503
Percent Asian	18 5%	26 4%	24 7%	22 1%	NA	23 2%	25 7%	3 8%	24 5%
Percent Black	3 6%	53 7%	31 0%	6 5%	NA	11 0%	13 8%	48 9%	11 6%
Percent Latino	18 5%	11 7%	23 2%	20 5%	NA	28 4%	32 2%	46 2%	21 3%
Percent Native American	0 6%	0 1%	0 2%	0 5%	NA	0 5%	0 6%	0 0%	0 5%
Percent White	58 8%	8 0%	20 9%	50 4%	NA	37 0%	27 8%	1 0%	42 1%
Total Enrollment in College									
Preparatory Mathematics Courses	32,882	701	845	12 ,94 7	NA	42,082	21,258	528	2,497
Percent Asian	23 5%	42 9%	49 5%	27 6%	NA	29 2%	33 4%	9 1%	34 8%
Percent Black	3 9%	34 8%	9 8%	6 1%	NA	7 3%	8 2%	46 4%	9 0%
Percent Latmo	16 3%	9 4%	21 8%	17 7%	NA	22 4%	25 6%	43 8%	12 1%
Percent Native American	0 5%	0 3%	0 4%	0 5%	NA	0 5%	0 7%	0 0%	0 4%
Percent White	55 8%	12 6%	18 6%	48 0%	NA	40 5%	32 2%	0 8%	43 8%

Source California Postsecondary Education Commission from California Basic Educational Database System (CBEDS)

- In terms of schools served, the range varied by specific program The smallest program was the California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) which functioned in only seven schools in the 1994-95 year, the largest was the Early Academic Outreach Program that served 452 schools that year Moreover, programs varied in terms of grade level focus the College Readiness Program (CRP) operated only in middle schools, Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS), CAPP, and Middle College (MC) involved only middle and high schools, and, the other programs spanned the spectrum from elementary to high schools
- The schools selected for participation in these programs have quite a diverse student body, with a plurality of Black and Latino students -- an expected finding given the goals of these programs and the low eligibility rates of students from these racial-ethnic backgrounds On the other hand, the schools served by these programs have a significant proportion of Asian and White students as well
- In most cases, the percent of Black and Latino students in the graduating classes of these high schools is below their proportion in the student body as a whole.
 This finding suggests that students from these backgrounds are less likely to persist to graduation than their counterparts.
- Likewise, the proportion of students completing a college preparatory sequence
 or enrolling in College Preparatory Mathematics classes is at variance from the
 racial-ethnic composition of the student body at these schools Asian and White
 students are more likely to enroll in and complete a college preparatory course
 of study than their Black and Latino classmates

Participating students

Display 5 on pages 30-31 pictures the students served by each of these programs and the criteria by which these students were selected Several patterns are noteworthy on this display

- A total number of 136,265 students participated in these nine programs. However, that figure should not be considered an unduplicated count because it is possible that some students participated in more than one of these programs, although the nature of services that they received would not have been duplicative. Based upon the matrix in the Appendix of this report, the Commission estimates that approximately 85,000 individual students participated in these programs in the 1994-95 year. That figure represents 3 8 percent of the students in grades 7-12 in that year and 8 6 percent of the students from groups with low eligibility rates in those grades in the 1993-94 year the last year for which comparable information is currently available.
- While the majority of students served by these programs are in the eighth through twelfth grades, more students in the earlier grade levels participated in these

DISPLAY 5 Characteristics of the Students in the Nine Programs in 1994-95

	Advancement Via Individual Determination AVID	Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems ACCESS	California Academic Partnership Program CAPP*	California Student Opportunity and Access Program Cal-SOAP
Criteria for Student Selection	Disadvantaged and underachieving students generally in the middle range of achievement who have been recommended by a teacher and interviewed for participation	Middle School All students enrolled in specific mathematics courses High School All students enrolled in college preparatory math courses	Students enrolled in pre- college or college preparatory courses in mathematics	Students interested in pursuing postsecondary educational goals who can benefit from program services
Definition of "Served" Student	Students who participate in all program activities	Students whose teachers participate in either core or expanded programs	Students receiving direct services from the project in terms of its activity components	Students participating in at least two individual advisement sessions or two academic support sessions, or a combination of both
Number of Students	19,500	9,879	4,161	22,399
Grade Level Below Seventh Seventh Eighth Ninth Tenth Eleventh Twelfth Other	2 3% 6 6% 22 7% 23 0% 19 6% 14 9% 10 7% 0 0%	0 0% 18 0% 15 4% 26 0% 21 6% 14 2% 4 8% 0 0%	14 0% 10 0% 5 0% 27 0% 15 0% 14 0% 0 0%	1 7% 5 4% 11 5% 12 4% 14 6% 17 7% 29 3% 7 3%
Racial/Ethnic Background Asian Black Latino Native American White Other Gender Female Male	12 0% 14 0% 58 0% 2 0% 14 0% 0 0%	Unavailable, but percentages should reflect schoolwide figures in Display 4 Unavailable, but percentages should reflect schoolwide figures in Display 4	13 0% 14 0% 39 0% 1 0% 23 0% 10 0% 51 4% 48 6%	4 0% 25 0% 46 6% 1 4% 12 5% 10 5% 54 7% 45 3%
Socioeconomic Status of the Household*	42 percent report being economically disadvantaged	NR	29 percent on AFDC	\$32 250

*Figure for the 1993-94 year NR = Not reported Source California Postsecondary Education Commission analysis of program reports.

College Readiness Program CRP	Early Academic Outreach Program EAOP	Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement MESA	Middle College MC	Urban Community- School Collaborative UCSCol
First-generation and low-income middle grade students achieving at grade level in terms of achievement tests and grades along with teacher recommendations	Students in junior high school who have the potential to benefit from services to achieve eligibility and who are willing to take prescribed sequence of courses	Junior High Students interested in exploring mathematics-based fields Senior High Students willing to take A-F course pattern and willing to explore mathematics-based major and careers	Students with a history of truancy, low academic achievement, and counselor recommendation	All students in participating schools or community-based organizations which tend to be in low-income areas with large proportions of educationally disadvantaged students
Students receiving direct services from program components	Students who have individual contact with the program at least six times per year	Students who regularly attend MESA activities, maintain minimum grade-point average, and enroll in prescribed courses	Students who are enrolled at Middle College High Schools	Students who participate in any program activity
870	58,717	14,604	545	5,590
7 0% 42 0% 51 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 2 7% 26 0% 11 1% 19 9% 22 5% 17 9% 0 0%	13 8% 14 2% 15 3% 16 8% 17 8% 13 1% 9 1% 0 0%	0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 28 6% 26 1% 23 1% 22 2% 0 0%	NR
12 0% 19 0% 69 0% 0 0% 0 0%	9 2% 16 3% 59 6% 2 1% 12 8% 0 0%	4 5% 29 4% 54 8% 8 7% 0 8% 1 9%	7 0% 47 9% 31 2% 0 4% 9 7% 3 9%	Unavailable, but percentages should reflect schoolwide figures on Display 4
60 0% 40 0%	61 7% 38 3%	57 0% 43 0%	57 2% 42 8%	NR
\$36,490	\$ 34,121	\$34,978	NR	NR

programs than did so previously This trend is consistent with the Commission's recommendation to expand services to students early in their educational careers in order that they will be ready for the rigors of college preparatory courses as they approach high school entry

- With respect to the racial-ethnic background of program participants, there are three trends evident since the last report (1) The proportion of Latino students participating in these programs increased -- a fact not surprising given the demographic changes in the State's population, in general, and in the school population, in particular, (2) The proportion of White students participating in these programs increased over the five years which may reflect an intensification of program activity in rural areas -- a trend consistent with the Commission's recommendation that these programs should expand to under-served areas of the State, and (3) The proportion of Black students participating in these programs is decreasing -- a fact reflecting State population trends but one that is problematic given the increasing underrepresentation of this group of students on college campuses in the State and nationally
- As in the past, females constituted a majority of participants in each of these programs -- from a high of 61 7 percent in the Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) to a low of 51 4 percent in the California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP)
- Because information on the economic circumstances of program participants is critical but difficult to obtain, programs attempted to provide an estimate of the financial situation of their students. The California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP), College Readiness Program (CRP), Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP), and Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement Program (MESA) developed their estimates based upon a "zip code" analysis of student addresses that revealed average family incomes of between \$32,250 and \$36,490. The median per capita income in California in 1993 was \$34,073 -- a figure consistent with estimates for families reported by these programs. The other programs that reported information did so on the basis of self-reports from students about their families' financial circumstances. These self-reports add credence to the conclusion that the students participating in these programs are, in large measure, from economically disadvantaged families and communities in that their average family income is equivalent to that of an individual in the state

Program resources

Display 6 on the next page provides information on the resources that support these programs and the sources of those funds Moreover, this display includes information on the combined resource allocation per student in the 1994-95 year. The Commission chose to present the information in this manner because it recognizes that comparing the cost per student among these programs would yield inappropriate judgments about the cost-effectiveness of individual programs that vary in terms of the nature, duration, and types of services that they provide to students

DISPLAY 6 Student Participation and Amount of Funding by Source for the Programs in 1994-95

	Number of Students	State Funds	Institutional Funds	Private <u>Funds</u>	Other <u>Funds</u>	All Funds
AVID	19,500	\$100,000	\$3,510,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,610,000
ACCESS	9,879	\$0	\$418,116	\$0	\$94,608	\$512,724
CAPP*	4,161	\$583,204	\$291,251	\$82,657	\$0	\$957,112
Cal-SOAP	22,399	\$650,000	\$1,051,129	\$0	\$0	\$1,701,129
CRP	870	\$420,265	\$132,000	\$0	\$0	\$552,265
EAOP	58,717	\$0	\$4,584,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,584,000
MESA	14,604	\$3,553,000	\$906,721	\$782,742	\$206,807	\$5,449,270
MC	545	\$0	\$1,458,213**	\$0	\$0	\$1,458,213
UCSCol	5,590	\$ 0	\$281,000	\$0	\$0	\$281,000
Total	136,265	\$5,306,469	\$12,632,430	\$865,399	\$301,415	\$19,105,713
Per Student Cost	-	\$38 94	\$92 70	\$ 6 35	\$2 21	\$140 21

^{*1993-94} Year

Source California Postsecondary Education Commission staff analysis of program reports.

Several items on this display are worth noting, especially when compared with the previous report

- In the 1989-90 year, 115,771 students participated in these programs, in the 1994-95 year, that figure rose to 136,265 -- an increase of 17 7 percent in five years This significant increase is consistent with the Commission's recommendation that these programs should be expanded in terms of number of participants
- Multiple sources of revenue support these programs and the mix of sources vary by program The majority of programs received some State support, all had institutional revenue, two acquired private funds, and two obtained federal dollars
- State funding to support these programs decreased by more than 29 1 since the
 previous report -- a situation that is largely attributable to the shift in resources
 for the Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) from a State to the University of California's institutional support base
- There was extensive growth of over 159 percent in institutional dollars to support these programs during the last five years
- The amount of private funds raised from corporations and foundations remained relatively constant during this time period Moreover, these figures are based

^{**}Based on average daily attendance (ADA) figures.

NR = No Response

only on cash contributions to the programs and exclude valuable in-kind support that is difficult to quantify This suggests that the programs have became more entrepreneurial in the last five years

- As a consequence of these funding shifts, institutions are now the primary supporters of these programs, with the State maintaining an important, but secondary, role At the time of the last report, the State provided over 57 percent of the resources for these programs, in 1994-95, the State's share was slightly over 27 percent
- The cost per student of these programs has increased to some extent over the last five years In 1990-91, the total cost per student was \$113,09; that figure increased to \$140 21 in 1994-95, an increase of 24 percent during the last five years

Summary

This section of the report paints a portrait of the students and schools that comprise a significant portion of the California public school enterprise. The typical student is Asian, Black, or Latino from families whose economic situation places them at or below the State average. The school population is most likely homogeneous because residential patterns often tend to segregate families in racial-ethnic

Marlene Watson is one among very few American Indians with two master's degrees in architecture and civil engineering. Over the past few years, Watson, a Navajo, has guided and worked on many projects on reservations including multipurpose facilities, highway improvements, airport design, and housing developments.

At Oakland Technical High School, Watson joined MESA and took math classes from MESA co-founder Mary Perry Smith Watson graduated high school at 16 and entered UC Berkeley as a civil engineer major. She decided to switch to architecture in her junior year.

Watson is currently an associate with Visions Enterprises, an innovative Redding firm comprised mainly of Indian professionals who assist Indian tribes to build facilities and plan long-range construction projects Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA)

and socioeconomic enclaves. And, the resources available to schools are unequally distributed across districts and the State, with schools serving low-income communities often having less of all the tools that educators know contribute to learning

In the last report, a program director described the situation poignantly and, although there is some reason for optimism that the situation has improved, the picture is still sufficiently realistic to bear repeating, particularly given the fiscal constraints on schools during the last five years

Typically, problems faced by these schools reinforce each oth-

er and are compounded by a dynamic among them that promotes a self-perpetuating cycle of failure. Low student achievement and weak curriculum are reinforced by low expectations and standards, which in turn are reinforced by a lack of adequately prepared teachers, and instructional practices that do not engage students. These problems are compounded by extreme peer pressures not to take school seriously, a general lack of involvement of parents in their children's education and school, student advising and programming practices that tend to exclude students from college preparatory courses, and policies, management practices, and school organization that tend to foster a negative learning and teaching environment

Intense fiscal pressures, frequently changing policies, a lack of long-range planning, and an annual consolidation of teachers and reassignment of administrators exacerbate these conditions, resulting in a lack of continuity and stability in the schools' academic programs. These conditions lead inevitably to low student motivation and teacher morale, teacher burnout and isolation, a disenfranchisement of student, teacher, and administrator communities, and a general lack of hope that conditions could be any different. Many of the schools are in on-going states of crises. Staff in some schools find themselves starting over again each year, while staff in others are too overloaded to do anything more than survive. Neither the schools nor the districts have a management infrastructure that can support significant change or have a strong capacity to address implementation problems on an ongoing basis. Overall, these problems have a particularly detrimental effect on Black and Hispanic students (1989 Preliminary Report on ACCESS/CCPP, pp. 1-2)

It is within this context that the effectiveness of the programs in this study should be viewed -- the topic of the next section of this report 4

Effectiveness of Student Academic Development Programs

THIS SECTION presents information on the extent to which the programs in this study, individually and collectively, have met their objectives and contributed to the achievement of statewide educational equity goals Before preceding, however, it may be worth remembering a caveat from the initial study

Glenetta Turner always wanted to be a teacher After she graduated from Oakland's Castlemont High School in 1989, she went to the University of California at Berkeley where she majored in Sociology and then to Harvard where she received her master's degree in Education and her teaching credential Glenetta is now a special education teacher at a Long Beach middle school

Glenetta says the ACCESS program "definitely made a difference" She took advantage of the ACCESS before-school tutoring program and also remembers the help she got in class from ACCESS coordinators and teaching assistants. Glenetta says "You don't get as much personal attention when there are 30 kids in a class. You were seen as a nerd if you attended [before-school tutoring], but I didn't care!"

"ACCESS is a needed program Now that I'm a teacher I see how you need so much support from every possible source Also, the fact that many of the ACCESS teaching assistants were young college graduates of color was really important to me I didn't know many college students, they were good role models for me I felt an instant connection with them." Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Success (ACCESS)

Methodological challenges are inherent in assessing the effectiveness of student-centered programs in a school context Clearly, schools are complex environments of a holistic nature not readily amenable to rigorous scientific experimentation that provides evidence of causeand-effect relationships Few opportunities or possibilities exist within this complicated maze of interactions to manipulate potentially relevant influences on student outcomes Further, the occasion to manipulate these influences one at a time as required to establish a causal relationship is virtually nonexistent As a consequence, definitive attribution of the effects of a program on student behavior is problematic, if not statistically impossible

Despite the substantive and statistical dilemmas attendant to attributing direct causality to a program, inferences as to the effectiveness of these programs can be drawn by examining two kinds of information

- The extent to which each program met its stated objectives since the last report, and
- College-going rates of program participants as contrasted with the corresponding rates for all graduates statewide

Progress in meeting specific program objectives

Seven programs submitted evaluative information related to their effectiveness. The California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP) began a new project cycle shortly after the Commission's previous study was completed. As a consequence, insufficient time had past to provide additional information on its effectiveness. The Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) Program -- the newest program -- has only an embryonic evaluative capacity presently. This section examines each program's success in meeting its objectives.

Advancement via Individual Determination Program (AVID)

Display 7 presents a comparison of the college-going rates of 1995 graduates of the AVID Program with their San Diego County classmates in 1994 -- the last year for which this information is currently available. Overall, AVID Program graduates enrolled in California higher educational institutions in a significantly larger proportion than did their San Diego County counterparts -- a difference of 42 percentage points. This overall trend is evident with respect to each of the educational sectors, most notably the State University and independent colleges and universities, where the proportion of AVID Program participants enrolled at approximately five times the rate as their local classmates.

DISPLAY 7 Progress of the Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) Program in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives To increase the number of students who enroll in postsecondary education, as measured by college-going rates of these students in comparison to other student populations

Selection Criteria Disadvantaged and underachieving students generally in the middle range of achievement who have been recommended by a teacher and interviewed for participation

Evidence of Effectiveness:

Postsecondary Enrollment Rates for High School Graduates

Sector of Higher Education	1995 Graduates in AVID (N=793)	1994 Graduates in San Diego Countv (N=21,735)
University of California	11 0%	7 6%
California State University	41 0%	8 3%
California Community College	35 0%	36 9%
California Independent Institutions	<u>11 0%</u>	<u>2 6%</u>
Total	98 0%	55 4%

Evidence of Effectiveness

• 91 percent of the AVID graduates in 1995 completed an University preparatory curriculum. The statewide rate in 1994 was 32 2 percent

Source Report submitted by the California Department of Education.

Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS) Display 8 below indicates that the ACCESS Program was effective in improving student performance at participating junior and senior high schools in mathematics, as demonstrated by the number of students completing college preparatory mathematics courses and their performance on diagnostic and college admissions tests. Among the indicators of effectiveness, the percentage of seniors from participating high schools completing Algebra by the end of the ninth grade more than doubled, the number completing Algebra or Geometry more than tripled, and, those that have met the University's admissions requirements with respect to mathematics course completion has increased by more than fifteen-fold from 1980 through 1994

DISPLAY 8 Progress of the Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS) in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives To strengthen school capacity to prepare students for college, as indicated by improvements in A-F course completion and college eligibility rates, performance on standardized tests; curriculum, instruction and assessment standards, counseling, expectations, leadership, and school organization

Selection Criteria. All students enrolled in specific mathematics courses in middle schools and all students enrolled in college preparatory math and/or English courses.

Evidence of Effectiveness

1 Mathematics Course Completion Rates for First-Generation and Low-Income Students in Three Oakland Schools and Feeder Junior High Schools

	Year Before <u>ACCESS</u>	<u>1995</u>
Students completing Algebra by the end of ninth grade Students completing Algebra or Geometry by the end of tenth grade	7 6% 17 1%	18 0% 57 0%
Seniors meeting the University of California and California State University mathematics requirements for college eligibility	1 6%	25 0%

2 Performance on UC/CSU Math Diagnostic Pre-Calculus Test (MDT) in Three Oakland High Schools

	Year Before ACCESS	<u>1994</u>
Number of students taking Math Diagnostic Pre-Calculus Test (MDT) Percent scoring above mastery level	40 20 0%	78 29 0%

3 Performance on Math Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT) for Students Taking College Preparatory Mathematics Classes as Seniors at Three Oakland High Schools

	Year Before	
	<u>ACCESS</u>	<u>1994</u>
Number of students taking Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT)	53	139
Mean Math SAT score	444	470
Percent scoring above 500	28 0%	37 0%

Source A summary of the ACCESS program in the Oakland Unified School District for the 1994-95 year

Similarly, the number of students taking the UC/CSU Pre-Calculus Math Diagnostic Test nearly doubled and the proportion scoring above "mastery" grew by 50 percent during this time period

Califorma Student
Opportunity and
Access Program
(Cal-SOAP)

Display 9 below presents a comparison between the college-going rates of seniors participating in Cal-SOAP in 1994 and their graduating classmates from counties served by the program that year Nearly 17 percent more Cal-SOAP participants enrolled in California colleges and universities as first-time freshmen following high school graduation as did their local counterparts. Moreover, this increase was distributed throughout the educational sectors, with particular effect on enrollment at the State University and at independent California institutions.

College Readiness Program (CRP)

Display 10 on page 41 indicates that CRP exceeded its goal of increasing by 30 percent the number of first-generation and low-income eighth grade students who were recommended for ninth-grade Algebra. In fact, nearly 50 percent more CRP students were recommended than a comparison group of their classmates. Less dramatic was the placement of CRP students in College Preparatory English. 21 percent more program participants were recommended to take this course as ninth graders than were their counterparts. Further, the Middle School Coordinators reported that CRP students were enthusiastic about attending college whereas they had not been prior to program participation and that CRP was a positive influence on students.

DISPLAY 9 Progress of the California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives To improve the flow of information about postsecondary education opportunities in order to increase student enrollment in postsecondary education, as measured by college-going rates in comparison to other student populations

Selection Criteria Students interested in pursuing postsecondary educational goals who can benefit from program services

Evidence of Effectiveness

Postsecondary Enrollment Rates for 1994 High School Graduates

Sector of Higher Education	Students <u>in Cal-SOAP</u> (N=4,502)	Students in Cal-SOAP <u>Counties</u> (N=163,908)
University of California California State University California Community Colleges California Independent Institutions	8 6% 13 5% 42 0% <u>7 3%</u>	7 7% 7 7% 36 0% <u>1</u> 8%
Total	71 4%	53 2%

Source Report submitted by the California Student Aid Commission

DISPLAY 10 Progress of the College Readiness Program (CRP) in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives

1 To increase enrollment of first-generation and low-income students in ninth grade in algebra and college preparatory English by 30 percent, as measured by ninth grade course enrollments

Selection Criteria First-generation and low-income middle grade students achieving at grade level in terms of achievement tests and grades along with teacher recommendations

Evidence of Effectiveness

Recommended Ninth-Grade Course Enrollments for Eighth Graders in Schools Participating in the College Readiness Program (CRP) in 1993-94

	Eighth Graders in CRP		Eighth-Grade School l	Population
Recommendations for	Number in CRP	<u>Percent</u>	Number in School	Percent
Algebra	312	70 0%	308	47 0%
College Preparatory English	281	75 0%	272	62 0%

2 To improve student preparation and parent motivation and awareness of college, as measured by an attitude survey

Evidence of Effectiveness

- The Middle School Coordinators reported that 94 percent of their program participants were extremely
 enthusiastic about attending college, before participation in the program, only six percent were enthusiastic about college
- Middle School Coordinators reported that the program was having a positive impact on students

Source Report submitted by the California State University

Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) Display 11 on page 42 presents information on the extent to which EAOP has been effective in increasing the pool of students from backgrounds and communities whose documented University eligibility rates are low A component of the summative measure of eligibility is grade point average Nearly one-third of EAOP seniors earned grade point averages of 3 3 or above in college preparatory courses -- a level that automatically qualifies students for the University, irrespective of their standardized college admissions test scores Moreover, the figures presented indicate that EAOP achieved its objective the rate at which students were fully eligible for the University in 1990 -- the date of the last Eligibility Study -- was 12 3 percent, in 1994, 51 7 percent of participating EAOP seniors were eligible to attend the University That is, the proportion of EAOP graduates who were University eligible was over four times the statewide percentage Finally, only seven percent each of the Black and Latino seniors in the state participated in EAOP in 1994 However, 63 percent of the Black seniors in the state who were estimated to be eligible for the University participated in this program and 82 percent of the Latino seniors estimated to be eligible participated in EAOP

DISPLAY 11 Progress of the Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP) in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives To increase the pool of students who meet the University of California's admissions requirements from backgrounds and communities with documented low rates of eligibility, as measured by the eligibility rate of program participants to attend the University of California

Selection Criteria Students in junior high school who have the potential to benefit from services to achieve eligibility and who are willing to take prescribed sequences of courses

Evidence of Effectiveness

1 Cumulative Grade Point Averages of Students Participating in EAOP in A-F Courses

Grade Pomt Average	Percent of EAOP Juniors
3 3 and above	32 9%
3 0 to 3.29	19 9%
2 7 to 2 99	16 6%
2 4 to 2 69	14 9%
Less than 24	15 6%

2 Eligibility Rates of Students Participating in EAOP

		1990 Ui Californi Rates Applie School Gre	1994 EAOP Graduate Eligible for the University of Californ			
1994 High School Graduates		Proportion <u>Eligible</u>	Number <u>Eligible</u>	1994 EAOP High' School Graduates	Proportion Eligible	Number <u>Eligible</u>
Asian Black Latino White	38,379 18,979 75,029 <u>118,580</u>	32.2% 5 1% 3 9% 12 7%	12,358 968 2,926 <u>15,060</u>	656 1,312 4,701 <u>494</u>	74 1% 46 3% 50 8% <u>60 1%</u>	486 607 2,388 <u>297</u>
Total	250,967	12 3%	31,312	7,163	51 7%	3,778

Source Report submitted by the University of California

Mathematics,
Engineering,
Science
Achievement
Program (MESA)

Display 12 on page 43 indicates that the MESA Program has met its objectives, as measured by advanced course completion rates and other components of the summative measure of eligibility. The proportion of MESA participants completing Advanced Mathematics or Physics courses with a grade of C or better exceeded the state average and essentially doubled or tripled the statewide rates for Black and Latino students. Moreover, MESA seniors had a cumulative grade point average of over 3.1, the corresponding statewide average was below 2.8, with Black and Latino seniors scoring considerably below the statewide figure. On standardized college admissions tests, MESA participants scored above 900, the statewide average was 895 and California Black and Latino students scored in the 700s. Finally, 70 percent of MESA participants expressed their intention to pursue a mathbased major in college.

DISPLAY 12 Progress of Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement (MESA) in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives To increase the number of students from groups with documented low rates of eligibility who pursue careers in math-based professions, as measured by enrollment in college preparatory mathematics and science courses and enrollment in mathematics-based fields in college

Selection Criteria

- Junior High Students interested in exploring math-based fields
- Senior High Students currently willing to take A-F course pattern and willing to explore math-based majors and careers

Evidence of Effectiveness

1 Public High School Course Enrollment and Completion Rates

	1994 MESA Completion Rates	1994 S	state Enrollmen	t Rates
	With Grades of C or Better	<u>Total</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>Latino</u>
Advanced Mathematics	49 0%	44 1%	25 8%	23.2%
Physics	43 0%	22 4%	13 7%	12 6%

2 Components of Eligibility

			State Population	
	MESA Seniors	<u>Total</u>	Black	Latino
High School Grade Point Average	3 13 (1994)	2 78 (1990)	2 33 (1990)	2 44 (1990)
1994 Scholastic Assessment Test (SAT)	903	895	739	783

Evidence of Effectiveness 70 percent of the seniors intend to pursue a math-based major in college

Source Report submitted by the Mathematica, Engineering, Science Achievement Statewide Office

Middle College Program (MC)

Display 13 on page 44 presents information on the extent to which the Middle College Program has achieved its objectives. In the three classes examined in the evaluation, the findings indicated that student performance improved, as measured by grade point average. In each case, the students' average grade increased by one-fourth of a point between the eighth and the ninth grade. That is, the performance of Middle College students was slightly above the level of 20, or a C grade, after one year in the program, whereas it was less than average prior to participation in the program.

The preceding seven displays present compelling information that these student academic development programs are achieving their specific objectives. In all instances, program participants are performing at a higher academic level than their classmates as evidenced by grades, enrollment in college preparatory courses, or college standardized admissions test scores Moreover, program participants from

DISPLAY 13 Progress of the Middle College (MC) in Meeting Its Objectives

Program Objectives To increase the number of high risk students who earn high school diplomas, as measured by grade point averages and high school attendance patterns

Selection Criteria Students with a history of truancy, low academic achievement, and counselor recommendations

Evidence of Effectiveness

High School Performance

	Beginning Eighth Grade <u>Grades</u>	Beginning Ninth Grade <u>Grades</u>	Change in Grade Point Average After One Year in the Program
First Class at Contra Costa College	1 80	2 09	0 29
Second Class at Contra Costa College	2 09	2 34	0.25
First Class at Los Angeles Southwest College	1 86	2 20	0 24

Source Report submitted by the California Community College

groups that have a documented low rate of eligibility are eligible to attend the University and State University in proportions that far outstrip their counterparts statewide. When these measures of academic performance are viewed separately and collectively, they forecast that the college enrollment patterns of those students who participated in these programs should be substantially different than the State's graduating class in total -- the topic of the next section of the report

Higher education

Despite each program's specific objective(s), the ultimate goal of these programs -- individually and collectively -- is to increase the number of students from groups with documented low rates of eligibility who are prepared for and enroll in college For five of these programs, it was possible to obtain the college participation rates of their graduates Three of the programs -- the California Academic Partnership Program (CAPP), the College Readiness Program (CRP), and the Urban Community-School Collaborative (UCSCol) -- either served students only in the middle or junior high school years or were so new that none of their participants had graduated from high school in 1994 As a consequence, these programs are excluded from the analysis presented below

Variations
in methodology
among programs
in computing
college-going rates

The examination of college participation rates includes information from the Advancement Via Individual Determination Program (AVID), Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems (ACCESS), California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP), Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP), and Mathematics, Engineering, Science Achievement Program (MESA) These programs provided information on the post-high school enrollment patterns

of their graduates that they obtained either from postsecondary enrollment records or self-reports from the graduates However, the rates across programs are not comparable for the following reasons

Programs collected information on the post-high school behaviors of their students in various ways (a) figures for the categories of State Graduates, Graduates from Groups with Low Eligibility Rates, and ACCESS Graduates were computed based upon information from the California Basic Education Data System (CBEDS) on all high school graduates from specific schools, groups, or statewide, (b) figures for AVID, Cal-SOAP, and MC Graduates were derived from information that these programs collected from all of their graduates through either institutional records or self-reports, and (c) figures for EAOP and MESA Graduates were computed on the basis of self-reports of a portion of the programs' participants. In the case of EAOP, 71 5 percent of their graduates reported on their post-high school attendance pattern, in the case of MESA, that portion was 55 3 percent.

The figures, then, on Display 14 that were computed on the basis of (a) or (b) above reflect the "true" percentage of program graduates who enrolled in higher education However, the rates for EAOP and MESA represent the "floor" percentage of their graduates who enrolled in college That is, these rates were calculated by including in the numerator only those graduates whose college plans were known, while the denominator included all 1994 program graduates If, on the other hand, the post-high school attendance pattern of all program participants were known -- rather than only a portion -- the rates for EAOP and MESA would be expected to increase based on the following information Of the portion of EAOP graduates for which post-high school behavior is known, 86 8 percent enrolled in a California college or university, another 8 5 percent enrolled at out-of-state institutions, for a total of 95 3 percent Corresponding figures for MESA reveal a similar pattern 86 5 percent of the portion of MESA graduates for whom post-high school behavior is known enrolled in a California college or university, another 10 percent enrolled outside of California, for a total of 96 4 percent As such, the college-going rates presented below for EAOP and MESA are artificially constrained by their methodology for gathering information on the post-high school behavior of their graduates Availability of complete information on the participants of these programs would undoubtedly reveal a much higher college-going rate than presented below.

- 2 Information on the proportion of students who enrolled in California independent colleges and universities was not universally included in the computation of college-going rates across all categories of graduates or programs.
- 3 Information on graduates who chose to attend institutions outside of California was available only for graduates of the EAOP and MESA Programs

For all these reasons, the Commission strongly discourages judgments about individual program effectiveness on the basis of comparisons of college-going rates across programs, those judgments should be made on the basis of information pre-

sented earlier in this section about each of the programs and the overall effectiveness of this set of programs, as discussed below

College-Going Rates of Six Programs

Display 14 presents information on the higher education enrollment patterns of graduates from six programs as contrasted to the statewide rate and the rate of students from groups with documented low rates of eligibility

Comparison to statewide rates Without exception, the enrollment rates of graduates of each of the student academic development programs included on this display exceeded those of all California high school graduates in 1994 In the case of AVID Program graduates, the overall higher education enrollment rate was greater than the state average by nearly 45 percent, in the case of MESA Program graduates, there was a slight increase but if information was available on all its program participants, undoubtedly the rate at which MESA graduates enrolled in higher

DISPLAY 14 Postsecondary Enrollment Patterns of Graduates from Programs and All California Public High School Graduates in 1994

California Postsecondary Institutions	1994 State Graduates (N=227,300)	1994 Oraduates from Groups with Low Eligibility Rates (N=96,077)	1995 AVID Graduates (N=793)	1994 ACCESS Graduates (N=760)	1994 Cal-SOAP Graduates (N=4,502)	1994 EAOP Graduates ² (N=7,329)	1995 MESA Graduates ³ (N=1,044)	1994 MC Graduates (N=115)
University of California	7 3%	3 7%	11 0%	61%	8 6%	18 7%	16 1%	7 0%
California State University	8 5%	7 2%	41 0%	11 7%	13 5%	17 9%	14 6%	8 7%
California Community College	35 2%	31 7%	35 0%	39 6%	42 0%	20 2%	11 5%	43 5%
Total Cahforma Public Higher Education	51 0%	42 6%	87 0%	57 4%	64 1%	56 8%	42.2%	59.2%
Independent Califorma Institutions	2 2%4	N/A	11 0%	N/A	7 3%	5 3%	5 7%	7 0%
Total Cabfornia Institutions	53.2%	42 6%	98 0%	57 4%	71 4%	62 1%	47 9%	66.2%
Out-of-State Institutions	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	6 1%	5 5%	5.2%
Total Higher Education	53.2%	42 6%	98 0%	57 4%	71 4%	68.2%	53 4%	71 4%

¹ Includes Black, Latino, and Native American students

Source California Postsecondary Education Commission

² Figures for EAOP are based on information from only 71 5 percent of the program graduates in 1994 which is likely to result in an underestimation of the program's actual college-going rate

³ Figures for MESA are based on information from only 55 3 percent of the program graduates in 1995 which is likely to result in an underestimation of the program's actual college-going rate

⁴ This figure includes students enrolled in independent colleges and universities from private as well as public schools in the State.


education would exceed the statewide rate by a considerable amount Particularly noteworthy is the extent to which the enrollment rates of participants in these programs outstripped the statewide rate of graduates attending baccalaureate-granting institutions, including the University of California, the California State University, and California independent colleges and universities -- in some cases by more than double the statewide rate

Comparison to rates for graduates from groups with low eligibility rates. Concomitant with the above pattern, the college-going rates of the six program participants included in the display exceeded significantly the corresponding rate for all graduates from groups with low eligibility rates. Again, this general pattern was particularly apparent with respect to enrollment in baccalaureate-granting institutions

Combined College-Going Rates

Display 15 presents information on the combined college-going rate of participants across all six programs. When weighted by number of program graduates, the combined enrollment rates in California higher education for program participants who graduated in 1994 was 64 5 percent -- a rate that would undoubtedly increase if sufficient information was available about the proportion of these graduates who enrolled in colleges and universities outside of California and if the post-high school enrollment patterns for all EAOP and MESA graduates were known. The rate of 64 5 percent exceeds the statewide proportion of all graduates by 11 3 percentage points, or 21 2 percent, it outstrips the statewide rate for students from groups with documented low eligibility rates by 21 9 percentage points, or 51 4 percent

DISPLAY 15 Participation Rates in California Colleges and Universities of Selected Groups of 1994 High School Graduates



■ Groups with Low Eligibility Rates Statewide Graduates □ Program Participants

Summary

The analyses presented in this section demonstrate the extent to which these programs are effective at achieving their specific objectives and enhancing the proportion of their graduates who pursue higher education opportunities upon high school

Ruby Camacho graduated from California High School and is pursuing her postsecondary education at Whittier College At this time, her interested field remains in Business and has planned on receiving a minor in Spanish Ruby became aware of the Consortium through her involvement with the Cal-SOAP program, at Cal High

Not much different than other scholarship applicants, Ruby is the eldest child of Mexican immigrants, and will be the first family member to attend a four-year college institution. Since her parents were not allowed such an educational opportunity, the value of an education was stressed in the home. Ruby had no doubt she was going on to college, however, the only question left, was which college? California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP)

graduation On its face, this evidence is compelling with respect to program effectiveness. However, its significance is highlighted when comparing the collegegoing rates of program participants with all California graduates in light of differences in the backgrounds of these two groups. That is, the proportion of students in these programs -- most of whom are from groups with documented low eligibility rates -- who enrolled in higher education was 21 percent higher than grad-

uates statewide -- the majority of whom are from backgrounds and communities with a tradition of college attendance Clearly, these programs offer many lessons that can guide our further efforts to achieve statewide educational equity goals -- the topic of the next section of this report

5

Lessons to Be Learned From These Programs

N ADDITION to providing direct services to students, these programs have functioned as a kind of laboratory for innovation and creativity -- both with respect to activities and their delivery -- over the last several years When this experimentational aspect is coupled with their demonstrated effectiveness, these programs offer specific information and general lessons to the State, higher education institutions, and policy-makers interested in improving education for all students. This section of the report discusses those lessons in three major categories (1) expectations of educators, (2) educational operations, and, (3) a comprehensive strategy for addressing educational issues

Expectations of educators

All students can be "collegebound" Perhaps the most important lesson to be learned from this study is an attitudinal one -- all students can prepare academically for enrollment in higher education. The documented effectiveness of these programs as measured by student outcomes provides substantial evidence that specific educational interventions bolster learning even for students from economically unstable communities with little or no history of college attendance. When combining information on the background of these students and their classmates on Displays 3 and 4 with their college-going rates on Displays 14 and 15, the clear message is that students from all back-

Genero is a CRP graduate who is attending CSUH majoring in Economics, he is also working as an intern with the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) District—Genero continues to participate in the Saturday College and Parent Night programs, serving as a guest speaker—In his speeches, he talks about his personal experiences with CRP when he was a student at Willard Middle School, the importance of CRP to middle school students, and the effect CRP has had on his life, including how this program prepared him for the college experience and encouraged him to enroll at CSUH—College Readiness Program (CRP)

grounds and communities have the potential to be college-bound. That message is both powerful and compelling and one that educators at all levels should internalize and integrate into their daily actions, as manifested in their expectations, attitudes, and behaviors toward students. It is also a message that "puts the lie" to notions that educators should expect and invest less in students

from certain communities and backgrounds. Indeed, these programs demonstrate the opposite students, irrespective of their backgrounds or community's history, can excel academically and prepare for college if they receive the proper tools to do so

However, the optimism of this message is tempered with the realism that these programs continue to be essential because the knowledge and tools that they offer are unevenly distributed throughout the educational enterprise rather than integral in

every California school Moreover, the unevenness of the distribution tends to adversely affect students from specific backgrounds and communities more than others. In that sense, these programs compensate for this unevenness and become levelers of the "playing field" -- not an ideal strategy in a State in which the typical student served by these programs is becoming the majority. Until schools throughout California incorporate into their curriculum, instructional practices, and counseling activities these supplemental services -- the more desirable alternative -- or more resources become available so that all students from backgrounds and communities with low eligibility rates can participate in these programs, only a proverbial "handful" of students will continue to benefit. And, because this handful constitutes only 8 6 percent of the student population in California from the groups that have documented low eligibility and college-going rates, these programs are limited by virtue of resource constraints in their capacity to prepare the State's next generation of leaders and workers

Effective educational operations Rate-attentiveness is the appropriate programmatic selection criterion

Much of the discussion over the last year about "affirmative action" has focused on the appropriateness of various strategies for achieving diversity in California higher education. Indeed, the University of California Board of Regents adopted the following amendment to the proposal that would eliminate consideration of race, ethnicity, gender, color, religion, and national origin in its admissions, employment, and contracting practices

Believing California's diversity to be an asset, we adopt this statement Because individual members of all of California's diverse races have the intelligence and capacity to succeed at the University of California, this policy will achieve a UC population that reflects this state's diversity through the preparation and empowerment of all students in this state to succeed rather than through a system of artificial preferences

This statement appears to reflect Governor Wilson's reference to achieving "diversity on the natural" -- a laudatory goal but one that will be a challenge to achieve in the near future because of the differential eligibility rates among high school students along geographic and racial-ethnic lines and, if the data were available, probably along socioeconomic lines. That is, the present eligibility rates for students from rural areas, of Black, Latino, and Native American families, and from poor communities are significantly lower than the eligibility rates for students from suburban areas, of Asian and White families, and from prosperous communities. Therefore, to achieve "diversity on the natural" will necessitate that low eligibility rates are raised such that the differential among groups of students is minimized, if not eliminated

The programs included in this report have a common goal to increase the number of students who pursue higher educational opportunities from backgrounds and communities that have documented low eligibility and college-going rates If that is their goal, then these programs must focus on participants from those backgrounds and communities with the low rates because these efforts lack the re-

sources to serve all students Nevertheless, these programs should function in an inclusive fashion that does not deny services to any student or group of students, but they must concentrate their efforts on those student groups with the lowest eligibility rates

In short, the specific racial-ethnic, geographic, or socioeconomic characteristics of those groups is significant only because their eligibility rates are low, if, in the future, the rates of different groups become low, then they should become the group(s) upon which these programs are focused. In other words, the primary operative criterion for deciding which groups these programs should focus on is their eligibility rates, not their specific racial-ethnic, geographic, or socioeconomic level because those specifics may change from time to time, as occurred when the eligibility rates of Filipino students rose. Therefore, these programs which offer the best hope for lessening the documented differentials in eligibility rates among groups — a precursor to achieving "diversity on the natural" — should continue to be "rate-attentive" in their selection process

Academic
excellence is key in
the college
admissions process

When most of these programs were initiated, they emphasized services that focused on information dissemination, advisement, and motivational activities in order to both encourage students to consider college as a post-high school option and to ensure that students understood college admissions requirements and its complicated process. In that sense, these programs were addressing the need to develop a strong knowledge base in those communities in which attending college was an exception rather than the norm. Activities were designed to acquaint students and their parents with higher education through field trips to campuses, presentations by college graduates from similar communities, and the distribution of materials that described the college admissions process in detail

Because the same need remains today, these services continue to be integral among the student academic development programs discussed in this report and described in Display 3 Moreover, these activities are especially crucial at particular times in the earlier educational careers of students. However, the emphasis in the most effective of these programs has shifted such that they now tend to concentrate on a set of services that strengthen the extent to which participants excel academically, particularly in the high school years. While achieving eligibility remains their fundamental goal, these programs recognize that further levels of academic excellence are required for students to succeed in college and in the workplace upon graduation. As a consequence, these programs are now enhancing their academic enrichment activities, including tutoring, skill development classes, college admissions test preparation workshops, and intensive academic experiences.

This shift in emphasis on the part of these programs acknowledges the enhanced competitive nature of the college admissions process, particularly to highly selective institutions and campuses. Simply achieving eligibility is no longer a guarantor of admission, only academic excellence, as indicated by completion of courses above and beyond the basic requirements, outstanding performance in those courses, and high scores on college admissions tests, assures a student a place at the

campus of first choice Their recognition of current exigencies and their capacity to modify activities to accommodate those needs enhance the possibility that increasing number of program participants will be able to compete among a pool of eligible students whose performance indicators are getting stronger with each class. In this way, these programs are fulfilling their responsibilities to their participants, supporting institutional efforts to enroll a diverse student body, and contributing to the achievement of statewide educational equity goals.

College students play a significant role in preparing students for college As Displays 2 and 3 illustrate, most of these programs employ college students as tutors, advisors, aides, or in other capacities, as appropriate The involvement of college students in these programs is valuable for a number of reasons.

- They supplement and support the activities of professionals in the program such
 that students receive more assistance and in additional ways than they might
 from the limited program staff available on a full-time basis Moreover, their
 services are available at a fraction of the cost of the certificated or credentialled
 staff which allows programs to expand their services to more students and
 schools
- They are the embodiment of the goal that program participants strive to realize and often validate that the goal is achievable, and,
- Often, they function as mentors and role-models for secondary school students because they are close in age to those students so that they have a common set of experiences that promotes mutual understanding and respect

Because of these roles that college students play, they have been invaluable contributors to the effectiveness of these programs

This lesson has been incorporated into the new collaborative mitiative developed by the California Education Round Table that seeks to enhance student learning and academic performance. In its plan, the Round Table states its intention to promote greater utilization of college students and other untapped resources in order to supplement efforts to improve student preparation for college. Based upon the evidence to date, this intention is justified and is likely to produce other unintended outcomes as have occurred in the past, including creating the opportunity for college students to reconsider their career choices and decide to pursue educationally related professions, increasing their own retention prospects, and enhancing their knowledge of subject matters through teaching others

A comprehensive strategy for addressing educational issues The history and current organizational arrangements tend to indicate that California's public universities, particularly the University of California, have been the main institutional players in these programs either through their initiation in program development, their assumption of administrative responsibilities, or their level of resource commitment. Their leadership and involvement in these programs has reap significant benefits in terms of the enrollment in their institutions of students from groups with documented low eligibility rates, as evidenced on Display 14. That

is, participants in all of the programs enrolled in the State University and University in substantially higher rates -- in some cases by as much as two or three times -- than did their classmates statewide or those from groups also characterized by low eligibility rates

Enhanced student preparation benefits all educational sectors

While the community colleges have participated most notably in the California Student Opportunity and Access Program (Cal-SOAP) and Middle College (MC), they have also gained from enhanced preparation for college of students in these programs. The proportion of students in Cal-SOAP, Advancement Via Individual Determination Program (AVID), Alliance for Collaborative Change in Education in School Systems Program (ACCESS), and Middle College (MC) who enrolled in community colleges in 1994 matched or exceeded the statewide rate and the rate of students from groups with low eligibility rates

Independent colleges and universities in California have especially profited from the improvement in the level of program participants' preparation for college. In the case of all student academic development programs for which college participation rates are reported in this study, the rate at which these programs' graduates enrolled in the independent college sector is more than two-and-one-half times the statewide rate. In the case of the AVID Program, the rate at which participants enrolled is five times as high as their classmates throughout the State

Clearly, then, these programs contribute to the achievement of educational equity in all California's educational sectors -- a basic premise of the philosophy that undergirded the development of these programs initially. In fact, these programs were founded and gained support on the belief that enhanced student preparation will benefit all sectors because there will be a larger pool of prepared students from which to recruit for a specific campus or system. The findings bear out this assumption and reinforce the lesson that everyone gains -- students, colleges and universities, and the State -- when students are eligible and prepared to take advantage of the myriad higher education opportunities available in California

Collaboration is a valuable tool of educational reform Collaboration has become the educational strategy in vogue to achieve myriad outcomes over the last decade These programs continue to demonstrate the effectiveness of this strategy in terms of (1) student outcomes, (2) their efficiency in terms of cost-effectiveness, and, (3) their potential for developing the organizational capacity to address a wide range of educational issues

1 Effectiveness in terms of student outcomes As described earlier, these programs seek to enhance the academic preparation of students in order that they will pursue higher educational opportunities. The information presented in the last section clearly demonstrates that increased numbers of students — most of whom are from backgrounds and communities with documented low eligibility rates — are academically prepared to take advantage of collegiate opportunities. And, with more preparation, students have greater choice among the variety of institutions in the state. To the extent that those options expand, both

students and institutions can be selective in the college admissions process and, hopefully as a result, improve the success of students once they enroll in higher education

- 2. Efficiency in terms of cost-effectiveness For an average of \$140 per student over the course of a year, these programs have substantially increased the college participation rates of their graduates. The reason that the cost to accomplish this goal is moderate is that the public schools and higher education are combining resources rather than duplicating efforts to achieve the same outcome. To the extent that several colleges and universities are collaborating in delivering services through these programs, they are eliminating duplication within higher education as well. The opportunity and feasibility to share resources, while achieving a common goal, creates the cost-effectiveness that is evident on Display 6.
- 3 Potential in terms of developing organizational capacity. The last report described collaboration as a kind of "flywheel" that develops the capacity to move beyond a specific activity to encompass larger and more complex educational issues. While the collaboration may have started as finite, the occasion to build trusting relationships, learn about each partner's organizational culture, plan and implement activities jointly, and share triumphs and failures create an unique dynamic that, often, is an impetus to greater cooperation. The experience of institutional participants in these programs provide many examples in which the organizational capacity to collaborate that developed while implementing these programs has fostered a panoply of unintended and positive outcomes.

Summary In the end, the collaborative experience may be the most compelling and valuable lesson to be learned from these programs While these programs certainly have accomplished their student-centered goals, their small scope hinders the extent to

"Participating in EAOP and Pre College Academy has helped me challenge my thinking skills and greatly prepared me for the upcoming school year" Student from Balboa High School enrolled in Pre-College Academy Early Academic Outreach Program (EAOP)

which statewide educational equity goals have been achieved However, they are, in many ways, the training ground through which educators learn the spirit, skills, and will to collaborate

in addressing the various educational challenges facing California. In that sense, collaboration forces all participants to stretch their boundaries for the benefit of the students that they seek to educate

Clearly, collaboration requires additional time and patience, but its rewards are clearly worth it, as one director noted

The question of whether intersegmental approaches to addressing the educational challenges facing California are better than other alternatives calls to mind Winston Churchill's characterization of democracy as the 'Worst form of government except all those other forms that have been tried from time to time'

Intersegmentalism is slow, frail, inefficient, exasperating, wholly without style, and absolutely essential to solving the enormous challenges besetting our feudal educational systems. Though morally powerful, it is a political weakling wholly dependent upon the shifting priorities of the systems' leaders. Its greatest potential lies in the willing cooperation of strong, independent segments who perceive that their own welfare is linked to the welfare of the whole. The challenge for the state, it seems to me, is to keep public attention focused on the whole and to strengthen the hand of those committed to intersegmental approaches by increasing the incentives associated with it (1990 Report on AC-CESS/CCPP, pp. 12)

Over the last several years, the "shifting priorities of the systems' leaders" have become especially focused on collaboration as a means by which to address our educational challenges. The Commission applauds that shift and recognizes that the student academic development programs discussed in this report have been in the vanguard of the collaborative movement and will remain so as they continue to collaborate in order to achieve statewide educational equity goals

		-	_								
	Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	UCSC
A	Ilameda County										
	Alameda City Unified										
A	Alameda High										
C	Chipman Middle	600000		Y							
	Encinal High										
	sland High (Cont)										
	incoln Middle										
1	Wood (Will C) Middle										
	Albany City Unified										
A	Albany High	013045						Y			
	Albany Middle										
ľ	Macgregor High (Cont)										
	Berkeley Unified										
F	Berkeley High	013117						Y	Y		
i	East Campus, Berkeley High (Cont)										
I	King Junior High	605685							Y		
j	Longfellow Arts & Technology Middle								37		
ľ	Malcolm X Intermediate	609028							Y Y		
1	Willard Junior High	605686							Y		
	Castro Valley Unified										
(Canyon Middle School										
(Castro Valley High	013222						Y			
	Dublin Unified										
	Dublin High										
	Valley High (Cont)										
	Wells Middle										
	Emery Unified										
	Emery Middle School Academy/Emery High										
	Fremont Unified										
	American High										
	Centerville Junior High										
	Hopkins (William) Junior High										
	Horner (John M) Junior High										

Irvington High

Washington High

Kennedy (John F) High Mission San Jose High Robertson High (Cont) Thornton Junior High Walters (G M) Junior High

Y N		Access	0.00	Cal-		22.5	E 1 0 B		Middle	
Institution Name	Code	ССРР	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Hayward Unified										
Brenkwitz High (Cont) Bret Harte Intermediate										
Hayward High	013362						Y			
La Vista Intermediate	013302						•			
Martin Luther King Intermediate										
Mt Eden High	013531						Y			
Ochoa (Anthony W) Intermediate										
Tennyson High	013833						Y			
Winton Intermediate										
Livermore Valley Joint Unified										
Del Valle Continuation High										
East Avenue Middle Granada High										
Junction Avenue Middle										
Livermore High										
Phoenix High (Cont)										
William Mendenhall Middle										
New Haven Unified										
Alvarado Middle										
Barnard-White Middle	605698					Y				
Chavez (Cesar) Mıddle										
El Rancho Verde High (Cont)	012466						v			
James Logan High	013466						Y			
Newark Unified										
Bridgepoint High (Cont)										
Newark Junior High Newark Memorial High										
_										
Oakland Unified Brewer (Edna) Junior High	605706					Y		Y		
Bunche Continuation High	003700					1		•		
Carter Middle	605710	Y						Y		
Castlemont Senior High	013209	Y					Y	Y		
Claremont Middle	605700	Y						Y		
Cox Elementary	600178							Y		
Dewey/Baymart Senior High (Cont)										
Eastside Continuation High Elmhurst Middle	605701	Y								
Far West (Cont)	003701	1								
Foster Middle	600177	Y								
Fremont Senior High	013313	Y					Y	Y		
Frick Junior High	605702	Y						Y		
Harte (Bret) Junior High	605699	Y								

Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Middle College UCSC
Oakland Unified (Continued)									_
Havenscourt Junior High	606586	Y							
King (Martin Luther Jr) Elementary	607223								Y
King Estates Junior High	606644	Y							1
Lazear/Jingletown Charter Middle									
Longfellow Elementary	600203							Y	
Lowell Middle	605705	Y						Y	Y
Madison Middle	606645	Y						Y	ī
McClymonds Senior High	013479						Y	Ý	
Montera Junior High	605707	Y					•	Ϋ́	
Oakland Senior High	013590						Y	Y	
Oakland Technical Senior High	013605	Y					Y	Y	
Roosevelt Junior High	605708	Y					•	1	
Simmons (Calvin) Junior High	605703	Y							
Skyline Senior High	013794	Y					Y	Y	
Westlake Junior High	605709	Y					•		

Predmont City Unified

Piedmont High

Piedmont Indep Learning High (Cont)

Piedmont Middle

Pleasanton Unified

Amador Valley High

Foothill High

Harvest Park Intermediate

Pleasanton Middle

Village High (Cont)

San Leandro Unified

Bancroft Middle

Lincoln High (Cont)

Muir (John) Middle

San Leandro High

San Lorenzo Unified

Arroyo High

Bohannon High (Cont)

San Lorenzo High

Amador County

Amador County Unified

Amador County High

Argonaut High

Independence High (Cont)

Ione Junior High

Jackson Junior High

School Access Cal- Middle

Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Butte County

Biggs Unified

Biggs Middle/High

Chico Unified

Bidwell Junior High

Chico High

Chico Junior High 605713 Y

Fair View High (Cont)

Pleasant Valley High 043755 Y

Durham Unified

Durham High

Durham Intermediate

Golden Feather Union Elementary

Concow Elementary

Gridley Union Elementary

Sycamore Elementary

Gridley Union High

Esperanza High (Cont)

Gridley High

Oroville City Elementary

Central Middle

Oroville Union High

Las Plumas High 043480 Y

Oroville High

Prospect High (Cont)

Paradise Unified

Paradise Charter Middle

Paradise Intermediate

Paradise Senior High

Ridgeview High (Cont)

Calavaras County

Bret Harte Union High

Amold High

Bret Harte Union High

Copper Cove High

Vallecito Continuation High

School I articl	Pauon .	корог	. 101 0	uuvuv	/44 A A (, p. «				
	School			Cal-					Middle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Calaveras Unified Calaveras High Gold Strike High (Cont.) Toyon Middle West Point High (Cont) Vallecito Union Elementary Avery Middle	053150				Y					
Colusa County ·										
Colusa Unified Colusa Alternative High (Cont.) Colusa High Egling (George T) Middle										
Maxwell Unified Maxwell High Prine (Enid) High (Cont)										
Pierce Joint Unified Arbuckle Alternative High (Cont) John son (Lloyd G) Jr High Pierce High	063525									
Williams Unified Mid Valley High (Cont) Williams High										
Contra Costa County										
Acalanes Union High Acalanes High Campolindo High Del Oro High (Cont) Las Lomas High Miramonte High										
Antioch Unified										
Antioch High Antioch Junior High Black Diamond Middle	073086 605717						Y Y			
Live Oak High (Cont) Park Junior High	606115	,					Y			
Brentwood Union Elementary										
Bristow (William B) Middle Edna Hill Middle	600365	i					Y			

Byron Union Elementary Byron Elementary

	School	Access	CARR	Cal-	A4	CDD	EAOD	MEGA	Middle College	HCSC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAUP	MESA	Conege	UCSC
John Swett Unified Carquinez Middle Swett (John) High Willow High (Cont)										
LaFayette Elementary M. H. Stanley Intermediate										
Liberty Union High La Paloma High (Cont)	072209						Y			
Liberty High	073398						•			
Martinez Unified Alhambra Senior High Martinez Junior High Vicente Martinez High (Cont)	073054 605718						Y Y			
Moraga Elementary Joaquin Moraga Intermediate										
Mt. Diablo Unified Adelante High (Cont.) Clayton Valley High College Park High Concord High										v
Crossroads High (Cont) Diablo View Middle El Dorado Middle Foothill Middle Gateway High (Cont.)	073041									Y
Glenbrook Middle Mt Diablo High Northgate High Nueva Vista High (Cont) Oak Grove Middle Olympic Continuation High Pine Hollow Middle	600407 073456				Y		Y			
Prospect High (Cont) Riverview Middle Sequoia Middle Summit High (Cont.) Valley View Middle Ygnacio Valley High	600426	;			Y		Y			
Oakley Union Elementary O'Hara Park Middle Orinda Union Elementary Orinda Intermediate	610876	5					Y			

Orında Intermediate

	School	Access	CADD	Cal-	A d	CDD	EAOD	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Institution Name	Code	ССРР	CAPP	SUAP	Aviu	CKF	EAOI	MILDIA	Conce	CCSC
Pittsburg Unified							Y			
Central Junior High	608496						Y			
Hillview Junior High	606116						Y			
Pittsburg Senior High	073540						1			
Riverside High (Cont.)										
San Ramon Valley Unified										
Calıfornıa Hıgh										
Del Amigo High (Cont)										
Los Cerros Middle										
Monte Vista High										
Pine Valley Intermediate										
San Ramon Valley High										
Stone Valley Intermediate										
Wood (Charlotte) Middle										
Walnut Creek Elementary										
Walnut Creek Intermediate										
West Contra Costa Unified										
Adams Middle	605720								Y	
Crespi Junior High	606117								Y	
De Anza Senior High	073216						Y			
Delta Continuation High										7.
El Cerrito Senior High	073294						Y			Y
Gompers (Samuel) Continuation										
Helms Middle	605722	!			Y				Y	
Kappa Continuation High										
Kennedy High	073365				Y		Y			
Middle College High	073029)					Y			
North Campus Continuation										
Omega Continuation High									37	
Pınole Junior High	605723								Y	
Pinole Valley High	073531						Y			
Portola Junior High	605724					Y	17		Y	
Richmond High	073590)					Y			
Sigma Continuation High										
Del Norte County										
Del Norte County Unified										
Crescent Elk Elementary										
Del Norte High	083300	0			Y					
Sunset High (Cont.)										

School Access Cal- Middle
Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

El Dorado County

Black Oak Mine Unified

Divide High (Cont)
Golden Sierra High
Buckeye Union Elementary
Camerado Springs Intermediate
Rolling Hills Middle

El Dorado Union High

El Dorado High Independence Continuation Oak Ridge High Ponderosa High

Gold Oak Union Elementary

Pleasant Valley Middle 610969

Lake Tahoe Unified

Mt Taliac High (Cont) 093004 South Tahoe High 093780 South Tahoe Middle

Transitional Learning Ctr (Cont) 093010

Mother Lode Union Elementary

Green (Herbert C) Elementary

Pioneer Union Elementary

Mountain Creek Middle

Placerville Union Elementary

Markham (Edwin) Elementary

Pollock Pines Elementary

Sierra Ridge Middle

Rescue Union Elementary

Marina Village Intermediate

Fresno County

CaRuthers Union High

CaRuthers High Marc High (Cont)

Central Unified

Central High El Capitan Elementary Pershing High (Cont)

Clovis Unified

Alta Sierra Intermediate 610991 Y
Buchanan High 103050
Clark Intermediate 606661 Y

SCHOOL LAITION	•	_	. 10. 0			- 6			N.C. dalla	
		Access		Cal-		CDD	EAOD	MESA	Middle	TICSC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAUP	MESA	College	OCSC
Clovis High	103105				Y			Y		
Clovis West High	103019				Y			Y		
Gateway High (Cont)										
Kastner Intermediate	610119				Y					
Coalinga/Huron Joint Unified										
Cambridge High (Cont.)										
Chesnut High (Cont.)										
Coalinga High	103137				Y					
Coalinga Middle										
Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified										
El Puente High (Cont)										
Firebaugh High										
Firebaugh Middle										
_										
Fowler Unified										
Casa Blanca Continuation										
Fowler High										
Fremont Middle										
Fresno Unified										
Ahwahnee Middle										
Bullard High										
Cambridge Continuation High										
Cooper Middle										
Dewolf Continuation High										
Duncan (Erma) Polytechnical High										
Edison Computech	103189)					Y	Y		
Edison High	10510	•								
Fort Miller Preparatory Middle Fresno Continuation High										
Fresno Continuation Figh Fresno High	10325	0					Y	Y		
Herbert Hoover High	10329							Y		
Kings Canyon Middle	60573							Y		
McLane High	10342	1					Y			
Roosevelt Continuation										
Roosevelt High	10358	3			Y		Y	Y		
Scandinavian Middle	60064	8				Y				
Sequoia Middle	60573				Y					
Southeast Middle	61128				Y		-			
Tehipite Middle	60885	3				Y				
Tenaya Mıddle										
Tioga Middle										
Wawona Middle										
Yosemite Middle										
Golden Plains Unified										

Rio Del Rey High (Cont) Tranquility High

Institution Name	School Code	Access	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	UCSC
	Couc	CCII	O.H.I	50111					_	
Kerman Unified Kerman High Kerman Middle Nova High (Cont)										
Kings Canyon Joint Unified Citrus Middle General Grant Middle Kings Canyon Continuation Navelencia Middle	103531									
Reedley High Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary Johnson (Rafer) Junior High Roosevelt Elementary	610832				Y					
Kingsburg Joint Union High Kingsburg High Oasis Continuation High	103369				Y					
Laton Joint Unified Conejo Middle Laton High Oak View High (Cont.)	103395				Y					
Mendota Unified McCabe Junior High Mendota Continuation High Mendota High										
Parlier Unified Martinez (John C) Junior High Parlier High San Joaquin Vlly High (Cont)	103499	•			Y		Y			
Riverdale Joint Unified Horizon Continuation High Riverdale Elementary Riverdale High										
Sanger Unified Kings River High (Cont.) Sanger High Washington Academic Middle	103609 600720				Y	Y	Y	Y Y		
Selma Unified Heartland High (Cont) Lincoln (Abraham) Middle Selma High	10366	7						Y		

School Access Cal- Middle

Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Sierra Unified

Foothill Middle

Sandy Bluffs Educ Ctr (Cont.)

Sierra High 103693 Y

Washington Union High

Easton Continuation High

Washington High 103830 Y

West Fresno Elementary

West Fresno Middle

Glenn County

Hamilton Union High

Barkley (Ella) High (Cont)

Hamilton Union High

Orland Joint Union Elementary

Price Intermediate

Orland Joint Union High

North Valley High (Cont)

Orland High

Princeton Joint Unified

Princeton Junior-Senior High

Stony Creek Joint Unified

Bidwell Point High (Cont)

Elk Creek Junior-Senior High

Willows Unified

Dunning High (Cont)

Willows High

Willows Intermediate

Humboldt County

Arcata Elementary

Sunny Brae Middle

Eureka City High

Barnum (Zoe) High (Cont)

Eureka Senior High

Humboldt Bay High (Cont)

Winship Junior High

Zane (Catherine L) Junior High

Ferndale Unified

Ferndale High

Fortuna Union Elementary

Fortuna Elementary

School Particip	pation.	кероп	for U	utreac	n Pro) Bran	118			
	School	Access		Cal-					Middle	*****
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Fortuna Union High										
East High (Cont)										
Fortuna Union High										
Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified										
Captain John Continuation										
Hoopa Valley High										
Mattole Unified										
Mattole Triple Junction High										
Northern Humboldt Union High										
Arcata High										
McKınleyville High										
Pacific Coast High (Cont)										
Tsurai High (Cont)										
Southern Humboldt Joint Unified										
Miranda Junior High										
Osprey Learning Center (Cont) South Fork High										
_										
Imperial County										
Brawley Elementary	20000						Y			
Worth (Barbara) Junior High	600826	,					•			
Brawley Union High	100110				Y		Y			
Brawley High	133140)			1		1			
Desert Valley High (Cont)										
Calexico Unified										
Aurora High (Cont)	133220				Y		Y			
Calexico High	600833				•		Ŷ			
De Anza Junior High Moreno (William) Junior High	611158				Y		_			
Calipatria Unified	133250	1			Y		Y			
Calipatria High Fremont Elementary	600839				_		Y			
Midway High (Cont.)										
Central Union High										
Central High	13330	0			Y		Y			
Desert Oasis High (Cont)	13335				Y					
El Centro Elementary										
Kennedy Middle	60084	4					Y			
Wilson Junior High	60084	9					Y			
Heber Elementary										
Heber Elementary	60085	0					Y			

School Partic	expation .	Report	tor C	utreac	en Pro	ogran	IIS			
		Access	CADD	Cal-	Δnnd	CDP	F∆∩Þ	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Institution Name	Code	CCFF	CAFF	POVI	AVIG	Cita	L. 101	1422011	00080	•
Holtville Unified										
Holtville High	133530				Y		Y			
Holtville Junior High	600852						Y			
Webb (Sam) Continuation										
Imperial Unified										
Imperial Avenue Holbrook High (Cont.)										
Imperial High	133590				Y		Y			
Wright (Frank M) Intermediate	600856						Y			
•										
Mulberry Elementary	600862						Y			
Mulberry Elementary	000602						•			
San Pasqual Valley Unified										
Manes (Bill M) High (Cont)										
San Pasqual Middle										
San Pasqual Valley High										
Inyo										
Big Pine Unified										
Big Pine High										
_										
Bishop Joint Union High										
Bishop High										
Palisade Glacier High (Cont)										
Bishop Union Elementary										
Home Street Middle										
Lone Pine Unified										
Lone Pine High										
Owens Valley Unified										
Owens Valley High										
Owens vancy riigh										
Kern County										
Arvin Union Elementary										
Haven Drive Middle										
Bakersfield City Elementary										
Chipman Junior High	60088							Y		
Compton Junior High	60090	2						Y		
Curran Junior High	60090	0						Y		
Emerson Junior High	60089	1						Y		
Sierra Jumor High	60091	5						Y		
Stiern (Walter) Middle										
Washington Junior High	60091	7						Y		
Beardsley Elementary										
Beardstey Elementary										

Beardsley Junior High

School Partici	ipauon .	Kehor	LIUIC	ruu Çac)11 1 IV	ograni	w			
	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avıd	CRP 1	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Delano Joint Union High Delano High Valley High (Cont)	153167				Y			Y		
Delano Union Elementary Cecul Avenue Junior High										
Edison Elementary Edison Senior Elementary										
El Tejon Unified Frazier Mountain High										
Fairfax Elementary Fairfax Elementary	600949							Y		
Fruitvale Elementary Fruitvale Junior High										
Greenfield Union Elementary Greenfield Junior High Ollivier (Leon H) Jr High										
Kern Union High										
Arvin High	153025	;					Y	Y		
Bakersfield High	153070)					Y	Y		
Centennial High										
Central Valley Cont High							3.7	17		
East Bakersfield High	153229						Y Y	Y Y		
Foothill High	153260						Y	1		
Highland High	153333	3					I			
Kern Valley High										
North High										
Nueva Continuation High										
Ridgeview High	15350	0					Y	Y		
Shafter High	15353						Ÿ	Y		
South High	15303						Y			
Stockdale High Summut Conitnuation	15505	•								
Vista East Continuation										
Vista High (Cont)										
Vista West Continuation										
West High	15366	0					Y	Y		
Kernville Union Elementary										
Wallace (Woodrow W) Middle										
Lamont Elementary Mountain View Middle										

Lost Hills Union Elementary

Lost Hills Middle

School Access Cal- Middle
Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Institution Name

Maricopa Unified

Mancopa High

McFarland Unified

McFarland High McFarland Middle San Joaquin High (Cont.)

Mojave Unified

California City Middle Joshua Middle Mojave Senior High Mountain View High (Cont.)

Muroc Joint Unified

Boron Junior-Senior High Desert Junior/Senior High Forbes Avenue Elementary North Edwards High (Cont.)

Norris Elementary

Norris Middle

Panama Buena Vista Union Elementary

Actis (O J) Junior High Tevis Junior High Thompson (Fred L) Junior High Warren (Earl) Jr High

Richland-Lerdo Union Elementary

Richland Intermediate Richland Senior Elementary

601000

Y

Y

Rosedale Union Elementary

Rosedale Middle

Sierra Sands Unified

Burroughs High James Monroe Junior High Mesquite Continuation High MurRay Junior High

Pierce Elementary 600929

Southern Kern Unified

Rare Earth High (Cont) RoSamond High Tropico Middle

Standard Elementary

Standard Middle

Taft City Elementary

Lincoln Elementary

School Access Cal- Middle

Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Taft Union High

Buena Vista High (Cont) Taft Union High

Tehachapi Unified

Jacobsen Junior High Monroe High (Cont) Tehachapi High

Vineland Elementary

Sunset Elementary

Wasco Union Elementary

Jefferson (Thomas) Middle

Wasco Union High

Independence High (Cont)

Wasco High

Kings County

Armona Union Elementary

Parkview Middle

CorCoran Joint Unified

CorCoran High John Muir Middle Kings Lake High (Cont)

Hanford Elementary

Kennedy (John F) Junior High Wilson (Woodrow) Junior High

Hanford Joint Union High

Hanford High 163440 Y Y

Hanford High Night (Cont.)
John son (Earl F) High (Cont)

Lemoore Union Elementary

Liberty Middle

Lemoore Union High

Jamison (Donald C) High (Cont)
Lemoore High
Y

Yokuts High (Cont)

Pioneer Union Elementary

Pioneer Union Middle

Public and Private Postsecondary Schools

Hanford High\West 163443 Y

School Access CalCode CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Institution Name

Reef-Sunset Unified

Adelante High (Cont) Avenal High

Reef Sunset Middle

Sunrise High (Cont.)

Lake County

Kelseyville Unified

Intermountain High K C High (Cont)

Kelseyville High

Mountain Vista Middle

Konocti Unified

Carle' (William C) High (Cont)

Lower Lake High

Oak Hill Middle

Lakeport Unified

Clear Lake High

Natural High (Cont)

Terrace Elementary

Middletown Unified

Loconoma Valley High (Cont)

Middletown High

Middletown Middle

Upper Lake Union Elementary

Upper Lake Middle

Upper Lake Union High

Clover Valley High (Cont.)

Upper Lake High

Lassen County

Big Valley Joint Unified

Big Valley High

Big Valley Intermediate

Gateway High (Cont)

Fort Sage Unified

Fort Sage Middle

Herlong High

Render Continuation High

Lassen Union High

Credence High (Cont)

Lassen High

Susanville Elementary

Diamond View Elementary

SCHOOL LAIRCE	_	_							Mıddle	
	School	Access	CADD	Cal-	Azad	CDD	FAOD	MESA	College	UCSC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SUAF	VAIG	CKI	LAUI	14113021	Conege	0020
Westwood Unified										
Westwood High										
Los Angeles County										
Abe Unified										
Artesia High	193036			Y						
Carmenita Junior High										
Cerritos High	606122			Y						
Fedde (Pharis F) Junior High	606123 193315			•						
Gahr (RiChard) High	193313									
Haskell (Pliny Fisk) Jr High Ross (Faye) Junior High										
Tetzlaff (Martin B) Junior Hi										
Tracy (Wilbur) High (Cont)										
Whitney (Gretchen) High										
Acton-Agua Dulce Unified										
High Desert										
Vasquez High										
Alhambra City High										
Alhambra High	193016	,			Y					
Century High (Cont)										
Mark Keppel High	193455	1								
San Gabriel High										
Antelope Valley Union High										
Antelope Valley High										
Desert Winds Continuation High										
Highland High										
Lancaster High										
Littlerock High										
Palmdale High										
Quartz Hıll Hıgh										
Arcadia Unified										
Arcadia High										
Dana (Richard Henry) Middle First Avenue Middle										
Foothills Middle										
Azusa Unified										
Azusa High Center Middle										
Foothill Middle										
Gladstone High	19334	4						Y		
Sierra High (Cont)										
Slauson Intermediate										

School Access Cal- Middle
Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Y

Baldwin Park Unified

Baldwin Park High

Holland (Jerry D) Junior High

Jones (Charles D) Junior High

North Park Continuation High

Olive Middle

Sierra Vista High

Sierra Vista Junior High

Bassett Unified

Bassett Senior High

Nueva Vista Continuation High

Torch Middle

Bellflower Unified

Bellflower High

Mayfair High

193561

Somerset Continuation High

Beverly Hills Unified

Beverly Hills High

Moreno High (Cont.)

Bonita Unified

Bonita High

Chaparral High (Cont.)

Lone Hill Middle

Ramona Middle

San Dimas High

Burbank Unified

Burbank (Luther) Middle

Burbank High

Burroughs High

Jordan (David Starr) Middle

Monterey High (Cont)

Mur (John) Middle

Castaic Union Elementary

Castaic Middle

Centinela Valley Union High

Hawthorne High

Leuzinger High

Lloyde (R. K.) High (Cont.)

Charter Oak Unified

Arrow High (Cont.)

Charter Oak High

Royal Oak Intermediate

		School			Cal-		CD D	EAOD	N CCC A	Middle	TICCC
	Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SUAP	Avia	CRP	EAUP	MESA	College	UCSC
	Claremont Unified										
Claremont Hi											
El Roble Inter											
San Antonio I	High (Cont.)										
	Compton Unified										
Bunche (Ralp	h) Elementary	601349							Y		
Bunche Midd	lle	605755						Y	3.7		
Centennial H	igh	193156						Y	Y		
Chavez (Cesa	ar) Cont. High							v	Y		
Compton Hig		193196			Y			Y Y	Y		
Davis Middle	;	606673			Y			Y Y	Y		
Dominguez H		193232						Y	1		
Enterprise Ma		605756						1			
Roosevelt Ma											
	rnet) Cont High	606353						Y			
-	arning Center	605757						Y	Y		
Walton Midd		606127 605758						•	Ŷ		
Whaley Midd		605759						Y	•		
Willowbrook	Middle	905150						•			
•	Covina-Valley Unified										
Covina High											
Fair Valley H	—										
Las Palmas I											
Northview H	_										
Sierra Vista											
South Hills F											
Traweek Inte	ermediate										
	Culver City Unified										
Culver City 1		605760		Y				37			
Culver City		193220)	Y				Y			
Culver Park	Continuation High										
	Downey Unified										
Columbus C	-										
Downey Hig	h										
East Middle											
Griffiths Mic	ddle										
South Middl	le										
Warren High	h										
West Middle	e										
	Duarte Unified										
Duarte High	1										
	ontinuation High										
Northview I	ntermediate										

School Partic	ipauon .	Kepoi	t ioi C		/11 1 1 \	- 				
	School	Access		Cal-					Middle	TIOCO
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
East Whittier City Elementary East Whittier Middle Granada Middle Hillview Middle	601299				Y					
Eastside Union Elementary Cole (Gufford C) Muddle										
El Monte Union High										
Arroyo High	193032				Y					
El Monte High	193266			Y	Y					
Mountain View High	193268			Y						
Rosemead High										
South El Monte High										
Valle Lindo Continuation High										
El Rancho Unified										
Burke (Osburn) Middle	605768				Y					
El Rancho High	193270			Y	Y		Y	Y		
North Park Middle	606128				Y					
Pio Pico Elementary	601336									Y
Rivera Middle	606129				Y		Y			
Salazar (Ruben) Continuation										
El Segundo Unified										
Arena High (Cont)										
El Segundo High										
El Segundo Middle										
Garvey Elementary					Y					
Garvey (Richard) Intermediate	601360)			1					
Temple (Roger W) Intermediate										
Glendale Unified										
Crescenta Valley Senior High										
Daily (Allan F) High (Cont)										
Glendale Senior High										
Hoover (Herbert) Semor High	19340	8			Y					
Roosevelt (Theodore) Middle	60577	1			Y					
Rosemont Middle										
Toll (Eleanor J) Middle H										
Wilson (Woodrow) Middle										
Glendora Unified										
Glendora High										
Goddard Middle										
Sandburg Middle										
Whitcomb Continuation High										

School Farner	_		. 101						36.3314	
	School	Access		Cal-	A4	CDD	17 A O.D.	NATES V	Middle	UCSC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CKP	EAUP	MESA	College	OCBC
Hacienda La Puente Unified										
Cedarlane Middle				Y						
La Puente High	193480			Y						
Los Altos High										
Newton Middle										
Orange Grove Middle										
Sierra Vista Middle										
Sparks Middle										
Valley Alternative High (Cont) Wilson (Glen A) High										
Workman (William) High										
• -										
Hawthorne Elementary Hawthorne Intermediate	601396							Y		
Yukon Intermediate	601402							Y		
	552.17-									
Inglewood Unified	605774					Y	Y			
Crozier (George W) Junior High	003774					_				
Hillcrest High (Cont)	193423						Y	Y		
Inglewood High La Tijera Elementary	601451							Y		
Lane (Warren) Elementary	601452							Y		
Monroe (Albert F) Junior High	605775					Y	Y			
Morningside High	193604			Y				Y		
Parent (Frank D) Elementary	601454							Y		
Keppel Union Elementary Almondale Middle										
La Canada Unified										
La Canada Continuation										17
La Canada High	19346	l								Y
Lancaster Elementary										
New Vista Middle										
Park View Intermediate										
Piute Intermediate										
Las Virgenes Unified										
Agoura High	19300	8								
Calabasas High	19317	8								
Indian Hills Continuation High										
Lindero Canyon Middle										
Wright (Arthur E) Middle										
Lawndale Elementary Rogers (Will) Intermediate										
Lennox Elementary										Y
Jefferson Elementary	60149					Y	,			Y
Lennox Middle	61067	3				1	•			•

	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Little Lake City Elementary										
Lake Center Elementary										
Lakeside Elementary										
Long Beach Unified										
Avalon (K-12)										
Bancroft Middle							Y	Y		
California Academy Of Mathematics & Scie	199553						1	1		
Demille Middle	coc124							Y		
Franklın Middle	606134							Ÿ		
Hamilton Middle	605778							•		
Hill Middle										
Hoover Middle										
Hughes Middle										
Jefferson Middle	193447						Y	Y		
Jordan High Lakewood High	1,5,11,									
Lindbergh Middle	605781						Y			
Marshall Middle	•									
Millikan Senior High										
Polytechnic High	193694	ı					Y			
Reid Senior High (Cont)										
Rogers Middle										
Roosevelt Elementary	601560)					Y			
Savannah Academy (Grade 9)										
Stanford Middle										
Stephens Middle										
Washington Middle										
Wilson High										
Los Angeles Co. Office Of Education										
International Polytechnic High										
Los Angeles Unified										
Adams (John) Junior High										
Addams (Jane) Continuation										
Aliso High (Cont)										
Angel's Gate (Cont)										
Audubon Junior High	60613	9					Y		Y	
Avalon Continuation										
Bancroft (Hubert Howe) Junior										
Banning (Phineas) Senior High	19306	5		Y						
Bell Senior High	19308	6					Y	Y		
Belmont Senior High	19309	2					Y	Y		
Belvedere Junior High	60578	8					Y	Y		
Berendo Junior High							47		37	
Bethune (Mary McLeod) Junior High	60581						Y		Y	Y
Birmingham Senior High	19310	4					Y			1
Boyle Heights Continuation										

	School	Access		Cal-					Middle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
		0011	•				Y			
Brave (Francisco) Medical Magnet High	199544						•			
Burbank (Luther) Junior High										
Burroughs (John) Junior High	/05700					Y				
Byrd (Richard E) Junior High	605790				•	•				
Canoga Park Senior High										
Carnegie (Andrew) Junior High	102152						Y			
Carson Senior High	193152						•			
Carver (George Washington) Jr High										
Central Continuation	102170						Y			
Chatsworth Senior High	193170						•			
Cheviot Hills Continuation	(0(142						Y		Y	
Clay (Henry) Junior High	606142						Ý		-	
Cleveland (Grover) High	193186						•			
Columbus (Christopher) Junior	102212						Y		Y	Y
Crenshaw Senior High	193212					Y	•		-	_
Curtiss (Glenn Hammond) Junior	606629					•				
Dana (Richard Henry) Junior Hi										
Del Rey Continuation										
Dodson (Rudecinda Sepulveda) J	100000						Y	Y		
Dorsey (Susan Miller) Senior H	193238	•					•	•		
Downtown Business High	40. 65 0.4						Y			
Drew (Charles) Junior High	605796						•	Y		
Eagle Rock Junior-Senior High	193254	,						-		
Eagle Tree Continuation										
Earhart (Amelia) Continuation	coc1.1.1	•					Y	Y		
Edison (Thomas A) Junior High	606144	•						1		
Einstein (Albert) Continuation	10000				Y					
El Camino Real Semor High	193262				1		Y			
El Sereno Junior High	606843	3					1			
Ellington (Duke) High (Cont)										
Emerson (Ralph Waldo) Middle										
Evergreen Continuation		_					Y			
Fairfax Senior High	19329	2					1			
Fleming (Alexander) Junior Hig		_					Y	Y		
Foshay Learning Center (K-10)	60614						Y	Ÿ		
Francis (John H) Polytechnic	19329						Y	1		
Franklın (Benjamın) Semor Hig	19330						Y		Y	
Fremont (John C) Senior High	19331	1							•	
Frost (Robert) Junior High										
Fulton (Robert) Junior High		_						Y		
Gage (Henry T) Jumor High	60614						Y	Y	Y	
Gardena Senior High	19332						Y	1	1	
Garfield (James A) Senior High	19333						Y			
Gompers (Samuel) Intermediate	60580						Y			Y
Granada Hills Senior High	19337									Y
Grant (Ulysses S) Semor High	19337	'9								1
Grey (Zane) Continuation										

<u> </u>	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
	-	•								
Griffith (David Wark) Jr High										
Hale (George Ellery) Junior Hi	102205						Y			
Hamilton (Alexander) Senior Hi	193385					Y	Ŷ		Y	
Harte (Bret) Prepatory Intermediate	605804					•	•		-	
Henry (Patrick) Junior High										
Highland Park Continuation	Z05006						Y	Y		
Hollenbeck Junior High	605805						•	•		
Hollywood Senior High	C0590C				Y					
Holmes (Oliver Wendell) Junior	605806									
Hope (John) Continuation	102415						Y	Y		
Huntington Park Senior High	193415						•	•		
Independence Continuation										
Indian Springs Continuation	40.500.5							Y		
Irving (Washington) Junior Hig	605807						Y	Y		
Jefferson (Thomas) Semor High	193437						1	1		
John son (Dorothy V) Opportunity High							Y		Y	
Jordan (David Starr) Senior Hi	193445						Y		-	
Kennedy (John F) High	193994									
King (Thomas Starr) Junior Hig										
King/Drew Medical Magnet High										
Lawrence (Ernest) Middle										
Le Conte (Joseph) Junior High										
Leonis (Miguel) Continuation										
Lewis (Robert H) Continuation							v	v		
Lincoln (Abraham) Senior High	193512						Y Y	Y Y	Y	
Locke (Alaın Leroy) Senior Hig	193515	j					I	ı	1	
LonDon (Jack) Continuation		_					v	•		
Los Angeles Semor High	193535						Y	Y Y		
Maclay (Charles) Junior High	605810)				Y		Y		
Madison (James) Junior High									w	
Mann (Horace) Junior High	605811						Y	37	Y	
Manual Arts Senior High	19355	l					Y	Y		
Marina Del Rey Middle										
Mark Twain Junior High	605813	3					Y			
Markham (Edwin) Junior High										
Marshall (John) Senior High	193550	5					Y	Y		
Metropolitan Continuation										
Middle College High (Cont.)										
Millikan (Robert A.) Junior Hi										
Mission Continuation										
Moneta Continuation										
Monroe (James) High	19358	6								Y
Monterey Continuation										
Mt. Gleason Junior High										
Mt. Lukens Continuation										
Mt Vernon Junior High	60615	3					Y		- -	
Mur (John) Junior High	60581	7				Y	•	Y	Y	

Denoti 1 a. t.	•-Pav	F				•				
	School	Access		Cal-				:	Middle	11000
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Mulholland (William) Junior High										
Narbonne (Nathaniel) Senior Hi	193616						Y			Y
NewMark (Harris) Continuation	1,001-									
Nightingale (Florence) Junior High	605819						Y	Y		
Nimitz (Chester W) Junior High	605793							Y		
Ninth Street Elementary	610481									Y
Nobel (Alfred Bernhard) Middle										
North Hollywood Senior High	193635						Y			
Northridge Junior High	605820				Y					
Odyssey Continuation										
Olive Vista Junior High	606155				Y	Y		Y		
Owensmouth Continuation										
Pacoma Junior High	605821					Y	Y			
Palisades Senior High	193656						Y			Y
Palms Middle										
Parkman (Francis) Junior High										
Patton (George S) Continuatio										
Peary (Robert E) Junior High	606157	•							Y	
Phoenix Continuation										
Porter (George K) Middle										
Portola (Gaspar De) Junior Hig										
Pueblo De Los Angeles Continua										
Reed (Walter) Junior High										
Reseda Senior High	193722	2					Y			
Revere (Paul) Junior High	1,0,2	_								
Rodia (Simon) Continuation										
Rogers (Will) Continuation										
Roosevelt (Theodore) Senior High	193742	2					Y	Y		
San Antonio Continuation	1,5	•								
San Fernando Junior High	60582	R				Y	Y	Y		
	19376				Y		Y	Y		
San Fernando Senior High	17570.	•			_					
San Pedro Senior High										
Sepulveda (Francisco) Junior H	60583	n					Y			
South Gate Junior High	19383						Y	Y		
South Gate Senior High	17505	v								
Stevenson (Robert Louis) Junior High										
Stoney Point Continuation	60616	Λ						Y		
Sun Valley Junior High	00010	v								
Sutter (John A) Junior High	19385	5					Y	Y		Y
Sylmar Senior High	19386						Ÿ	_		
Taft (William Howard) Senior H	19360	1					-			
Temescal Canyon Continuation										
Thoreau (Henry David) Continua										
TRuth (Sojourner) Continuation	10200	•					Y			
University Senior High	19388									Y
Van Nuys Elementary	60196	97								•
Van Nuys Jumor High										

				G-1					Mıddle	
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
	193896	0011					Y			
Van Nuys Semor High	193904						Ÿ	Y		
Venice Senior High	193910		Y							
Verdugo Hills Senior High View Park Continuation	1,5,10		_							
View Park Continuation Virgil Junior High										
Washington (George) Preparatory High	193930						Y	Y	Y	
Webster (Daniel) Middle										
West Granada Continuation										
Westchester Senior High	193947						Y			Y
White (Stephen M) Junior High										
Whitman Continuation										
Wilmington Junior High					Y		Y	Y		
Wilson (Woodrow) Senior High	193985				Y		1	1		
Wright (Orville) Junior High										
Young (Whitney) Continuation										
Los Nietos Elementary										
Los Nietos Middle	602009	ŀ		Y						
Lowell Joint Elementary Rancho-Starbuck Intermediate										
Lynwood Unified										
Hosler (Fred W) Junior High	605839)					Y	Y		
Lynwood High	193543	3					Y	Y		
Vista High (Continuation)										
Manhattan Beach Unified										
Manhattan Beach Intermediate										
Mıra Costa Hıgh										
Monrovia Unified										
Canyon High (Cont)										
Clifton Middle										
Monrovia High										
Santa Fe Middle										
Montebello Unified										
Bell Gardens High	19308	2					Y			
Bell Gardens Intermediate										
Eastmont Intermediate										
Futures High (Cont.)										
Horizons High (Cont)										
La Merced Intermediate										
Macy Intermediate										
Montebello High										
Montebello Intermediate										
Schurr High										
Suva Intermediate										
Vail High (Cont)										

School Partic	ipation i	сорог	. 101 0			<i>6</i>	_		3 6 1 11 .	
	School	Access		Cal-				> e= 0 A	Middle	TICCC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Mountain View Elementary										
Cogswell Elementary	602071			Y						
Kranz (Charles T) Intermediat	602070			Y						
Madrid (Alfred S) Middle	611057			Y						
Norwalk-La Mirada Unified Benton (Reginald M.) Middle Corvallis Middle El Camino High (Cont) Glenn (John H) High Hargitt (Cora) Middle Hutchinson (Arlie F) Middle La Mirada High Lampton (Loretta) Middle	193364						Y	Y		
Norwalk High										
Waite (Nettie L) Middle										
Palmdale Elementary Juniper Intermediate Mesa Intermediate										
Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified Miraleste Intermediate Palos Verdes Intermediate Palos Verdes Peninsula High Rancho Del Mar High (Cont)										
Paramount Unified Alondra Intermediate										
Clearwater Intermediate	605845	5			Y					
Michelson Continuation										
Paramount High	193674	ļ.			Y		Y			
Pasadena Unified										
	19310	5						Y		
Blair High Eliot Middle	605844							Y		
Marshall Fundamental								_		
Muir High	19361	0	Y				Y	Y		
Pasadena High	19368	2						Y		
Rose City High (Cont.)		_						Y		
Washington Middle	60217							1		Y
Westridge	19495							Y		-
Wilson Middle	60584	9						•		
Pomona Unified		_					v	Y		
Emerson Middle	60585						Y Y			
Fremont Middle	60616						Y	Y		
Ganesha Senior High	19331						Y			
Garey Senior High	19333 60667						Ŷ			
Lorbeer Middle	60585				Y	,	Ÿ			
Marshall (John) Middle	00000				•		_			

School I ardo	-								3 6 141.	
	School	Access		Cal-) mc (Middle	TICEC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	OCSC
Palomares Middle	606164						Y	Y		
Park West High (Cont)										
Pomona Senior High	193702						Y	Y		
Simons Middle	605852						Y	Y		
ReDondo Beach Unified										
Adams Middle										
Parras (Nick G) Middle ReDondo High	193714									
ReDondo Shores High (Cont)										
Rosemead Elementary										
Muscatel Middle										
Rowland Unified										
Alvarado Intermediate										
Giano Intermediate	602224						Y			
Nogales High	193622						Y			
Rincon Intermediate	602233						Y Y			
Rowland (John A) High	193756						Y			
Santana High (Cont.)										
San Gabriel Unified										
Gabrielino High										
Jefferson Intermediate										
San Marino Unified										
Huntington Intermediate										
San Marino High	193775	;								Y
~										
Santa Monica-Malibu Unified										
Adams (John) Middle	194142	,								Y
Crossroads	602254									Y
Edison Elementary	00223	•								
Lincoln Middle										
Malibu High										
Olympic High (Cont)	60226	1								Y
Rogers (Will) Elementary Santa Monica High	19380						Y			
-	22000	-								
South Pasadena Unified										
South Pasadena Middle										
South Pasadena Semor High										
South Whittier Elementary										
South Whittier Intermediate										
Temple City Unified										
Oak Avenue Intermediate										
Temple City Comm Learning Ctr (Cont)										
Temple City High										
Tombio Ord Tube										

Middle Cal-School Access Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC Institution Name

Torrance Unified

Calle Mayor Middle Casımır Mıddle Hull (J H) Middle Jefferson Middle Lynn (Bert M) Middle Madrona Middle Magruder (Philip) Middle North High RiChardson (Edward J) Middle Shery (Kurt T) High (Cont.) South High Torrance High West High

Valle Lindo Elementary

Shively (Dean L) Elementary

Walnut Valley Unified Chaparral Middle Del Paso High (Cont) Diamond Bar High South Pointe Middle Suzanne Middle Walnut High

West Covina Unified

Coronado Continuation High Edgewood Middle Hollencrest Middle West Covina High

Dexter (Walter F) Intermediate

Westside Union Elementary

Hillyiew Middle Walker (Joe) Middle

Whittier City Elementary

Edwards (Katherine) Intermedia	602365	Y		
Whittier Union High				
California High	193130	Y		
Frontier High (Cont.)	193033	Y		
La Serna High	193486	Y		
Pioneer High	193688	Y		Y
Santa Fe High	193790	Y	Y	
•	193970	Y	Y	
Whittier High	175770	-	-	

Middle School Access Cal-Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC Institution Name William S. Hart Union High Arroyo Seco Junior High Bowman (Jereann) High (Cont.) Canyon High Hart (William S) Senior High La Mesa Jr High Placerita Junior High Saugus High Sierra Vista Junior High Valencia High Wilsona Elementary Challenger Middle Wiseburn Elementary Dana (Richard Henry) Elementar Madera County **Bass Lake Elementary** Oak Creek Intermediate Chowchilla Elementary Wilson Elementary Chowchilla Union High 203235 Chowchilla High Gateway High (Cont) **Madera Unified** Υ 610712 Alpha Elementary 602405 Jefferson (Thomas) Middle King (Martin Luther Jr) Middle Υ Υ Υ 203570 Madera High Minarets Jt. Union High Shaver Lake Educational Center Willow Creek Educ Ctr (Cont) Yosemite Union High Ahwahnee High (Cont.) Mountain View High (Cont) Υ 203001 Yosemite High Marın County Dixie Elementary Mıller Creek Mıddle Kentfield Elementary Kent (Adalıne E) Mıddle Larkspur Elementary

Hall Middle

Middle Cal-School Access CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC Code Institution Name Mill Valley Elementary Mill Valley Middle **Novato Unified** Hıll Mıddle North Marin High (Cont.) Novato High San Jose Middle San Marın High Sinaloa Middle Reed Union Elementary Del Mar Intermediate Ross Valley Elementary White Hill Middle San Rafael City Elementary James B Davidson Middle San Rafael City High Madrone High (Cont) 213326 Y San Rafael High Y 213400 Terra Linda High Shoreline Unified Shoreline Continuation High Υ 213431 Tomales High Tamalpais Union High Redwood High Sir Francis Drake High Tamalpais High Mariposa County **Mariposa County Unified** Coulterville High Mariposa County High Mariposa Junior High Spring Hill High (Cont.) Yosemite Park High Mendocino County **Anderson Valley Unified** 233090 Anderson Valley Jr /Sr High

Fort Bragg Unified

Υ 233136 Fort Bragg High

Fort Bragg Middle Noyo High (Cont)

Rancheria Continuation

Middle Cal-School Access Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC Institution Name

Laytonville Unified

Laytonville Continuation High Laytonville High

Leggett Valley Unified

Leggett Valley High

Mendocino Unified

Mendocino Community High (Cont)

Mendocino High Mendocino Middle

Point Arena Joint Union High

Point Arena High South Coast Continuation

Potter Valley Community Unified

Centerville High (Cont) Potter Valley High

Round Valley Unified

Round Valley Continuation

Round Valley High

Ukiah Unified

Pomolita Middle

Redwood Valley Middle

South Valley High (Cont.)

Y Y 233502 Ukiah High

Willits Unified

Baechtel Grove Middle San Hedrin Continuation

Willits High

Merced County

Atwater Elementary

Mitchell Intermediate

Ballico-Cressey Elementary

Ballico Elementary

Delhi Unified

El Capitan Elementary

Dos Palos Oro Loma Jt. Unified

Bryant Middle Dos Palos High

Westside High (Cont.)

Gustine Unified

Gustine High

Gustine Middle

Pioneer High (Cont)

		Access	G (DD	Cal-	A4	CDD	EAOD	MESA	Middle	UCSC
Institution Name	Code	ССРР	CAPP	SOAP	AVIG	CRP	EAOF	MESA	College	OCSC
Hilmar Unified										
Hılmar Hıgh Hılmar Mıddle Irwin Hıgh (Cont.)										
Le Grand Union High Granada High (Cont.) Le Grand High										
Livingston Union Elementary Livingston Middle	602551				Y					
Los Banos Unified Los Banos High Los Banos Junior High San Luis High (Cont)	243420)			Y					
Merced City Elementary Cruickshank (Herbert H) Middle Hoover (Herbert) Middle Rivera (Rudolph) Middle Tenaya Middle	602564	ŀ			Y					
Merced Union High					37					
Atwater High	243060 243009				Y Y					
Golden Valley High Livingston High	243360				_					
Merced High, North Yosemite High (Cont)	243520				Y					
Winton Elementary Winton Middle										
Modoc County										
Modoc Joint Unified Modoc High Modoc Middle Warner High (Cont.)	25300	2								
Surprise Valley Joint Unified Great Basin High (Cont) Surprise Valley High										
Tulelake Basin Joint Unified Tulelake Continuation High Tulelake High										

School Fatte	-	_	. 101 0		,	- B			N 21 1 11	
	School	Access	CADD	Cal-	A.n.d	CDD	HAOD	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SUAF	Aviu	CIG	LAOI	IVILIDIE	Соподо	0000
Mono County										
Eastern Sierra Unified Coleville High Eastern Sierra Academy (High) Lee Vining High	263300				Y					
Mammoth Unified										
Mammoth High Mammoth Middle Sierra High (Cont)										
Monterey County										
Carmel Unified										
Carmel High Carmel Middle Carmel Valley High (Cont.)										
Gonzales Union Elementary Fairview Middle										
Gonzales Union High Gonzales High Pinnacles High (Cont)										
Greenfield Union Elementary Vista Verde Middle										
King City Joint Union High King City High Los Padres High (Cont)	273217	,			Y					
King City Union Elementary San Lorenzo Elementary										
Monterey Peninsula Unified Colton (Walter) Middle Cypress High (Cont)										
Fitch (Roger S) Middle	60587	3			Y					
King (Martin Luther) Middle Los Arboles Middle Marina La Via Continuation	60587	1			Y					
Monterey High	27328	0					Y			
Seaside High	27353	4			Y		Y			
North Monterey County Unified Central Bay High (Cont.) El Camino High (Cont.) Gambetta (Joseph) Middle Moss Landing Middle North Monterey County High										

School Access Cal- Middle
Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Pacific Grove Unified

Community High (Cont)
Pacific Grove High
Pacific Grove Middle

Pacific Unified

Pacific Valley K-12

Salinas Union High

Alisal High 273010

Alvarez (Everett) High

El Sausal Middle

Harden Middle

Mt Toro High (Cont)

North Salinas High

Salinas High 273455

Washington Middle 605877

Y

Santa Rita Union Elementary

Gavilan View Middle

Washington Union Elementary

San Benancio Middle

Napa County

Calistoga Joint Unified

Calistoga Junior-Senior High

Palisades High (Cont)

Napa Valley Unified

Napa High Redwood Middle River Middle (Charter) Silverado Middle Temescal High (Cont) Vintage High

St. Helena Unified

Madrone High (Cont.) St Helena High Stevenson (Robert Louis) Inter

Nevada County

Grass Valley Elementary

Gilmore (Lyman) Intermediate

Nevada City Elementary

Seven Hills Intermediate

		Access		Cal-		CDD	EAOD	MECA	Middle	זוכפר
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAUP	MESA	College	UCSC
Nevada Joint Union High Bear River High Empire Continuation High Nevada Union High Nevada Union Technical High (Cont) Option South High (Cont) Sierra Central High (Cont.) Silver Springs High (Cont.)										
Pleasant Ridge Union Elementary Magnolia Intermediate										
Twin Ridges Elementary Grizzly Hill Elementary										
Orange County										
Anaheim Union High Anaheim High Ball Junior High	303022	!		Y			Y			
Brookhurst Junior High Cypress High Dale Junior High	303009)			Y					
Gilbert High (Cont) Katella High Kennedy (John F) High	303305	5			Y					
Lexington Jr High Loara High Magnolia High	30337	8			Y					
Orangeview Junior High Savanna High South Junior High	30367	1			Y					
Sycamore Junior High Trident Continuation High Walker Junior High Western High	30382	3			Y					
Brea-Olinda Unified Brea Canyon High (Cont) Brea Junior High										
Brea-Olinda High	30306	4			Y					
Buena Park Elementary										

Buena Park Junior High

Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Capistrano Unified										
Aliso Niguel High										
Aliso Viejo Middle										
Capistrano Valley High					Y					
Dana Hills High	303856				I					
Forster (Marco F) Middle										
Niguel Hills Middle	202600				Y					
San Clemente High	303600				1					
Serra High (Cont.)	C00740				Y					
Shorecliffs Middle	609740				1					
Fountain Valley Elementary										

Fulton (Harry C) Middle Masuda (Kazuo) Middle TAlbert (Samuel E) Middle

Fullerton Elementary

Ladera Vista Junior High Nicolas Junior High Parks (D Russell) Junior High

Fullerton Joint Union High

Buena Park High
Fullerton High
La Habra High
La Vista High (Cont.)
Sonora High
Sunny Hills High
Troy High

Garden Grove Unified

Alamitos Intermediate Bell (Hilton D) Intermediate Bolsa Grande High Doig (LeRoy L) Intermediate Fitz (Stephen R.) Intermediate Garden Grove High Irvine (James) Intermediate Jordan (Donald S) Intermediate La Quinta High Lake High (Cont.) Lincoln Educ Ctr - Continuation Los Amigos High McGarvin (Sarah) Intermediate Pacifica High Ralston (Dr Walter C) Intermediate Rancho Alamitos High Santiago High

Walton (Izaak) Intermediate

303655 Y

School Access Cal- Middle
Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Institution Name

Huntington Beach City Elementary

Dwyer (Ethel) Middle Sowers (Isaac L) Middle

Huntington Beach Union High

Edison High
Fountain Valley High
Huntington Beach High
Marina High
Ocean View High
Valley Vista High (Cont.)
Westminster High

Irvine Unified

Irvine High
Lakeside Middle
Rancho San Joaquin Middle
S E L F Alter High (Cont)
Sierra Vista Middle
South Lake Middle
University High
Venado Middle
Woodbridge High

La Habra City Elementary

Imperial Middle Washington Middle

Laguna Beach Unified

Laguna Beach High Thurston Middle

Los Alamitos Unified

Laurel High (Cont)
Los Alamitos High
McAuliffe (Sharon Christa) Middle
Oak Middle

Newport-Mesa Unified

Back Bay High (Cont)
Corona Del Mar High
Costa Mesa High
Ensign (Horace) Intermediate
Estancia High
Newport Harbor High
Tewinkle (Charles W) Middle

Ocean View Elementary

Marine View Middle Mesa View Middle Spring View Middle

School Faither	pauon	кероп	. 101	uu vuc	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- B- C-				
	School	Access		Cal-					Middle	71000
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	uese
Vista View Middle										
Orange Unified										
Canyon High	303004				Y					
Cerro Villa Middle										
El Modena High										
El Rancho Middle										
Orange High										
Portola Middle										
Richland Continuation High										
Santiago Middle (Char)										
Villa Park High										
Yorba Mıddle										
Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified										
El Camino Real Continuation Hi										
El Dorado High										
Esperanza High										
Kraemer Junior High	603004							Y		
Tuffree (Col J K) Junior Hi								3.7		
Valencia High	303802							Y		
Yorba (Bernardo) Junior High										
Yorba Lında Mıddle										
Saddleback Valley Unified										
El Toro High										
La Paz Intermediate										
Laguna Hılls Hıgh										
Los Alisos Intermediate										
Mission Viejo High										
Rancho Santa Margarita Intermediate										
Serrano Intermediate										
Silverado High (Cont.)										
Trabuco Hills High										
Santa Ana Unified		_						Y		
Adams Elementary	603020						Y	•		
Carr (Gerald P) Intermediate	605898						Y			
Century High	303049						Y			
Lathrop Intermediate	605897 610282						Ŷ			
Mac Arthur (Douglas) Fundamental Interme	606174						Ŷ	Y		
McFadden Intermediate	00017	•					-	•		
Mountain View High (Cont)	303582	2					Y	Y		
Saddleback High	30363			Y			Ŷ	Ÿ		
Santa Ana High Sierra Intermediate	60304			•			Ŷ	_		
=	60946						Ÿ	Y		
Spurgeon Intermediate	30364						Y	Y		
Valley High Willard Intermediate	60617						Y	Y		
A HISTO THE INCOME	JUU 1	-								

Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	САРР	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	UCSC
Tustin Unified Columbus Tustin Middle Currie (A G) Middle Foothill High	608537						Y			
Hewes Middle Hillview High (Cont.) Tustin High Utt (C E) Middle	303755						Y			
Westminster Elementary John son Middle Stacey Intermediate Warner Middle										
Placer County										
Auburn Union Elementary Caın (E V) Mıddle										

Eureka Union Elementary

Dry Creek Joint Elementary

Cavitt (Willma) Elementary Eureka Union Elementary

Antelope Crossing Middle

Foresthill Union Elementary

Foresthill Divide Middle

Placer Hills Union Elementary

Weimar Hills Junior High

Placer Union High

Chana High (Cont) Colfax High Del Oro High Placer High (Char)

Rocklin Unified

Rocklin High Spring View Middle

Roseville City Elementary

Buljan (George A) Intermediate

Eich Intermediate

Roseville Joint Union High

Adelante High (Cont)
Oakmont High
Roseville High
Success High (Cont)
Woodcreek High

School Partic	ipation	Report	for O	utreac	ch Pro	ogran	ns			
	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Tahoe-Truckee Unified North Tahoe High North Tahoe Middle Sierra High (Cont.) Sierra Mountain Middle Tahoe Truckee High	313007									
Western Placer Unified Edwards (Glen) Middle Lincoln High (Char) Lincoln North High (Cont) Phoenix High (Cont.)										
Plumas County										
Plumas Unified Almanor High (Cont.) Beckwourth (Jim) High (Cont.) Chester Junior-Semor High Feather River Middle Greenville Junior-Semor High Indian Valley High (Cont.) Portola Junior-Semor High Quincy Junior-Semor High Sierra High (Cont.)										
Riverside County										
Alvord Unified Alvord Continuation High Arizona Intermediate La Sierra High Loma Vista Intermediate Norte Vista High Wells Intermediate	603150 333000 333429 603159)			Y Y		Y Y Y Y			
Banning Unified							27	7.7		
Banning High Coombs (Susan B) Intermediate	333021 603164				Y		Y Y	Y		

Beaumont Unified

Beaumont Senior High Mountain View Junior High San Andreas High (Cont.)

New Horizon High (Cont)

Nicolet Middle

Demoor r tare	-pa	F	. – –			•			
	School	Access		Cal-		~~~ = . ~~	3.0704	Middle	TICCC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP EAOP	MESA	Conege	UCSC
Coachella Valley Unified Cahuilla Desert Academy (Jr High) Coachella Valley High La Familia Continuation High West Shores High	333099					Y			
Corona-Norco Unified Advantage High (Cont)									
Auburndale Intermediate Buena Vista High (Occup /Cont.)									
Centennial High	333044				Y	Y			
Centennial Vista High (Cont)						v			
Corona Fundamental Intermediate	605903				Y	Y Y			
Corona Senior High	333160				ĭ	1			
Corona Vista High (Cont)									
Horizon Continuation High									
Norco High									
Norco Intermediate									
Norco Vista High (Cont)									
Raney (Letha) Intermediate									
Santiago High									
Desert Sands Unified									
Amustad High (Cont.)	333319	,				Y			
Indio High	610979				Y	•			
Indio Middle	010973	•			•				
Jefferson (Thomas) Middle									
La Quinta High La Quinta Middle									
Palm Desert High									
Palm Desert Middle	603199)				Y			
Wilson (Woodrow) Middle									
•									
Hemet Unified									
Acacia Middle Alessandro High (Cont)									
Dartmouth Middle									
Hamilton K-12									
Hemet Senior High									
West Valley High									
Jurupa Unified									
Jurupa Middle	60590	7				Y			
Jurupa Valley High	33304	1			Y	Y			
Mıra Loma Middle									
Mission Middle	60617	7				Y			
Nueva Vista Continuation High									
Rio Vista High									
Rubidoux High	33371	3			Y	Y			

School I artic	_	_	. 101		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Mıddle	
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Lake Elsinore Unified Brown (David A) Middle Elsinore High Elsinore Middle Ortega High (Cont) Temescal Canyon High	333235 333048				Y Y					
Terra Cotta Middle Menifee Union Elementary Menifee Middle										
Moreno Valley Unified Alessandro Middle	605908						Y			
Badger Springs Middle Butterfield Elementary	610350						Y			
Canyon Springs High	333039						Y			
LandMark Middle	610997						Y			
March Mountain High (Cont)										
Moreno Valley High Mountain View Middle Palm Middle	333377	•					Y			
Sunnymead Middle Valley View High Vista Heights (Middle)	333043	}					Y			
Murrieta Valley Unified Creekside High (Cont) Murrieta Valley High Shivela Middle Thompson Middle										
Nuview Union Elementary Mountain Shadows Middle										
Palm Springs Unified Cathedral City High Coffman (Nellie N) Middle Cree (Raymond) Middle Desert Springs Middle Las Brisas High (Cont) Mount San Jacinto High (Cont) Palm Springs High	33351	3					Y			
Workman (James) Middle										
Palo Verde Unified										
Blythe Middle Palo Verde High Twin Palms Continuation	33357	5					Y			

	School	Access		Cal-					Middle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP		Avıd	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Perris Union High										
Paloma Valley High										
Perris High	333597				Y		Y			
Perris Lake High (Cont.)										
Pinacate Middle										
Riverside Unified					3.5		v			
Arlington High	333002				Y		Y Y			
Central Middle	605912						Y			
Chemawa Middle	606179						1			
Earhart (Amelia) Middle	605913						Y			
Gage (Mathew) Middle	003513						•			
Lincoln (Abraham) Continuation	333440				Y		Y			
North (John W) High Polytechnic High	333623				Ÿ		Ÿ			
Raincross High (Cont)	333023									
Ramona High	333649				Y		Y			
Sierra Middle	605914						Y			
University Heights Middle	605915						Y			
San Jacinto Unified										
Monte Vista Middle	605916						Y			
Mountain View High (Cont)	005710									
San Jacinto Senior High	333765						Y			
Temecula Valley Unified										
Marganta Middle										
Rancho Vista High										
Temecula Middle										
Temecula Valley High	333037	,			Y		Y			
Vail Ranch Middle										
Val Verde Unified										
Rancho Verde High	333055	i					Y			
Rivera (Tomas) Middle	611144						Y			
Val Verde High (Cont)										
Vista Verde Middle	611103	3					Y			
Sacramento County										
Center Joint Unified										
Center High School	343031	7					Y	Y		
Center Junior High	60329				Y		Y	Y		
Dudley (Arthur S) Elementary	603290							Y		
McClellan High (Cont)										
Spinelli (Cyril) Elementary	60329	2						Y		
Del Paso Heights Elementary										
Del Paso Heights Elementary	60329							Y		
Fairbanks Elementary	60329							Y		
North Avenue Elementary	60329	7						Y		

	School	Access		Cal-				Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP EAC	P MESA	College	UCSC
Elk Grove Unified									
Calvine High (Cont)									
Daylor (Wıllıam) Hıgh (Cont)									
Eddy (Harnet G) Middle									
Elk Grove High	343257					Y			
Florin High	343047					Y	Y		
Insights High (Cont.)									
Jackman (Samuel) Middle	610982				Y	Y			
Kennedy (Samuel) Elementary	603310						Y		
Kerr (Joseph) Middle	606180					Y			
Laguna Creek High	343059					Y			
Reese (David) Elementary	603302						Y		
Rio Cazadero High (Cont)									
Rutter (James) Middle	605917					Y	Y		
Transition High (Cont.)									
Valley High	343017				Y	Y	Y		
Elverta Joint Elementary									
Alpha Intermediate									
Folsom-Cordova Unified									
Cordova High	343153				Y	Y	•		
Folsom High									
Folsom Middle									
Kinney High (Cont.)									
Mills Middle	605919	•				Y	•		
Mitchell (W E) Middle									
Wood (Howard C) High (Cont)									
Galt Joint Union Elementary									
Greer (Vernon E) Middle	603330)			Y	``	•		
Galt Joint Union High									
Estrellita Continuation High									
Galt High	343347	P			Y	Υ	•		
-	•								
Grant Joint Union High	605922	1				,	Y		
Don Julio Junior High	605923					,			
Foothill Farms Junior High	343326						Y		
Foothill High						,			
Grant Union High	343379					-	Y		
Highlands High	343437						Y		
Martin Luther King, Jr Junior High	610278	•							
Pacific High (Cont.)	24260	•				•	7		
Rio Linda High	343697						· •		
Rio Linda Junior High	605925						: 7 Y		
Rio Tierra Fundamental Junior High	605926)					ı		

School Paru	cipauon .	Copor	1101 0						N 4. d d 1 a	
	School	Access		Cal-	_		-	. CC 4	Middle	HCCC
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
NaTomas Unified										
NaTomas Charter (Middle)	343058						Y			
NaTomas High NaTomas Junior High	603332						Y			
Rio Linda Union Elementary										
Westside Elementary (Charter)										
River Delta Joint Unified										
Delta High				17						
Isleton Elementary	603366			Y						
Rio Vista High	483530			Y						
River Delta Continuation High	343707			Y Y						
Riverview Elementary	603369	1		I						
Sacramento City Unified										
American Legion High (Cont.)										Y
Anderson (Marian) Elementary	609664	ļ					Y	Y		-
Bacon (Fern) Middle	605930						I	Y		
Bancroft (Hubert H) Elementary	60340							Y		
Bidwell (John) Elementary	603404						57	Y		
Brannan (Sam) Middle	60593				Y		Y Y	Y		
Burbank (Luther) High	34310				Y		Y	Y		
California Middle	60592						Y	1		
Carson (Kit) Middle	60618				Y		Y Y			
Da Vinci (Leonardo) Elementary	60593				3.		Y			
Einstein (Albert) Middle	60592				Y		1	Y		
Fruit Ridge Elementary	60339						Y	Y		
Goethe (Charles M) Middle	60592				Y		1	Y		
Harkness (H W) Elementary	60339							Y		
Harte (Bret) Elementary	60338							Y		
Hopkins (Mark) Elementary	60341						Y	Y		
John son (Hıram W) Hıgh	34346				Y		ľ	Y		
Kemble (Edward) Elementary	60339						37			
Kennedy (John F) High	34347				Y		Y	1		Y
Kenny (Keith B) Elementary	61106	66								
Marshall (Thurgood) Alternative							Y	Y		
McClatchy (C K) High	34354						Y			
Sacramento High	3437						1	Y		
Smith (Jedediah) Elementary	6034						Y			
Still (John H) Elementary	6059						1	Y		
Sutter Middle	6066						Y			
Wood (Will C) Junior High	6059	36						1		

	-						110			
Institution Name	School Code	Access	CADD	Cal-	44	CIDD	EAGR		Middle	
-	Cour	CCFF	CAFF	SUAP	AVIO	CKP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
San Juan Unified Arcade Middle										
Arden Middle										
Barrett (John) Middle										
Bella Vista High										
Carnegie (Andrew) Middle										
Casa Roble Fundamental High										
Casa Viva Continuation High	343111				Y					
Children's Receiving Home Of Sacramento										
Churchill (Winston) Middle										
Del Campo High										
El Camino Fundamental High	24222									
Encina High	343231						Y			
Greer Elementary	343283						Y			
Howe Avenue Elementary	603459							Y		
La Entrada Continuation High	603462							Y		
Loma Vista (Cont.)										
Los Amigos Continuation High										
Mesa Verde High	242004									
Mira Loma High	343004									
Palos Verde Continuation	343593				Y					
Pasteur (Louis) Fundamental Middle										
Rio Americano High	240451									
Rio Del Sol Continuation High	343671				Y					
Rogers (Will) Middle										
Salk (Jonas) Altern Middle	C02400									
San Juan High	603488							Y		
Sierra Nueva High (Cont)	343850						Y			
Sierra Vista High (Cont)										
Starr King Middle										
Sylvan Middle										
Via Del Campo Continuation High										
Vista Bonita (Cont.)										
San Benito County										
Aromas/San Juan Unified										
Aromas/San Juan High										
Hollister Elementary										

Hollister Elementary

Maze Mıddle

Rancho San Justo Elementary

San Benito High

San Andreas Continuation High

San Benuto High

		-				U	_			
Institution Name		Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
San Bernardino County										
Alta Loma Elementary Alta Loma Middle Vineyard Jr High										
Apple Valley Unified										
Apple Valley High	363042				Y					
Apple Valley Middle					•					
Vista Campana Middle	611061				Y					
Willow Park High (Cont)										
Baker Valley Unified										
Baker High Baker Jr High										
_										
Barstow Unified										
Barstow High Barstow Middle	363 08 0				Y					
Central High (Cont)										
Kennedy Middle										
Bear Valley Unified Big Bear High										
Big Bear Middle										
Chautauqua High (Cont.)										
Vistas Charter										
Central Elementary										
Cucamonga Middle										
Musser (Ruth) Middle										
Chaffey Union High										
Alta Loma High										
Chaffey High	363220			Y	Y		Y			
Etuwanda High										
Montclair High Ontario High	363390			Y						
Rancho Cucamonga High	363448 363057			Y			Y			
Valley View High (Cont)	303037						Y			
Chino Unified										
Ayala (Ruben S) High	363052			Y						
Buena Vista Continuation High	303032			1						
Canyon Hills Jr High										
Chino Senior High	363250			Y			Y			
Don Antonio Lugo High	363003			Ÿ			-			
Magnolia Junior High	60 5 937						Y			
Ramona Junior High										
Townsend (Robert O) Jr High Woodcrest Junior High										
woodciest /miioi riigii										

	<u>.</u>					Ü	_		
		Access		Cal-				Aiddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP EAOP	MESA C	ollege	UCSC
Colton Joint Unified									
Bloomington High	363132				Y	Y			
Bloomington Middle	605938					Y			
Colton High	363274				Y	Y			
Colton Middle									
Harris (Ruth O) Middle	611110					Y			
Slover Mountain High (Cont.)									
Terrace Hills Middle	603570					Y			
Cucamonga Elementary Rancho Cucamonga Middle									
Etiwanda Elementary									
Etiwanda Intermediate									
Summit Intermediate									
Fontana Unified Alder Middle	C05020					v			
	605939					Y			
Almena Middle									
Birch High (Cont.)									
Citrus High (Cont.) Fontana High	363330				Y	Y			
Fontana Middle	303330				1	1			
Fontana Miller (A B) High	363055			Y	Y				
Sequoia Middle	303033			1	•				
Southridge Middle									
•									
Helendale Elementary Riverview Middle									
Vicanasia Haiffed									
Hesperia Unified									
Hesperia High Hesperia Junior High									
Mojave High									
Ranchero Middle									
Sultana High									
•									
Lucerne Valley Unified									
Lucerne Valley High									
Lucerne Valley Middle									
Mountain View High (Cont)									
Morongo Unified									
La Contenta Junior High	610657				Y				
Monument Alternative/Continuat									
Sky Alternative/Continuation									
Twentynine Palms High									
Twentynine Palms Junior High									
Yucca Valley High									
· ·									
Mountain View Elementary Yokley (Grace) Elementary									
Tokicy (Orace) Elementary									

		•				_			
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP EAO	P MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Needles Unified									
Needles Middle Needles Semor High									
Ontario-Montclair Elementary									
Deanza Middle Imperial Middle									
Serrano Middle									
Vernon Mıddle									
Vına Danks Mıddle									
Redlands Unified									
Cope Middle Moore Middle									
Orangewood High (Cont.)									
Redlands Senior High	363504			Y	Y	Y			
Rialto Unified									
Eisenhower Semor High	363300			Y	Y	Y			
Frisbie Middle	605944				Y	Y			
Kolb Middle									
Kucera (Ethel) Middle Milor Continuation High									
Rialto High	363059			Y	Y	Y			
Rıalto Mıddle									
Rim Of The World Unified									
Mary P Henck Intermediate									
Mountain High (Cont)									
Rim of the World High									
San Bernardino City Unified Arrowview Middle	606190					Y	Y		
Cajon High	363222			Y		Y	•		
Curtis Middle									
Del Vallejo Middle	605948				Y				
Golden Valley Middle									
Martın Luther Kıng, Jr Mıddle Pacıfic Hıgh	363468				Y				
RiChardson Prep Hi	303400				•				
San Andreas High (Cont.)									
San Bernardino High	363584			Y	Y	Y	Y		
San Gorgonio High	363608					Y			
Serrano Middle Shandin Hills Middle									
Signaturi Firits Middle Sierra High (Cont)									
- V /									

	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Silver Valley Unified Calico High (Cont) Daggett Middle Fort Irwin Middle Silver Valley High										
Snowline Joint Unified Chaparral High (Cont.) Pinon Mesa Middle Quail Valley Middle Serrano High										
Trona Joint Unified										
Trona High	363648				Y					
Upland Unified Hillside High (Cont.) Pioneer Junior High Upland High Upland Junior High	363758						Y			
Victor Valley Union High Adelanto Middle Goodwill High (Cont) Imogene Garner Hook Junior High Victor Valley High Victor Valley Junior High	363801									
Yucaipa-Calimesa Jt. Unified Green Valley High (Cont) Park View Middle Yucaipa High Yucaipa Junior High										
San Diego County										
Alpine Union Elementary Mac Queen (Joan) Middle										
Bonsall Union Elementary Bonsall Middle	610856	i					Y			
Borrego Springs Unified Borrego Springs High										
Cajon Valley Union Elementary Cajon Valley Middle Emerald Middle	603758 603762				Y Y					
Greenfield Middle Hillsdale Middle Montgomery Middle	611289)			Y					

	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Carlsbad Unified										
Army And Navy Academy	374025						Y			
Buena Vista Elementary	603777				Y					
Carlsbad High	373069				Y					
Jefferson Elementary	603778				Y					
La Palma High (Cont)										
Valley Junior High	603781				Y					
Chula Vista Elementary										
Loma Verde Elementary	603794				Y					
Coronado Unified					3.7					
Coronado High	373147				Y					
Coronado Middle										
Escondido Union Elementary					17					
Del Dios Middle	603819				Y					
Grant Middle	603821				Y					
Hidden Valley Middle										
Rincon Middle										
Escondido Union High										
Escondido High	373206	i			Y		Y			
Orange Glen High	373531				Y					
San Pasqual High	373005	i			Y					
Valley High (Cont)										
Fallbrook Union Elementary										
Potter (James E) Intermediate	603827	'			Y					
Fallbrook Union High										
Fallbrook High	373217	7			Y		Y			
Ivy High (Cont.)										
Grossmont Union High										
Chaparral High (Cont.)										
El Cajon Valley High	373169			Y	Y					
El Capitan High	373180				Y					
Granite Hills High	373233			Y	Y					
Grossmont High	37326				Y		37			
Helix High	37327			Y	Y		Y			
Monte Vista High	37345			Y	Y		Y			
Mount Miguel High	37347			Y	Y		Y			
Santana High	37379			-,	Y					
Valhalla High	37300			Y	Y					
West Hills High	37307	υ		Y	Y					
Issuel Dulmura Union Flomentary										

Jamul-Dulzura Union Elementary

Oak Grove Middle

Julian Union Elementary

Julian Junior High

	School	Access	CARR	Cal-	A 4	CDD	EAOD	MEGA	Middle	TICSC
Institution Name	Code	ССРР	CAPP	SUAP	Avia	CKP	EAUP	MESA	College	UCSC
Julian Union High										
Julian High Redding (Ray) High (Cont)										
La Mesa-Spring Valley										
La Mesa Middle	603849				Y		Y			
La Presa Middle	606700				Y					
Parkway Mıddle	603855				Y					
Spring Valley Middle	603859				Y					
Lakeside Union Elementary										
Lakeside Middle	603835				Y					
Tierra Del Sol Middle	608504				Y					
Lemon Grove Elementary										
Lemon Grove Middle	603861				Y					
Palm Middle	603864				Y					
Mountain Empire Unified										
Mountain Empire Alternative (Cont.)										
Mountain Empire High	373487									
Mountain Empire Junior High										
Mountain Meadow Alter (Cont.)										
Oceanside City Unified										
El Camino High	373901				Y		Y			
Jefferson Middle	603883				Y		Y			
King (Martin Luther Jr) Middle	611177				Y					
Lincoln Middle	603886				Y					
Ocean Shores High (Cont)										
Oceanside High	373520	1			Y		Y			
Poway Unified										
Abraxas Continuation High										
Bernardo Heights Middle	610746				Y					
Black Mountain Middle	609322	:			Y					
Meadowbrook Middle										
Mesa Verde Middle		_								
Mt Carmel High	373007			Y	.,					
Poway High	373586			Y Y	Y Y					
Rancho Bernardo High	373081	Ł		Y	I					
Twin Peaks Middle										
Ramona City Unified										
Montecito High (Cont)		_					**			
Peirce (Olive) Middle	610556				Y Y		Y Y			
Ramona High	373597	1			Y		1			
Rancho Santa Fe Elementary										
Rancho Santa Fe Middle										

	•	-				•		> c 1 m
	School			Cal-		GDD = 4.00	> 4TC 4	Middle
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP EAUP	MESA	College UCSC
San Diego City Unified								
Bell Junior High	605958				Y	Y		
Challenger Junior High	610705				Y			
Clairemont Senior High	373121			Y	Y	Y		
Clay Elementary	603939				Y			
Correia Junior High	605959					Y		
Crawford Semor High	373158			Y	Y	Y		
De Portola (Gasper) Mıddle	610618				Y	Y		
Farb Middle	609906				Y			
Fulton Elementary	603963			Y				
Garfield High (Cont)								
Gompers Secondary	373030			Y	Y	Y	Y	
Grant Elementary	603967					Y		
Henry Semor High	373278			Y	Y	Y		
Hoover Senior High	373299			Y	Y	Y		
Horton Elementary	603975				Y			
Kearny Senior High	373332			Y	Y	Y		
Keıller Mıddle	603981				Y	Y		
King (Martin Luther) Elementary	604019				Y			
Knox Elementary	603983			Y				
Kroc Middle	605961				Y	Y		
La Jolla Senior High	373350			Y	Y	Y		
Lewis Junior High	605963				Y	Y		
Lincoln Semor High	373358	•		Y	Y	Y	Y	
Madison Senior High	373369			Y	Y	Y	Y	
Mann Junior High	605964				Y	Y		
Marston Middle	605965				Y	Y		
Memorial Junior High	606195				Y	Y		
Mıra Mesa Senior High	373018			Y	Y	Y		
Mission Bay Senior High	373443			Y	Y	Y		
Montgomery Junior High	605967				Y	Y		
Morse Semor High	373465	İ		Y	Y	Y	Y	
Muirlands Junior High								
O'Farrell Community	606196					Y		
Pacific Beach Middle	605969			Y	Y	Y		
Pershing Jumor High	606197				Y	Y		
Point Loma Senior High	373575			Y	Y	Y		
Roosevelt Junior High	605970				Y			
San Diego School Of Creative & Performin	373037			Y	Y	Y		
San Diego Senior High	373715			Y	Y	Y		
Scripps Ranch High	373088			Y	Y	Y		
Serra Junior Senior High	373017			Y	Y	Y		
Standley Junior High	609659					Y		
Taft Junior High	605971				Y	Y		
Twain Junior/Senior High (Cont.)	373023			Y		<u>.</u> .		
University City High	373031			Y	Y	Y		

 						•				
	School	Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Wangenheim Junior High	609784						Y			
Wilson Middle										
Youth Oppor Unlimited Sec (Alter Ed)	373087				Y					
San Dieguito Union High										
Diegueno Junior High	610474				Y		Y			
Oak Crest Junior High	605973				Y		Y			
San Dieguito High	373741				Y		Y			
Sunset High (Cont)										
Torrey Pines High	373003				Y					
Warren (Earl) Jumor High										
San Marcos Unified										
San Marcos High	373015				Y					
San Marcos Middle										
Twin Oaks High (Cont.)										
San Ysidro Elementary										
San Ysıdro Mıddle	609845				Y		Y			
Sweetwater Union High										
Bonita Vista Middle	605974						Y			
Bonita Vista Senior High	373040				Y		Y			
Castle Park Middle	605975				Y		Y			
Castle Park Semor High	373080				Y		Y			
Chula Vista Junior High	605976						Y			
Chula Vista Semor High	373106				Y		Y	Y		
Eastlake High	373084				Y					
Granger Junior High	605977				Y		Y			
Hılltop Mıddle	606200				Y		Y			
Hilltop Senior High	373284				Y		Y			
Mar Vista Middle	605978				Y					
Mar Vista Semor High	373395				Y		Y			
Montgomery Mıddle	607089						Y			
Montgomery Senior High	373823				Y		Y	Y		
National City Middle	605979						Y			
Palomar High (Cont)	373204				Y					
Southwest Junior High	606201				Y		Y			
Southwest Senior High	373012				Y		Y	Y		
Sweetwater High	373822				Y		Y			
Valley Center Union Elementary										
Valley Center Middle	609327				Y					
Vista Unified										
Alta Vista High (Cont)										
Guajome Park Academy										
Lincoln Middle	605980				Y					
Madison Middle										
Palomar High (Cont.)					_					
Rancho Buena Vista High	373072				Y					
=										

	-	-							X 2 1 11 .
		Access		Cal-		ODD	EAOD	3 000 4	Middle
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College UCSC
Roosevelt Middle	610757				Y				
Vista High	373870				Y		Y		
Washington Middle	605981				Y				
Warner Unified									
Warner Elementary	604066				Y				
Warner High									
-									
San Francisco County									
San Francisco Unified									
A P Giannini Middle									
Abraham Lincoln High									
Aptos Middle	606202								
Balboa High	383028						Y	Y	
Benjamın Franklın Mıddle	605983	Y							
Davis (Gloria R.) Middle									
Downtown High (Cont)									
Everett Middle	606203	Y							
Francisco Middle									
Galileo High	****						w		
George Washington High	383908						Y		
Herbert Hoover Middle	605985								
Horace Mann Middle	606204	Y							
International Studies Academy	*****	.,						v	
James Denman Middle	605986							Y	
James Lick Middle	606205						Y	Y	
Lowell High	383340						I	Y	
Luther Burbank Middle	605987	Y						1	
Marina Middle									
Mark Twain High (Cont.)									
Marshall (Thurgood) Academic High	605988	Y							
Martin Luther King Academic Middle							Y		
McAteer (J Eugene) High	383007 383408						Y		
Mission High	363406						1		
Newcomer High (Lep)									
O'Connell (John A) High	383025						Y	Y	
Phillip and Sala Burton High	607205							1	
Potrero Hill Middle	007203	, 1							
Presidio Middle	383020	,					Y		
Raoul Wallenberg Traditional High	303020	,							
Roosevelt Middle									
School Of The Arts (High) Visitacion Valley Elementary	604170)						Y	
Visitacion Valley Elementary Visitacion Valley Middle	605991							•	
Wells (Ida B) Altern/Cont. High	003771								
Mens (109 D.) Viterin Cont. Linkin									

	SCHOOL 1 art	icipation .	керог	101 0		/H 1 1 V	<i>-</i> 6.44	11.5			
		School			Cal-					Middle	
	Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
San	Joaquin County										
	Escalon Unified										
El Po	ortal Middle										
Esca	lon High										
Vista	a High (Cont)										
	Lincoln Unified										
Lars	son (Sture) High (Cont.)										
	oln High	393380				Y					
	ra Mıddle										
	Linden Unified										
Lind	len Hıgh										
	Lodi Unified										
	r Creek High	393023						Y			
	a Sierra Middle										
	erty High (Cont)										
	ı Hıgh	393478						Y			
	ı Mıddle										
	ada Middle										
	clane Elementary	610036			Y						
	a Robles Continuation High	202455				Y		Y			
	ay High	393475				I		1			
Woo	odbridge Middle										
	Manteca Unified										
	la High (Cont)										
	t Union High	393200				Y					
	nteca High	393510				17					
Sier	ra High	393031				Y					
_	Ripon Unified										
-	on Continuation	202555				v					
Кір	on High	393575				Y					
	Stockton City Unified										
	son Senior High	393210						Y			
	nklın Senior High	393265						Y			
	mont Middle	605992						Y			
	nılton Mıddle	606587						Y			
	rshall Middle	605993						Y			
	gg Semor High	393740						Y			
	ekton (Commodore) Skills	609865						Y			
	ckton Unified Alter /Cont.							v			
Wel	bster Middle	606208	•					Y			
	Tracy Elementary										
	ver (H Alfred) Middle										
Mo	nte Vista Middle										

Williams (Earl E) Middle

Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	UCSC
Tracy Joint Union High Duncan-Russell Continuation Excel High (Cont.) Success High (Cont.) Tracy High West (Merrill F.) High	393800				Y					
San Luis Obispo County										
Atascadero Unified Atascadero High Atascadero Junior High Oak Hills High (Cont.)										
Cambria Union Elementary Santa Lucia Middle										
Coast Union High Coast Union High Leffingwell Cont High										
Lucia Mar Unified Arroyo Grande High Judkins (Frances) Middle Lopez Continuation High Mesa Middle Paulding (Ruth) Middle	403055				Y					
Paso Robles Joint Union High Liberty High (Cont) Paso Robles High	403575				Y			Y		
Paso Robles Union Elementary Flamson (George H) Middle Lewis (Daniel) Middle	610157							Y		
San Luis Coastal Unified Laguna Mıddle										
Los Osos Middle Morro Bay High Pacific Beach Cont. High San Luis Obispo High	403480 403670				Y Y					
Shandon Joint Unified Shandon High										
Templeton Unified Eagle Canyon High (Cont) Templeton High Templeton Middle										

Middle School Access Cal-Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Institution Name

Bayshore Elementary

Robertson (Garnet J) Intermed

Belmont Elementary

Ralston Intermediate

San Mateo County

Brisbane Elementary

Lipman Intermediate

Burlingame Elementary

Burlingame Intermediate

Cabrillo Unified

Cunha (Manuel F) Intermediate Half Moon Bay High

Pilarcitos High (Cont.)

Hillsborough City Elementary

Crocker Middle

Jefferson Elementary

Franklın (Benjamın) Intermedia

Pollicita (Thomas R.) Middle

Rivera (Fernando) Intermediate

Jefferson Union High

Alternative Education Center

Jefferson High

Oceana High

Terra Nova High

Westmoor High

La Honda-Pescadero Unified

Pescadero Continuation High

Pescadero High

Laguna Salada Union Elementary

Ortega Middle

Pacific Heights Middle

Las Lomitas Elementary

La Entrada Middle

Menlo Park City Elementary

Hillview Middle

Millbrae Elementary

Taylor Middle

Portola Valley Elementary

Corte Madera Elementary

Ravenswood City Elementary

McNair (Ronald) Intermediate

School Partic	ipation	Report	t for C	utreac	h Pro	одгаг	ns			
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	UCSC
Redwood City Elementary Kennedy (John F) Middle McKinley Intermediate										
San Bruno Park Elementary Parkside Intermediate										
San Carlos Elementary Central Middle										
San Mateo Union High Aragon High Burlingame High Capuchino High Hillsdale High Mills High Peninsula High (Cont) San Mateo High										
San Mateo-Foster City Elementary Abbott Middle Bayside Middle Borel Middle Bowditch Middle										
Sequoia Union High										
Carlmont High	413099				Y			Y		
Menio-Atherton High	413371							Y		
Redwood High (Cont)								3.7		
Sequoia High	413669							Y Y		
Woodside High	413805							1		
South San Francisco Unified Alta Loma Middle Baden High (Cont.) El Camino High Parkway Heights Middle South San Francisco High Westborough Middle	413727							Y		
-										
Santa Barbara County										
Carpinteria Unified				37			17			
Carpinteria Middle	606000			Y			Y Y			
Carpinteria Senior High Rincon High (Cont)	423058	•		Y			Y			

Cuyama Joint Unified

Cuyama Valley High Sierra Madre High (Cont)

	-	-				•			
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPD	Cal-	Δwd	CRP EAOF	MESA	Middle College	HCSC
	Coue	CCFF	CAIT	JUAI	AVIG	CIG LAGI	WILDA	Conce	OCDC
Guadalupe Union Elementary McKenzie (Kermit) Junior High	604552					Y			
Lompoc Unified									
Cabrillo Senior High	423045					Y			
Lompoc Middle	606001					Y			
Lompoc Senior High	423306					Y			
Maple High (Cont)									
Vandenberg Middle	606002					Y			
Orcutt Union Elementary									
Lakeview Junior High									
Orcutt Elementary									
Santa Barbara High									
Dos Pueblos Continuation High									
Dos Pueblos Senior High	423172				Y	Y	Y		
Goleta Valley Junior High	606003			Y	Y				
La Colma Junior High	606209			Y	Y	Y			
La Cuesta Continuation High									
La Cumbre Middle	606004			Y	Y	Y	Y		
Las Alturas High (Cont)									
San Marcos Continuation High									
San Marcos Semor High	423523					Y	Y		
Santa Barbara Jumor High	606005			Y	Y	Y	Y		
Santa Barbara Senior High	423572			Y	Y	Y	Y		
Santa Maria Joint Union High									
Delta High (Cont)									
Righetti (Ernest) High	423461					Y			
Santa Maria High	423603					Y			
Santa Maria-Bonita Elementary									
El Camino Elementary	604599					Y			
Fesler (Isaac) Elementary	604601					Y			
Santa Ynez Valley Union High									
Refugio High (Cont.)									
Santa Ynez Valley Union High									
Solvang Elementary									
Solvang Upper									
Santa Clara County									
Alum Rock Union Elementary									
Fischer (Clyde L) Middle	604614					Y			
George (Joseph) Middle	606891				Y	Y			
Mathson (Lee) Middle									
Ocala Middle									
Pala Middle	604628	;				Y			
Sheppard (William L) Middle									

	•	-				_				
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CADD	Cal-	Δwd	ሮ ኒኮ	FAOD	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
	Couc	CCFF	CALL	bom	AVIG	Cita	LAOI	IVILDIT	Contrago	CCBC
Berryessa Union Elementary Morrill Middle Piedmont Middle Sierramont Middle										
Cambrian Elementary										
Ida Price Middle										
Campbell Union Elementary Campbell Middle Monroe Middle Rolling Hills Middle										
Campbell Union High Blackford High (Cont) Del Mar High Leigh High Prospect High Westmont High	433613				Y					
_										
Cupertino Union Elementary Cupertino Intermediate Hyde Intermediate Kennedy Intermediate Miller Intermediate										
East Side Union High										
Apollo High (Cont.) Foothill High (Cont.) Genesis High (Cont.)										
Hill (Andrew P) High	433299				Y			Y		
Independence High	433003				•		Y	Ŷ		
Lick (James) High	433363							Y		
Mt Pleasant High	433490				Y		Y	Y		
Oak Grove High	433520							Y		
Overfelt (William C) High	433542						Y	Y		
Pegasus High (Cont)										
Phoenix High (Cont)										
Predmont Hills High	433590							Y		
Santa Teresa High	433002							Y		
Silver Creek High	433790						Y	Y		
Yerba Buena High	433001		Y				Y	Y		
Evergreen Elementary Chaboya Middle Leyva (George V) Intermediate Ourmby Oak Intermediate										
Franklin-McKinley Elementary										
Fair (J. Wilbur) Junior High	604722							Y		
Sylvandale Junior High	604727							Y		
Syranuale tunor rugu	007/4/							1		

200000 - 02000	Cabaal	A		Cal-		0			Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	Access CCPP	CAPP		Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Fremont Union High									_	
Cupertino High Fremont High Homestead High Lynbrook High Monta Vista High	433331				Y					
Gilroy Unified										
Gilroy High Mt MaDonna High (Cont) South Valley Jr High "A"	433283						Y	Y		
South Valley Junior High	609821							Y		
Loma Prieta Joint Union Elemen English (C T) Middle										
Los Altos Elementary Blach (Georgina P) Intermediate Egan (Ardis G) Intermediate										
Los Gatos Union Elementary Fisher (Raymond J) Middle										
Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High Los Gatos High Saratoga High										
Milpitas Unified										
Calaveras Hills Continuation H Milpitas High Rancho Milpitas Junior High	433447			Y	Y					
Russell (Thomas) Junior High	604768				Y			Y		
Moreland Elementary Castro (Elvira) Middle Rogers (Samuel Curtis) Middle										
Morgan Hill Unified Britton (Lewis H) Middle Central High (Cont) Live Oak High Murphy (Martin) Middle										
Mountain View Elementary Graham (Isaac Newton) Middle										
,										
Mountain View-Los Altos Union High Alta Vista High (Cont)										
Los Altos High	433411				Y					
Mountain View High	433472				Y					
Mt. Pleasant Elementary Boeger (August) Junior High	604803				Y	Y				

		•					_ -			
Institution Name	School Code		САРР	Cal- SOAP	hικΔ	ሮ RÞ	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	
	Couc	CCFF	CAFF	POUT	AVIU	CKr	BAUL	MESA	Coucke	OCSC
Oak Grove Elementary Bernal Intermediate										
Davis (Caroline) Elementary										
HErman (Leonard) Intermediate										
, ,										
Palo Alto Unified										
Gunn (Henry M) High										
Jordan (David Starr) Middle Palo Alto High										
Stanford (Jane Lathrop) Middle										
•										
San Jose Unified										
Broadway High (Cont.) Burnett (Peter) Middle										
Castillero Middle	609541							Y		
Community Career Academy (Cont)	00/541							•		
Gunderson High										
Gunderson Plus										
Harte (Bret) Middle										
Hoover (Herbert) Middle	606211							Y		
Leland High	433352							Y		
Leland Plus (Cont)										
Lincoln (Abraham) High	433379							Y		
Markham (Edwin) Middle										
Muir (John) Middle	606011							Y		
Proneer High	433594				Y			Y		
Proneer Plus (Cont)										
San Jose High Academy San Jose High Academy Plus (Cont.)										
Steinbeck Middle										
Willow Glen High	433895				Y					
Willow Glen Plus	.55075				•					
Santa Clara Unified										
Buchser Middle										
Cabrillo (Juan) Middle										
New Valley Continuation High										
Peterson Middle										
Santa Clara High										
Wilcox (Adrian) High	433880				Y					
Saratoga Union Elementary										
Redwood Middle										
Sunnyvale Elementary										
Columbia Middle										
0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1										

Union Elementary

Dartmouth Middle Union Middle

Sunnyvale Middle

	School	Access		Cal-					Middle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC

Whisman Elementary

Crittenden Middle

Santa Cruz County

Live Oak Elementary

Del Mar Mıddle

Pajaro Valley Joint Unified

Aptos High 443051 Y Y Aptos Junior High Hall (E A) Mıddle 604968 Y Y Pajaro Middle 604975 Υ Renaissance High (Cont) Rolling Hills Middle Watsonville High 443790 Υ Υ \mathbf{Y}

San Lorenzo Valley Unified

San Lorenzo Valley High

San Lorenzo Valley Junior High

Santa Cruz City High

Branciforte Junior High

Harbor High

Loma Prieta High (Cont.)

Mission Hill Junior High

Santa Cruz High 443710 Y

Soquel High

Scotts Valley Unified

Scotts Valley Middle

Soquel Elementary

New Brighton Middle

Shasta County

Anderson Union High

Anderson High

North Valley High (Cont.)

West Valley High

Black Butte Union Elementary

Black Butte Jr High

Cascade Union Elementary

Anderson Middle

Cottonwood Union Elementary

West Cottonwood Junior High

Enterprise Elementary

Parsons Junior High

School Access Cal-Code

Institution Name

Mıddle

CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Fall River Joint Unified

Burney Junior-Senior High Fall River Junior-Senior High Mountian View High (Cont)

Gateway Unified

Buckeye Junior High Central Valley High Central Valley Intermediate Mountain Lakes High (Cont.)

Happy Valley Union Elementary

Happy Valley Elementary

Junction Elementary

Junction Intermediate

Redding Elementary

Sequoia Middle

Shasta Union High

Churn Creek High (Cont.) Enterprise High Foothill High Pioneer Continuation High Shasta High

Sierra County

453730

Y

Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified

Downieville Junior-Senior High Loyalton High Loyalton Intermediate Phocene Ridge Junior-Senior H

Siskayou County

Butte Valley Unified

Butte Valley High Cascade High (Cont.)

Dunsmuir Joint Union High

Dunsmuir High

Etna Union High

Etna Junior Senior High Scott River High (Cont.) Scott Valley Junior High

Mt. Shasta Union Elementary

Sisson Elementary

		Access	CARR	Cal-	و. د	CD D	ELOD	3.000.4	Middle	Haca
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avia	CKP	EAUP	MESA	College	UCSC
Siskiyou Union High Happy Camp High Jefferson High (Cont) McCloud High Mt Shasta High Weed High										
Yreka Union Elementary Jackson Street Elementary										
Yreka Union High Discovery High (Cont) Yreka High										
Solano County										
Benicia Unified Benicia High Benicia Middle Liberty High (Cont)	483100			Y						
Dixon Unified										
Dixon High	483225			Y	Y		Y			
Jacobs (C A) Intermediate	605102			Y	Y		Y			
Maine Prairie High (Cont)										
Fairfield-Suisun Unified										
Агтијо Нідһ	483045			Y						
Bird (Mary) High (Cont)										
Crystal Middle	605111			Y						
Dover Middle										
Fairfield High	483300			Y						
Grange Middle	609339			Y						
Green Valley Middle										
Sem Yeto Continuation High										
Sulsun Elementary	610075			Y						
Sullivan (Charles L) Middle										
Travis Unified										
Golden West Middle	605126			Y						
North Campus High (Cont.)										
Vanden High	483880			Y	Y					
Vacaville Unified										
Country High (Cont)	483386			Y						
Jepson (Willis) Middle	606018			Y						
Vaca Pena Middle	610636			Y						
Vacaville High	483780			Y						
Wood (Will C) High	483008			Y						

Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Middle College	UCSC
Vallejo City Unified Franklin Junior High Hogan Senior High Peoples High (Cont) Solano Junior High Springstowne Junior High	606212 483395 483805			Y Y Y						
Vallejo Junior High Vallejo Senior High	609591 483850			Y Y						
Sonoma County										
Cloverdale Unified Cloverdale High Johanna Echols-Hansen High (Cont) Washington Street Elementary Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified										
Creekside Middle El Camino High (Cont) Mountain Shadows Middle Phoenix High (Cont.) Rancho Cotate High	493548				Y					
Geyserville Unified Geyserville Continuation High Geyserville Educational Park High Geyserville Middle	493005				Y					
Harmony Union Elementary Salmon Creek Middle										
Healdsburg Unified Healdsburg High Healdsburg Junior High Mountain View Continuation High	493255 606022							Y Y		
Petaluma Joint Union High										
Carpe Diem High (Cont) Casa Grande High Kenilworth Junior High	493001				Y					
Petaluma High Petaluma Junior High San Antonio High (Cont) Sonoma Mountain High (Cont)	493515				Y					
Santa Rosa High Allen (Elsie) High Cook (Lawrence) Junior High Grace High (Cont) Hilliard Comstock Junior High Mesa High (Cont) Midrose High (Cont)	493016				Y					

School Access Cal- Middle
Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Montgomery High

Nueva Vista High (Cont.)

Piner High

Ridgway High (Cont.)

Rincon Valley Jr High

Santa Rosa High

Santa Rosa Junior High

Slater (Herbert) Middle

Sebastopol Union Elementary

Institution Name

Brook Haven Elementary

Sonoma Valley Unified

Altımıra Mıddle

Creekside High (Cont)

Sonoma Valley High

Twin Hills Union Elementary

Twin Hills Middle

West Sonoma County Union High

Analy High

El Molino High

Gerboth (Jack) High (Cont)

Laguna High (Cont.)

Nuevo Leon High (Cont)

Windsor Unified

Windsor High

Windsor Middle

Stanislaus County

Ceres Unified

Argus High (Cont)

Blaker-Kinser Junior High

Ceres High

Mae Hensley Junior High

Denair Unified

Denair High

Denair Middle

Empire Union Elementary

Teel Middle

Hughson Union Elementary

Ross (Emilie J) Elementary

Hughson Union High

Dickens (Billy Joe) High (Cont)

Hughson High

School Access Cal- Middle
Institution Name Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Modesto City Elementary

Hanshaw (Evelyn) Middle La Loma Jr High Roosevelt Jr High Twain (Mark) Jr High

Modesto City High

Beyer (Fred C) High Elliot (Robert) Altern Educ Grace M Davis High

Johansen (Peter) High

Modesto High

Thomas Downey High

Newman-Crows Landing Unified

Orestimba High 503590 Y

503138

West Side Valley High (Cont)

Yolo Elementary

Oakdale Joint Union High

East Stanislaus High (Cont)

Oakdale High

Riverbank High 503685 Y

Oakdale Union Elementary

Oakdale Junior High

Patterson Joint Unified

Del Puerto High (Cont) Patterson High Patterson Junior High

Riverbank Elementary

Cardozo Middle

Salida Union Elementary

Salida Elementary

Stanislaus Union Elementary

Prescott Senior Elementary

Sylvan Union Elementary

Somerset Middle

Ustach (Elizabeth) Middle

Turlock Joint Elementary

Turlock Junior High Turlock Joint Union High Roselawn High (Cont.)

Turlock High

School Access

Middle

Institution Name

Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC

Sutter County

East Nicolaus Joint Union High

East Nicolaus High

Live Oak Unified

Live Oak High Live Oak Middle Valley Oak Continuation High

Sutter Union High

Butte View High (Cont.)

Sutter High

Yuba City Unified

Gray Avenue Elementary Karperos (Andros) Middle Powell (Albert) Continuation

Yuba City High

513900

Y

Tehama County

Antelope Elementary

Berrendos Elementary

Corning Union Elementary Maywood Intermediate

Corning Union High

Centennial (Continuation) High

Corning High

Evergreen Union Elementary

Evergreen Middle

Los Molinos Unified

Los Molinos High

Red Bluff Joint Union High

Red Bluff High Salisbury High (Cont)

Red Bluff Union Elementary

Vista Middle

Trinity County

Mountain Valley Unified

Hayfork High Valley High (Cont.)

Southern Trinity Joint Unified

Mt Lassic High (Cont.) Southern Trinity High

School Access Middle Code CCPP CAPP SOAP Avid CRP EAOP MESA College UCSC Institution Name **Trinity Union High** Alps View High (Cont.) Trinity High Tulare County Alpaugh Unified Alpaugh Junior-Senior High Tule High (Cont) **Burton Elementary Burton Middle Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified** Lovell High (Cont.) Orosi High 543323 Yettem High (Cont) **Dinuba Elementary** Washington Intermediate **Dinuba Joint Union High** Dinuba High 543118 Y Υ Sierra Vista High (Cont.) **Earlimart Elementary** Earlimart Middle 605403 Y **Exeter Union Elementary** Wilson Middle **Exeter Union High** Exeter High Kaweah High (Cont) Farmersville Unified Farmersville Junior High **Lindsay Unified** Cairns (John J) Continuation High Garvey (Steve) Junior High Golden Hills Alternative High (Cont) Lindsay Senior High Pixley Union Elementary Pixley Elementary 605420 Υ Porterville Elementary Bartlett Intermediate Pioneer Intermediate Porterville Union High Citrus High (Cont) Monache High Porterville High 543411 Υ

		P				·				
		Access		Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Stone Corral Elementary Stone Corral Elementary	605437				Y					
Strathmore Union High Frazier High (Cont) Strathmore High										
Terra Bella Union Elementary Smith (Carl) Middle										
Tulare City Elementary Cherry Middle Live Oak Middle Mulcahy Middle										
Tulare Joint Union High										
Tulare High	543540				Y					
Tulare Tech Prep Cont High										
Tulare Western High	543546				Y					
Valley High (Cont)										
Visalia Unified										
Divisadero Middle										
Golden West High	543004				Y		Y			
Green Acres Middle La Joya Middle										
Mt. Whitney High	543282				Y		37			
Packwood Elementary	J43202				I		Y			
Redwood High	543452				Y		Y			
Sequota High (Cont.)					_		_			
Valley Oak Middle	609237				Y					
Woodlake Union Elementary										
Woodlake Valley Middle	605476				Y					
Woodlake Union High										
Bravo Lake High (Cont.)										
Woodlake High	543628				Y					
Tuolumne County										
Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified Pedro (Don) High										

Tioga High

Sonora Union High

Cassina (Dario) High (Cont)

Sonora High

	1 Marie 1 of the Carlotter 1 tograms									
Institution Name	School Code	Access CCPP	CAPP	Cal- SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	Mıddle College	ticsc
Summerville Union High									Содобо	ocsc
Cold Springs High										
Long Barn High (Cont.)										
Southfork High										
Summerville High										
Tuolumne High (Cont)										
Ventura County										
Conejo Valley Unified										
Colina Intermediate										
Conejo Valley High (Cont)										
Los Cerritos Middle										
Newbury Park High										
Redwood Intermediate	605589				Y					
Sequoia Intermediate	606730				Y					
Thousand Oaks High	563700				Ÿ					
Waverly High (Cont)					_					
Westlake High	563011				Y					
Fillmore Unified										
Fillmore Community High (Cont.)										
Fillmore Jumor High	606032						Y			
Fillmore Senior High	563202				Y		Ϋ́			
Hueneme Elementary					-		•			
Blackstock (Charles) Junior High	605503									
Green (E O) Junior High	605504						Y Y			
Moorpark Unified							I			
Chaparral Middle	610222									
Community High (Cont)	610223						Y			
Mesa Verde Middle										
Moorpark High	563325									
	303323						Y			
Oak Park Unified Medea Creek Muddle										
Oak Park High										
Oak View High (Cont.)										
- · · · ·										
Ocean View Elementary										
Ocean View Junior High	608489						Y			
Ojai Unified										
Chaparral High (Cont.)										
Matilija Junior High										
Nordhoff High										
Oxnard Elementary										
Frank (Robert J) Intermediate										
Fremont Intermediate	605531				Y		v			
Nueva Vista Intermediate					1		Y			

		_				_				
* . *		Access	-	Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	ССРР	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Oxnard Union High										
Camarillo (Adolfo) High	5/015/									
Channel Islands High Frontier High (Cont)	563174						Y	Y		
Hueneme High	561204						3.7			
Oxnard High	563284 563454						Y	Y		
Rio Mesa High	563476						Y Y	Y Y		
•	203170							1		
Pleasant Valley Elementary Los Altos Intermediate										
Monte Vista Intermediate										
Rio Elementary	(08540									
Rio Del Valle Elementary	605549						Y			
Santa Paula Elementary										
Isbell Middle	605559						Y			
Santa Paula Union High										
Renaissance High (Cont)										
Santa Paula High	563577						Y			
Simi Valley Unified										
Apollo High (Cont)										
Hillside Junior High										
Royal High	563500									Y
Sequoia Junior High	606903				Y					
Simi Valley High										
Sinaloa Junior High										
Valley View Junior High										
Ventura Unified										
Anacapa Middle										
Balboa Middle	606037						Y			
Buena High	563079						Y			
Buena Vista High (Cont) Cabrillo Middle										
De Anza Middle	606315									
Pacific High (Cont)	606215						Y			
Ventura High	563782						v			
Ventura Islands High (Cont)	303762						Y			
Yolo County										
Davis Joint Unified										
Davis Senior High	573220				Y		Y			
Emerson (Ralph Waldo) Junior H	606624				Y					
Holmes (Oliver Wendell) Junior	606039				Y		Y			
King (Martin Luther) High (Cont.)										

	•	- <u>-</u>								
Institute - No.		Access	.	Cal-					Mıddle	
Institution Name	Code	CCPP	CAPP	SOAP	Avid	CRP	EAOP	MESA	College	UCSC
Esparto Unified										
Esparto Elementary	605631			Y			Y			
Esparto High	573290			Y	Y		Y			
Esparto Middle	611216			Y	Y					
Madison Community High (Cont)										
Washington Unified										
Golden State Middle	609833			Y				Y		
River City Senior High	573515						Y	Ŷ		
Yolo High (Cont)							-	•		
Winters Joint Unified										
Winters High	573850			Y			Y			
Winters Middle	609536			-			Ŷ			
Wolfskill High (Cont)	573004			Y			•			
Woodland Joint Unified										
Beamer Elementary	605644							Y		
Dingle Elementary	605646							Ŷ		
Douglass Junior High	607127				Y		Y	Ŷ		
Freeman Elementary	605647				_		-	Ŷ		
Grafton Elementary	605649							Ŷ		
Lee Junior High	605651				Y		Y	Ŷ		
Rhoda Maxwell Elementary	606625				_		_	Ý		
Woodland Community (Cont)								•		
Woodland Prairie Elementary	610716							Y		
Woodland Senior High	573880				Y		Y	Ŷ		
Zamora Elementary	609667						_	Ÿ		
Yuba County										
Marysville Joint Unified										
Alicia Intermediate	605661							Y		
Foothill Intermediate										
Lindhurst High	583001				Y					
Marysville High					•					
McKenney Intermediate										
North Marysville Continuation High										
South Lindhurst Continuation High										
Yuba Gardens Intermediate										

Wheatland Elementary

Bear River Elementary

Wheatland Union High

Wheatland Union High

CALIFORNIA POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION COMMISSION

THE California Postsecondary Education Commission is a citizen board established in 1974 by the Legislature and Governor to coordinate the efforts of California's colleges and universities and to provide independent, non-partisan policy analysis and recommendations to the Governor and Legislature

Members of the Commission

The Commission consists of 17 members. Nine represent the general public, with three each appointed for six-year terms by the Governor, the Senate Rules Committee, and the Speaker of the Assembly Six others represent the major segments of postsecondary education in California. Two student members are appointed by the Governor

As of February 1997, the Commissioners representing the general public are

Jeff Marston, San Diego, Chair
Guillermo Rodriguez, Jr, San Francisco,
Vice Chair
Mim Andelson, Los Angeles
Henry Der, San Francisco
Lance Izumi, San Francisco
Kyo "Paul" Jhin, Malibu
Bernard Luskin, Encino
Melinda G Wilson, Torrance
Vacant

Representatives of the segments are

Kyhl Smeby, Pasadena, appointed by the Governor to represent the Association of Independent California Colleges and Universities,

Philip E del Campo, LaMesa, appointed by the Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges,

Gerti Thomas, Albany, appointed by the California State Board of Education,

William D Campbell, Newport Beach, appointed by the Trustees of the California State University,

Frank R Martinez, San Luis Obispo; appointed by the Council for Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education, and David S. Lee, Santa Clara, appointed by the Regents of the University of California

The two student representatives are Stephen R McShane, San Luis Obispo John E Stratman, Jr, Orange

Functions of the Commission

The Commission is charged by the Legislature and Governor to "assure the effective utilization of public postsecondary education resources, thereby eliminating waste and unnecessary duplication, and to promote diversity, innovation, and responsiveness to student and societal needs."

To this end, the Commission conducts independent reviews of matters affecting the 2,600 institutions of postsecondary education in California, including community colleges, four-year colleges, universities, and professional and occupational schools

As an advisory body to the Legislature and Governor, the Commission does not govern or administer any institutions, nor does it approve, authorize, or accredit any of them Instead, it performs its specific duties of planning, evaluation, and coordination by cooperating with other State agencies and non-governmental groups that perform those other governing, administrative, and assessment functions

Operation of the Commission

The Commission holds regular meetings throughout the year at which it debates and takes action on staff studies and takes positions on proposed legislation affecting education beyond the high school in California. By law, its meetings are open to the public. Requests to speak at a meeting may be made by writing the Commission in advance or by submitting a request before the start of the meeting.

The Commission's day-to-day work is carried out by its staff in Sacramento, under the guidance of Executive Director Warren Halsey Fox, Ph D, who is appointed by the Commission

Further information about the Commission and its publications may be obtained from the Commission offices at 1303 J Street, Suite 500, Sacramento, California 98514-2938, telephone (916) 445-7933

PROGRESS REPORT ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COLLABORATIVE STUDENT ACADEMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS



Commission Report 96-11

ONE of a series of reports published by the California Postsecondary Education Commission as part of its planning and coordinating responsibilities. Single copies may be obtained without charge from the Commission at 1303 J Street, Suite 500, Sacramento, California 95814-2938. Recent reports include.

1996

- 96-1 California Postsecondary Education Commission Workplan, 1996 Through 2000 (February 1996)
- 96-2 Performance Indicators of California Higher Education, 1995. The Second Annual Report to California's Governor, Legislature, and Citizens in Response to Assembly Bill 1808 (Chapter 741, Statutes of 1991) (February 1996)
- 96-3 Changes in College Participation: Promise or Peril? Adding the Interstate Dimension: A Report by the California Postsecondary Education Commission Executive Director Warren H. Fox (February 1996)
- 96-4 Progress Report on the Community College Transfer Function: A Report to the Governor and Legislature in Response to Senate Bill 121 (Chapter 1188, Statutes of 1991) (June 1996)
- 96-5 Faculty Salaries at California's Public Universities. A Report to the Governor and Legislature in Response to Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 51 (1965) (June 1996)
- 96-6 Moving Forward: A Preliminary Discussion of Technology and Transformation in California Higher Education (June 1996)
- 96-7 Fiscal Profiles, 1996. The Sixth in a Series of Factbooks About the Financing of California Higher Education (September 1996)
- 96-8 Student Profiles, 1996: The Latest in a Series of Annual Factbooks About Student Participation in California Higher Education (October 1996)
- 96-9 Project ASSIST (Articulation System Stimulating Interinstitutional Student Transfer): Staff Comments on the Final Evaluation Report Prepared by the Carrera Consulting Group (December 1996)
- 96-10 Performance Indicators of California Higher Education, 1996: The Third Annual Report to California's Governor, Legislature, and Citizens in Response to Assembly Bill 1808 (Chapter 741, Statutes of 1991) (December 1996)
- 96-11 Progress Report on the Effectiveness of Collaborative Student Academic Development Programs: A Report of the California Postsecondary Education Commission (December 1996)

1997

97-1 Coming of [Information] Age in California Higher Education: A Survey of Technology Initiatives and Policy Issues (February 1997)